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The Archaeological Exploration of Sardis began its work in 1958 as a joint effort of Harvard and Cornell Universities, under the general sponsorship of the American Schools of Oriental Research. This, the eighth volume in the Sardis series of final publications, is devoted to the very considerable finds of ancient and Byzantine glass made at Sardis from 1958 through 1978. We are pleased that this volume will appear simultaneously as A Corning Museum of Glass Monograph. The Corning Museum of Glass became a participant in the Archaeological Exploration of Sardis in 1960, and the entire program has benefited from a series of grants from the museum and the Corning Glass Works Foundation. We wish to thank the trustees, especially Arthur A. Houghton, Jr., and the successive directors of the museum, Paul N. Perrot, Robert H. Brill, and Thomas S. Buechner, for their assistance and interest in the proper study and publication of the glass finds excavated at Sardis.

We take this opportunity to express our profound gratitude to the government of the Republic of Turkey for the privilege of working at Sardis. The Department of Antiquities and Museums, formerly under the Ministry of the Prime Minister and now under the Ministry of Culture, and the Directors General, their officers and representatives, have been unfailing in their help. We honor here the memory of the late Director General, Hikmet Gurçay, who did so much to assist the work at Sardis and to further cooperation in the researches of Turkish and American scholars. The most complete and interesting glasses are at the Archaeological Museum in Manisa and the study material is stored in the depots of the expedition camp at Sardis. We owe a special debt of thanks to the successive directors and staff of the Manisa Museum, especially to its present director, Kubilay Nayır.

Both the excavation and research programs have been made possible by grants and contributions extending over two decades from the Bollingen Foundation (1959–1965), the Old Dominion Foundation (1966–1968), the Loeb Classical Library Foundation (1965–1970), the Wenner Gren Foundation for Anthropological Work (1967), the Charles E. Merrill Trust (1973), the Ford Foundation (1968–1972), and the Billy Rose Foundation (from 1970). Donations were received through the American Schools of Oriental Research, and Cornell University contributed university funds from 1957 through 1968. Much of the Harvard contribution came from the group of Supporters of Sardis, established in 1957, which includes both individuals and foundations. We owe the continuity of our work to their enthusiasm and generosity, and particularly to the advice and support of James R. Cherry, Landon T. Clay, Catharine S. Detweiler, John B. Elliott, Mrs. George C. Keiser, Thomas B. Lemann, Nanette B. Rodney, Norbert Schimmel, and Edwin Weisl, Jr.

The excavation of the sectors which yielded the glass finds and the stratigraphic contexts on which this study is based was aided by a grant in Turkish currency made by the Department of State to the President and Fellows of Harvard College for the years 1962–1965.¹

¹. No. SCC 29 543, under the Mutual Educational and Cultural Act, Public Law 87-256, and Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act, Public Law 480 as amended.
The National Endowment for the Humanities, through a series of grants largely on a matching basis, has played a key role in sustaining the Sardis program. This vital help is most gratefully acknowledged. Our special gratitude goes to the friends and foundations who enabled the project to receive the Endowment's support through their matching contributions. In accordance with a request of the Endowment, we state that the findings and conclusions here do not necessarily represent the views of the Endowment.

In connection with this volume, the Sardis program was fortunate to have Axel von Saldern place his unrivaled knowledge of ancient and medieval glass at the disposal of the expedition. He agreed to undertake the study of glass from Sardis during his tenure as curator of The Corning Museum of Glass and completed it in spite of growing administrative responsibilities as curator of the Kunstmuseum Düsseldorf and as director of the Museum für Kunst und Gewerbe, Hamburg. We are greatly beholden to him for carrying through this task. At the Sardis research office at Harvard University, Electra D. Yorsz edited the preliminary manuscript and did much to clarify the organization and content. Ann B. Brownlee continued the editing and prepared the footnotes and illustrations. The plans and drawings were prepared for publication by Elizabeth Wahle and the map of glass sites (Plan I) is her original work. The photographs are largely the work of Elizabeth Gombosi. Debra A. Hudak put the book into final form and saw it through the press.

This volume was originally intended to include the results of a technical study undertaken by Robert H. Brill, Research Scientist, The Corning Museum of Glass. These researches will more appropriately appear within a major study, still in preparation, which will present analyses of some twelve hundred glasses from all periods and geographic locations. Scholars interested in the preliminary analytical results from the Sardis examples are encouraged to contact Dr. Brill at The Corning Museum of Glass, Corning, New York.

Our colleague in the general editorship, Stephen W. Jacobs, died suddenly on August 8, 1978. As Cornell University's representative, he had shaped the policies of the Sardis Expedition, especially in architectural research, and had inspired the great restoration program of the Marble Court of the Gymnasium at Sardis. We mourn the loss of this sage counselor and staunch friend.

Monograph 6 of the Sardis series represents studies of the material found at Sardis by excavations and research extending over twenty years and is the result of a broadly based effort. However, without the publication grants of the Corning Glass Works Foundation and the Samuel H. Kress Foundation this study could never have been published. We are most grateful to Dr. Franklin D. Murphy and Mary M. Davis of the Kress Foundation and to Thomas S. Buechner and Richard B. Bessey of Corning and to the boards of trustees for this generous assistance.

George M. A. Hanfmann
Jane Ayer Scott
Harvard University

AUTHOR'S PREFACE

The glass published here comes from the excavations undertaken between 1958 and 1978 by the Archaeological Exploration of Sardis of Harvard and Cornell Universities under the direction of George M. A. Hanfmann until 1976 and of Crawford H. Greenewalt, Jr., thereafter. It is with deep gratitude that I thank George Hanfmann for his invitation to study the finds from this site. His knowledge, kindness, and high professional standards are admired by his colleagues and students; I would like to join the chorus in praise of him and his wife, Ilse. I am, furthermore, most grateful to Jane Ayer Scott, whose never-ending patience was severely tested by my long delays in delivering the manuscript.

We were fortunate enough to have had the assistance of a dedicated staff who excelled in editing, correlating, typing, and proofreading the texts, catalogue entries, and statistical tables. My heartfelt thanks are due to Ann B. Brownlee, M. Elizabeth Craig, Debra A. Hudak, Christopher Ratté, Monika Salter, Ruth Weil, and Electra D. Yorsz. The excellent drawings are the work of Elaine Gazda and Elizabeth Wahle. Most of the photographs were taken by Elizabeth Gombosi.

I would like to thank the following persons and institutions for information, photographs, and permission to reproduce and publish illustrations: Kristin Anderson, Department of Classical Art, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston; Dan Barag, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Mary Crawford, The Egypt Exploration Society; Sidney M. Goldstein, The Corning Museum of Glass; Clasina Isings, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht; Philip J. King, President, American Schools of Oriental Research; Margrit Ludwig, Rheinisches Landesmuseum, Bonn; D. C. van den Oever, Wolters-Noordhoff bv, Groningen; Erwin Oppenländer, Waiblingen; Helmut Ricke, Kunstmuseum der Stadt Düsseldorf; Louise A. Shier, Curator, Kelsey Museum of Ancient and Medieval Archaeology, University of Michigan; and Elisabeth Stommel, Römisch-Germanisches Museum, Cologne.

The Corning Glass Works Foundation and The Corning Museum of Glass sponsored and financed four visits to Sardis in 1960, 1968, 1969, and 1978. I would like to extend my thanks to those authorities who were responsible for allocating the necessary funds. I join the editors in thanking Corning and the Samuel H. Kress Foundation for making the preparation and publication of this volume possible.

Axel von Saldern
Hamburg
November 1979
### Technical Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.s.l.</td>
<td>above sea level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>coin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca.</td>
<td>circa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cm.</td>
<td>centimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diam.</td>
<td>diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dim.</td>
<td>dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>east</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esp.</td>
<td>especially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>est.</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ext.</td>
<td>exterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td>height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>inscription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int.</td>
<td>interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km.</td>
<td>kilometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>ceramic lamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.</td>
<td>length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.</td>
<td>left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.</td>
<td>meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max.</td>
<td>maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min.</td>
<td>minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm.</td>
<td>millimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>not from the excavations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>preserved pottery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.diam.</td>
<td>preserved diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.H.</td>
<td>preserved height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.L.</td>
<td>preserved length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.W.</td>
<td>preserved width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.</td>
<td>right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>south</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>sculpture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>terracotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th.</td>
<td>thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>west</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.</td>
<td>width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* (preceding numeral)</td>
<td>level (e.g. *98.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions of the glass objects are given in cm. throughout the volume.

Coin attributions that differ from those published in *BASOR* are on the authority of Ann Johnston and T. V. Buttrey and will appear in the forthcoming monograph on coins from Sardis.


Sector Abbreviations

For more complete sector information and explanation of Ac and AhT grids, see Sardis RI (1975) 9-16; for site plan see Plan III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>Acropolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcN</td>
<td>N spur of Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcS</td>
<td>S spur of Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcT</td>
<td>Top of Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AhT</td>
<td>Ahlath Tepecik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Artemis Temple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Building B, the Gymnasium complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCH</td>
<td>Central hall of B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>Eastern area of B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-A, BE-B, BE-C,</td>
<td>Rooms S of BE-H and BE-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-D, BE-E</td>
<td>Rooms N of BE-H and BE-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-DD, BE-EE</td>
<td>Rooms N of MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-H</td>
<td>Hall with pool W of MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-N</td>
<td>Room N of MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-S</td>
<td>Room S of MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK</td>
<td>Başoğluľuköy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. A</td>
<td>Unexcavated Roman building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. C</td>
<td>Roman basilica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. D</td>
<td>Unexcavated church, probably Justinianic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNH</td>
<td>N apsidal hall of central part of B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 1-E 19</td>
<td>Byzantine Shops, E shops numbers 1 through 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 1-W 16</td>
<td>Byzantine Shops, W shops numbers 1 through 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSH</td>
<td>S apsidal hall of central part of B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>Bin Tepe Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>Western area of B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWH</td>
<td>Central hall of BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-W N Area</td>
<td>Northern part of BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-W S Area</td>
<td>Southern part of BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Roman bath (formerly “City Gate”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG/MAE</td>
<td>Central arched recess (MAE) on the E side of the eastern wall (CGE) of Hall CGC in CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG/MAW</td>
<td>Central arched recess (MAW) on the W side of the eastern wall (CGE) of Hall CGC in CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>City Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU</td>
<td>Duman Tepe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>Eski Balkhane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERd</td>
<td>East Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc</td>
<td>see Syn Fc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoB</td>
<td>House of Bronzes and Lydian Trench area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG</td>
<td>Kâğırlık Tepe Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Building complex SW of AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Altar of Artemis W of AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNH 1-3</td>
<td>Long N hall N of Pa at B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Church M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector Abbreviations</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Marble Court at B (Gymnasium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRd</td>
<td>Main Avenue (Main Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMS</td>
<td>Monuments Mudbrick Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Middle Terrace East, trench S of HoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTW</td>
<td>Middle Terrace West, trench S of HoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>Northeast Wadi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSB</td>
<td>Stelai bases set up along N side of LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Palaestra, E of MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-E, Pa-W, Pa-N, Pa-S</td>
<td>E, W, N, S corridors of Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBr</td>
<td>Pactolus Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Pactolus Cliff area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>Packed Columns Area E of Syn ca. E117–E124/ S0–S4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC LVC</td>
<td>Large vaulted chamber at PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC SVC</td>
<td>Small vaulted chamber at PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Hypocaust Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>Pactolus Industrial Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Pactolus North area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN/E</td>
<td>Church E at PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN/EA</td>
<td>Church EA at PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Peacock Tomb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Building R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT, RTE, RTW</td>
<td>Road Trench, Road Trench East, Road Trench West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB</td>
<td>Stelai bases set up along S side of LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWG</td>
<td>Southwest Gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>Synagogue, S of Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn Fc</td>
<td>Forecourt of Syn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn MH</td>
<td>Main hall of Syn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench S</td>
<td>Trench S of AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TU</td>
<td>Acropolis Tunnels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Upper Terrace at HoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRd</td>
<td>West Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations of periodicals used throughout are those listed in the American Journal of Archaeology 82 (1978) 5–10.

The monographs and reports published by the Harvard–Cornell Expedition are cited under Sardis, below. The reports of the first Sardis expedition were published under the general series title of Sardis, Publications of the American Society for the Excavation of Sardis. Seventeen volumes were planned by H. C. Butler, Director of Excavations (Sardis I [1922] viii); of these, nine were actually published and are cited here under Sardis.
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ANCIENT AND
BYZANTINE GLASS
FROM SARDIS
INTRODUCTION

The glass published in this volume comprises over 900 items. With relatively few exceptions the finds of hollow glass (lamps, vessels etc.) consist only of fragments. Chronologically they fall into four periods.

Pre-Roman (1–14) includes a small series of sand-core vessels (in the following the terms “vessels” and “fragments” are interchangeable) and Hellenistic bowls. All recorded objects are included in the catalogue. Roman (15–233) consists predominantly of bottles and bowls from the first to the third or fourth century A.D. as well as a few other objects. Again all items identified as belonging to this group are included in the catalogue. Early Byzantine (234–737) dates from ca. A.D. 400 to 616. At least 95% of all glass finds from Sardis belongs to this period. Of those only a small portion—perhaps less than one tenth—has been listed because the publication of every sherd would have been redundant. We attempted to record those objects that are in fairly good condition—if one chooses to call the small but well preserved portion of a vessel an object “in good condition”—and that come from datable contexts. Middle Byzantine (738–796) consists of bracelets and “cakes” used as raw material, to the exclusion of almost any other objects. The period covered by these finds ranges from about the late tenth to the thirteenth and perhaps fourteenth centuries.

Two groups of objects (797–874) are not integrated within the chronological divisions. They encompass ring stones and beads, two series difficult to date when found unstratified and which have, therefore, been separated from the other objects.

By far the most important group of glasses from Sardis belongs to the Early Byzantine period. The invaluable contribution of the Harvard-Cornell archaeological project was to furnish a large, relatively well dated body of material covering a period from about A.D. 400 to 616 which has enriched our knowledge of the history of post-Roman glass in the Near East.

During the Imperial period numerous glass factories and small workshops had been established not only in the heartland of glassmaking—Syria and Egypt—and in Italy but also in practically all other regions under Roman rule. Thus manufacturing facilities were located along almost the entire border of the Mediterranean, in Asia Minor, South Russia, in the Roman-occupied territories north of the Alps, in Spain, and in England. These establishments produced all kinds of inexpensive table and kitchenware, profusely decorated luxury glass, window panes and mosaic cubes, beads and miscellaneous items such as game pieces, stamps, and rods.

Shortly before and after A.D. 400 when western Roman rule either diminished greatly or had ceased to exist altogether in the East as in the West, glass manufacture suffered a noticeable reduction in output and quality of work. Luxury glass was produced only in workshops within the Sassanian Empire to

1. Or late antique, cf. the terminology used in Sardis M4 (1976) ix.

serve the rulers and the wealthy; some of this glass appears to have been fashioned in the Euphrates region and was exported west. It includes extensively cut vessels of predominantly heavy clear or slightly greenish glass. In most other regions in the Near East, particularly in Palestine, ordinary hollow glassware, windows, and tesserae were probably the sole products of workshops that catered to a clientele satisfied with simple glass utensils for everyday use. The earlier wealth of forms gave way to a more limited variety of shapes, decorative devices were kept to a minimum, and the total number of objects seems to have been much less than in the third and fourth centuries.

Factories within the boundaries of the Eastern Roman Empire continued to make glass that was very similar to that of the fourth century. For example, the predominant fabric of the fourth century, the so-called Syrian blue green glass, continued to be used in the fifth and sixth centuries. Likewise basic forms such as long-necked bottles, wide-rimmed bowls, and stemmed goblets are found in various sites at levels datable to the late fourth as well as to the fifth and sixth centuries, without showing any appreciable change in style (see Chapter III, introduction).

The material found at Sardis is in accord with the general situation of post-Roman glassmaking in the East. The great importance of the finds, however, lies in the proof, documented by thousands of vessel fragments, that extensive manufacturing facilities in a provincial town such as Sardis probably began their operation shortly before A.D. 400, at a time when many factories in other regions throughout the ancient world had ceased production. Astonishingly large quantities of admittedly fairly ordinary hollow ware, flat glass, and tesserae were produced until the fall of the city in A.D. 616; the finds thus provide us with an extensive body of material of a time that hitherto belonged to a "gray" area in the history of glass.

The Sequence of Glassmaking at Sardis

From Hellenistic times until the fourth century A.D. glass seems to have been relatively rare in Sardis. All the finds, even the ordinary bottles from graves, are probably imports. The cut and engraved ware of the luxury class satisfied the refined taste of the rich. Sometime in the very late fourth century at least one factory was established which supplied large amounts of table and kitchenware, flat glass for window panes, and tesserae. Extensive finds of cullet and fragments of pots are proof of such manufacture. These products seem to have mainly served the needs of the populace of Sardis. The glassmakers held fast to long-established traditions developed particularly in Palestine; they do not seem to have been eager to experiment. And it appears that they were not asked by the citizens to produce vessels richly decorated with elaborate threading, engraving, cutting, molding or painting. Their products show such close affinities to glass made in other regions (Chapter III, introduction) that one is inclined to believe that Syrian glassmakers were responsible for at least the initial phase of manufacture in Sardis. In addition, relatively close contacts must have existed between the various establishments within the Byzantine Empire because the wares of the sixth and seventh centuries produced in diverse regions resemble one another to an astonishing degree. At Sardis, Early Byzantine glass comprises over three dozen basic forms, excluding their variants. Their publication may provide an important link between the better known late Roman and early Islamic glass. A few extraordinary finds such as the important fragment of dichroic glass (63) were certainly imports; Sardis does not appear to have had workshops equipped to turn out highly sophisticated glass vessels.

All activities must have ended in A.D. 616. Only much later, in the Middle Byzantine period, from the late tenth to the thirteenth or fourteenth century, one or more small shops again began to manufacture glass, but their products seem to have been limited to bracelets and maybe to window glass. A few stray finds of imported "Islamic" glass are of no consequence.

Terminology

Only a few words should be said about some of the terms used in this volume. Donald B. Harden (Karanis, 6-24) has set admirable standards for all writers following him by providing detailed terminology for ancient glass; the user of this volume should consult the introduction of the book on Karanis for a better understanding of the whole subject.

The lowest part of a vessel is the base. It can be flat or slightly concave (slightly domed), or it may have a pushed-up central part that can be conical in cross section ("high kick"). A base ring can be made by tooling the lowest part of the vessel in such a way that a double-walled ring is formed to serve as base. A sepa-

4. See Barag, "Glass Vessels."
5. For other industries see Sardis M4 (1976) 14ff.
Introduction

rately formed base ring can also be attached to the vessel.

Feet are usually made separately in conjunction with short stems and then attached to the bottom of the bowl. A foot as well as the rim of the bowl of a vessel may be left plain, or made more resistant by exposure to heat (fire polishing) whereby the rim receives a thickened, rounded edge. Edges of rims and feet can also be folded inward or outward; this operation sometimes causes an air trap within the folded edge.

Stems are cylindrical, concave (waisted), or conical (tapering upward or downward).

Vessel walls are either conical (straight-sided and tapering in one direction) or curved whereby the walls may, in profile, be concave or convex. Bottles are usually cylindrical, spherical, oval (with greatest diameter at center), ovoid (greatest diameter at shoulder), egg- or bell-shaped. If the exact shape of the body of a bottle or bowl is unknown the term "globular" is used.

A description of the colors and tints in ancient glass may also be found in Harden, Karanis. The colors of ordinary Roman Imperial glass show all shades of green, from a deep blue-green (aquamarine) to tints of green, olive-green, and yellow. As all pre-Roman and most probably also all Roman glass found in Sardis was imported, no clearly identifiable fabrics are distinguishable (ordinary bottles such as those catalogued under 110–176 are practically identical to thousands of other bottles found around the Mediterranean that are greenish or yellowish in color). On the other hand, Early Byzantine glass, no doubt manufactured in Sardis proper, shows a remarkable homogeneity; it has, therefore, been possible to list a number of major fabrics (see Chapter III, introduction).

The glass found at Sardis shows many types of decomposition that range from a frosted, slightly iridescent surface to a scaling, many-layered scum and heavily pitted corrosion, the result of long exposure to adverse conditions in the soil. Many Early Byzantine pieces show a particular effect that is the result of intense heat during a conflagration: the glass surface is dark and dirty looking, covering a sometimes brilliant iridescence, an effect best described as "dirty fire scum." The most complete listing of all states of weathering and deterioration of ancient glass can be found in the introduction of Harden, Karanis.

Statistics

Listed in table 1 are only the vessels, fragmentary vessels, and fragments which have been included in the catalogue. In addition, the totals include all other vessel sherds that have actually been recognized as having belonged to identifiable forms. To the various totals was added a certain percentage (10–15%) to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fragments</th>
<th>Vessels</th>
<th>Vessel totals</th>
<th>Windows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Roman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded and catalogued</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>= 28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(actual no.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded and catalogued (est.)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>= 66</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Byzantine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded and catalogued (inc. vessels)</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>= 420</td>
<td>Rough est., over 1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958–60 (est.)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>= 500*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961–64 (est.)</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>= 2300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965–66 (est.)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>= 450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967–69 (est.)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>= 250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (est.)</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>= 4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cf. Chapter III, introduction. It can be assumed that no more than ca. 2 fragments represent one vessel, i.e. the number of fragments should be divided by 2.
take care of those fragments that were overlooked by the author. The glass fragments found in Sardis were recorded as follows: 1) Single objects which have an inventory number that have been entered in the registry. Most of these items are included in the catalogue. 2) Boxes containing more than one sherd coming from a specific location; sometimes half a dozen were put together in one box while in another case a box or carton might be filled with five or twenty-five pounds of sherds. All boxes are labeled with a locus. The author (a) selected out of these boxes single items for the catalogue, (b) counted those fragments in the boxes that he was able to identify and entered them in the statistical tables, (c) estimated the amount of non-descript fragments and also entered them in statistical tables (table 2). This task was complicated by the fact that much of the glass was smashed to small sherds and, therefore, resisted identification. It was equally difficult to estimate the total amount of unidentified sherds; however, it was felt that even very rough estimates, inaccurate as they may be, might give the reader a better understanding of the glass production in Sardis than no statistical table at all.

We should add that an attempt was made to record all pre-Roman, Roman, and Middle Byzantine glass. Because much of the material, particularly that from MTE and AcT, is unstratified, late Roman and Early Byzantine glass tends to get confused: common Roman Imperial ware of the fourth century is very similar indeed to common Early Byzantine ware of the centuries after ca. A.D. 400. Thus, many sherds of vessels and window panes computed in the statistical table as being Early Byzantine may actually be of third or fourth century date. This problem, however, is not as serious as one would think: as perhaps roughly 90% of the Early Byzantine glass is fairly accurately dated, the margin of error with regard to confusing late Roman and Early Byzantine glass among the Sardis finds is very small. If a sure method of separating the two groups were to be devised in the near future, the totals as computed would not have to be changed by more than 1%.

All items with decoration, for example pattern molding and thread decoration, have been included in the catalogue. The majority of the sherds that could not be identified were probably part of bottles, bowls, lamps, goblets, beakers, etc., in this order. Thus, the total number of bottles as listed here (ca. 500) would increase considerably while beakers, on the other hand, may have existed in more limited numbers in Sardis.

Table 2. Early Byzantine types. The amount given for each type is based on sherds that were positively identified. These are minimum totals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Number (est.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring bases</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concave bases (of bottles?)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottles, necks of bottles</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaded necks</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Cf. Chapter III, introduction. It can be assumed that no more than ca. 2 fragments represent one vessel.
In first millennium B.C. levels at a site in Asia Minor one would expect a number of beads, a few vessels or fragments of core-formed glass, and perhaps the remains of pre-Hellenistic or Hellenistic bowls. The Sardis finds confirm what is known about glass excavated at other sites in Turkey. Only very few finds of pre-Roman date were stratified. Thanks to recent archaeological work and extensive research much information has lately been gathered on core-formed and molded glass. While the former became old-fashioned toward the third and second centuries B.C., the latter, successor to the cut bowls of Achaemenid and early Hellenistic times, seems to have become the most popular glass vessel type during the second and first centuries.

3. For the most complete survey of core-formed glass see Fossing, passim. The core glass of the New Empire is treated in B. Nolte, Die Glasefässer im alten Agypten (Berlin 1968) while Mesopotamian and Syrian core glass prior to the mid-1st millennium was published by D. Barag in A. L. Oppenheim, R. Brill, D. Barag, and A. von Saldern, Glass and Glassmaking in Ancient Mesopotamia (Corning 1970) 129–199. The so-called late core-formed glass made in the Eastern Mediterranean from about the 6th–1st C. B.C. will be treated in extenso by B. Nolte and also by J. D. Cooney in two separate studies; for a recent survey on this group see B. Nolte in Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenlander, 13ff.

CORE-FORMED GLASS

Core-forming—the dominant manufacturing method in Egypt and Mesopotamia in the second half of the second millennium B.C.—reappeared in Mesopotamia in the eighth or seventh century B.C. and shortly afterwards along the Syrian coast. The exact location of manufacturing facilities for the last group is as yet unknown; it can be assumed, however, that most of the workshops must have been situated in the area along the coast which was the heartland of Phoenicia and today comprises the western parts of Lebanon and Israel. Other workshops appear to have been in operation on the Black Sea, in Rhodes, Cyprus, and elsewhere. The frequency of production increased during the second half of the first millennium, to subside almost instantaneously when glass blowing was introduced. This event probably took place about 40–30 B.C. in precisely the same region that had witnessed the late flowering of core-
formed as well as molded glass. Much of the production was exported; in fact the majority of finds come from Greece and the Greek islands, Spain, Italy, Asia Minor, etc.

A core-formed vessel was found in the 1912 campaign directed by H. C. Butler; nothing is known, however, about its shape or type of decoration as Butler's report lacks a description of the piece, which was lost in World War I. Since 1961, five additional fragments of core-formed glass have been found. One, 1, is fairly securely dated while the others come from disturbed levels. Because most are too small to allow a reconstruction of the shape of the original vessels, the core glass finds from Sardis will not add much information to the relatively uncertain chronological sequence of the types within the whole group.

Part of an alabastron, 1, was found (with an Ionian cup) in the tumulus, Duman Tepe, in the Lydian cemetery of Bin Tepe. It is datable to the first half of the fifth century B.C. 2 appears to have belonged to a fluted amphoriskos, a form common in the sixth and fifth centuries B.C. The most unusual fragment in the Sardis group, 3, is made of opaque white glass with blue thread decoration, an extremely rare color combination. White core-formed glass, generally decorated with brown or purple thread patterns, is much rarer than blue glass with yellow, white, etc., threads and seems to be limited to the sixth and fifth centuries B.C. Much of the documented material in this series is known to come from South Russia.

Most of the specimens in the core-formed group from Sardis have a yellow thread wound around the lip, a characteristic that does not help to date them more accurately nor to reconstruct their original form. Hellenistic core-formed glass differs from that of the mid-first millennium by its greater, or different, range of colors. A dark green material represented by 4 seems to be more in line with Hellenistic, than with sixth to fifth century glass.

H. 5.0.
BT/DU (inside chamber).
*BASOR* 186, 50–51, fig. 33.

2 Pl. 1. G61.25:3804. Curved section of core-formed, vertically fluted amphoriskos. Blue; decolorized, with 2 sections of zigzag threads in white and yellow.
Max. diam. 4.0.
PN S380/W250, level IV, ca. 6th-5th C.; for dating of *BASOR* 166, 24 (mention).

**HELLENISTIC BOWLS**

About ten fragments of Hellenistic glass bowls with lathe-cut rings were found. Fifteen years ago these vessels were thought to represent luxury glassware while the core-formed vessels, particularly at this stage in the development of glass, were certainly more ordinary. Recent finds, however, have shown that Hellenistic glass of the bowl type represented at Sardis was not as rare as once supposed. Derived from Achaemenid vessels, they are usually undecorated save for cut rings (cf. *Pl. 1*); those with embellishment show various kinds of cut leaf rosettes and, in rare cases, a shoulder frieze of “wings.”

6. According to most recent archaeological evidence the date of the introduction of the blowpipe may be advanced perhaps to the mid–1st C. B.C.; N. Avigad, “Excavations of the Jewish Quarter of the Old City of Jerusalem,” *IEJ* 22 (1972) 200.

10. Ibid., 15–20; Hesperia 53, “a complete specimen of figured glass commonly known as Phoenician.”
Within the group of undecorated bowls are three basic shapes with a number of variants: the hemisphere; a more shallow, segmental form; and a more pointed shape. Their color is usually limited to light green—a few examples tend to be almost clear with green tint—and light brown (often called amber); in rare cases the glass is blue or purple. The plain bowls were probably made by enclosing ground glass between a negative and a positive mold and exposing this unit to a slow and extended heating process for fusing. After removal from the double mold the bowl was ground and polished.

Decorated bowls of this type always have one or more lathe-cut grooves located below the rim on the interior and, less frequently, on the exterior. Sometimes there is a cut ring at the interior bottom.

Bowls of this type have been excavated mainly along the Syrian-Palestinian coast and in Cyprus, Asia Minor, Greece, Italy, Egypt, and Southeast Europe. In addition, many examples without known provenance are preserved in public and private collections. Practically nothing is as yet known about the exact location of the manufacturing centers. We can assume that Alexandria, for one, must have been a center of luxury glass production where workshops specializing in cut, gold, and millefiori glass were situated. However, other cities along the eastern half of the Mediterranean must also have harbored workshops making plain and cut glass bowls in the “Alexandrian manner.” It is, therefore, conceivable that the examples found at Sardis were imported from any point along the Eastern Mediterranean.

Plain bowls seem to belong to the latter part of the Hellenistic period, i.e. towards the second and early first centuries B.C. As far as I know no bowl has as yet been found that could be securely dated earlier or later than this period. Their manufacture was slowly discontinued when pillar-molded bowls (infra) came into fashion and glass blowing became the major manufacturing technique. Thus the latest example may have been made not later than the third quarter of the first century B.C.

The fragments excavated at Sardis belong to the plain type. Most of them are brown, a few are green, and one, 7, has a purple cast. As the sherds are fairly small, no conclusion can be drawn as to the exact shape of the original vessels. However, there seems to be a preponderance of hemispherical and segmental shapes while the deeper, pointed type—generally perhaps the most frequent—may be represented only by one fragment which shows a slightly out-splayed rim, 6. Unfortunately, almost all fragments come from unstratified layers or from levels containing mixed Hellenistic and Roman material. Apparently no terminus ante quem could be established for any of the areas which contained remnants of Hellenistic bowls. With one single exception, 9, which was found in a pit in Syn MH with early Roman material (BASOR 182, 40), including part of a pillar-molded bowl, 27, the fragments of the bowls were excavated at HoB where they belong to relatively high levels.


7 Rim fragment of hemispherical bowl; 2 cut int. grooves below edge. Pale purple, in sections turning to clear. Similar to 6. Max. dim. 5.5, est. diam. 9.0. HoB 1966 W5-W10/S105.

8 PL 20. G60.9:2714. Rim fragment of almost hemispherical bowl; cut int. groove below edge. Pale olive green. Max. dim. ca. 5, est. diam. of bowl ca. 15 (very large). HoB E10/S105 *101-100.5, unstratified. AFA 66, 6, no. 2b, pl. 8:2b.


10 Rim fragment of segmental bowl; cut int. groove. Brown; heavily frosted. Max. dim. 4.5, est. diam. ca. 13. HoB 1961 E5-E10/S85 to *100.70.

11 Bowl fragment, similar to 10. Max. dim. 4.5, est. diam. 15.0. HoB 1963 W15/S110-S115 to *101.00.
12  Rim fragment of probably hemispherical bowl; cut int. groove. Brown; enamel-like scum. 
Max. dim. 5.3. 
HoB 1962 E5-10/S11 to *101.40.

13  G60.10:2715. Rim fragment of shallow bowl, cut int. groove below edge. Light brown. 
Max. dim. 4.0. 
HoB E20/S90, fill. 
AJA 66, 6, no. 2c.

Max. dim. 6, est. diam. 15, Th. 2.0. 
HoB E15/S85, fill to *99.05. 
AJA 66, 6, no. 2d, pl. 5:2.
As is to be expected, the Roman glass from Sardis is more extensive than the pre-Roman. Graves and chance finds have produced a few dozen quite ordinary bottles that are mainly datable to the first to third centuries A.D. All shapes represented are well known from many Roman sites. With few exceptions the other Roman finds do not offer great surprises. As they all seem to be imports from Syria-Palestine, parallel finds abound—for example from Dura Europos. Remains of early Imperial colored ware, pillar-molded bowls, vessels with warts and thread decoration (including "nip't diamond waies") as well as common kitchenware were found in fair but not particularly unusual quantities. Material of this sort almost always includes a limited selection of stamps, pins, rods, rings, etc. (cf. the finds from Cyprus) and, occasionally, window glass.

The quantity of sherds of Roman vessels with elaborate decoration cut in geometric patterns that came to light was unusually large for a site in Asia Minor. They range in date from the late first to the third century and no doubt represent the luxury ware of the time. Exceptional is a rim fragment with an inlaid strip of blue glass. It seems that the wealthy clientele in Sardis purchased very elegant glass that suited their refined taste. Decorated glass—for example "zarte Rippenschalen," makes its appearance about the mid-first century A.D., and the latest cut glass is datable to the third century, suggesting that expensive objects imported from the southeast were in particular demand. In contrast, the period after A.D. 400, when glass was produced in large quantities in Sardis, seems to have been more austere:

EARLY IMPERIAL COLORED GLASS

An important category of early Roman Imperial glass comprises plates and bowls in an often strongly colored material typical of the luxury ware of the period. After fusing the glass in double molds, or after blowing, objects such as these vessels were extensively ground, generally on a lathe, to cut grooves into the surface and polish the exterior and interior walls. The shapes of the vessels are in most cases identical with, or very similar to, the contemporary terra sigillata ware. Most of the finds, especially those from Italy and Switzerland, can be dated to Tiberian and Claudian times. However, as the change from late Hellenistic to early Roman glass is almost imperceptible (cf. infra pillar-molded bowls), it is impossible to assign precise dates. Most of the material in this group comes from Italy and southern Switzerland; however, finds like those from Sardis were certainly imported from regions along the Eastern Mediterranean. The objects are always well made and carefully finished, representing early Imperial luxury glass production and are com-

parable to the contemporary mosaic and millefiori glass and the reticelli vessels.\(^3\)

The small group of fragments of brown and blue bowls from Sardis belonging in this group is difficult to date. The sherd are too small to enable one to reconstruct the original vessels and none are dated by stratigraphic context.

Two pieces, 17 and 18, come from the area where fragments of Hellenistic bowls were found. The other two, 15 and 16, were discovered in Syn SE pit. A rim fragment of a purple bowl with grooves, 21, found in a mixed fill, and a chance find of several fragments of a deep bowl in transparent turquoise-blue glass, 22, appear to be closely related to the grooved vessels of colorless glass, 45–59. Although the original vessels cannot be reconstructed from fragments of this size, it seems likely that they belonged to the first century A.D.\(^3\)

Undoubtedly of early Roman date is the fragment of the bottom of a blue bowl with pronounced and ground base ring, 23; it cannot be dated accurately with the aid of stratigraphy, but it is closely related to well-known types of the Julio-Claudian period.\(^4\) With it was found the fragment of a dark blue cup, 24, which can also be assigned to the first century A.D.; two other vessel sherd of deep aquamarine-colored glass discovered at the same spot are too small for identification.

### Bowls

15 Fragment with 2 cut int. grooves, one wide, one narrow. Brown.
P.H. 3.0, Th. 0.5.
Syn SE pit 1965 E87-E90/N1.5-N2.5 to *93.65.

16 Curved wall fragment. Brown.
P.H. 3.5, Th. 0.1.
Syn SE pit 1965 E87-E90/N1.5-N2.9 to *93.75.

17 Wall fragment, probably one cut groove. Brown.
P.H. 2.5, Th. 0.3.
HoB 1963 W20/S110-S115 to *100.80.

18 Wall fragment. Blue.
P.H. 3.5, Th. to 0.5.
HoB 1963 W5/S120-S125 to *101.20.

19 Group of vessel fragments of purple and brown glass. One rim fragment with int. groove, one with faint lines on ext. Found with 2 fragments of amber glass, belonging to late Hellenistic or early Imperial pillar-molded bowls.
HoB 1965, upper mixed fill, *102.40-100.50.

20 Curved wall fragment, one ext. cut groove. Light brown.
Max. dim. 3.8.

21 Slightly curved rim section with a wide and a narrow cut groove. Purple.
Max. dim. 4.1, est. diam. ca. 11.0.
HoB Lydian Trench 1963, upper mixed fill.

22 *Pls. 1, 20.* Several fragments, including 3 joining rim fragments probably of the same bowl. The rim fragments with slightly curved wall have a cut ridge 1 cm. below edge and a double groove 3 cm. below edge. Transparent turquoise-blue.
P.H. 6.5, est. diam. 10.0.
Chance find 1960.

### Cups

23 Base section of cup, similar to 27, with base ring and curving wall; concentric lathe marks on int. Blue.
Est. diam. base 4.2.

24 Flat base and lower portion of shallow cup or bowl with pronounced convex curvature. Dark blue.
P.H. 5.0.

### PATELLA CUP

Profiled cups of colored glass always made with a base ring—so-called patella cups—derive from ceramic prototypes.\(^5\) They are generally made of opaque white, blue, green, or red, as well as of millefiori, glass; cups of transparent glass are rare. Most of the cups known to us—many through the Eastern art market—appear to have been made in Syria; others


3. For various grooved vessels of this period, for example, almost straight-walled beakers and bowls with slightly convex sides, cf. particularly Berger, no. 94ff.

4. Cf. ibid., 28, 30, no. 43, with parallel pieces cited.

5. Isings, no. 2. Cf. also *Smith Coll.,* no. 179 (no. 179f, here *Pl. 1*); Saldern et al., *Slg. Oppenländer,* nos. 278ff; Fremersdorf, *Buntglas,* pls. 29–30; La Baume, no. C 5.
were certainly manufactured in Italy and elsewhere in the late first century B.C. and first century A.D. (cf. Pl. 1).

The process of making them is similar to the method used for producing pillar-molded bowls (infra): ground glass was placed in a two-part, positive-negative mold and then fused; after cooling, the vessel was ground and polished.

The Sardis fragment, 25, is made of clear glass, a fabric rarely used in this group. It was found in a level that included Roman ground and cut glass of the late first to third century; a facet-cut sherd, 64, was discovered with this fragment.

25 Pls. 1, 20. Ca. one-half of body, curved wall with base ring, rim turned outward and upward. Clear; silver scum. P.H. 4.3; est. diam. rim 8.0, base 5.0. MTE 1964 E67-E72/S157-S161 *111.90-111.70. Found with 64.

SKYPHOS

A handle of aquamarine glass with horizontal thumb rest formed part of a skyphos, 26 (cf. also 209). The earliest glass skyphoi—which imitated prototypes in metal and semiprecious stones—appear in late Hellenistic and early Roman Imperial contexts and belong to the luxury glass of this period. Early Roman examples are still quite rare; they have either straight or round walls (cf. Pl. 2). Some of those made of blown glass and dated to the first century A.D. are executed in a less careful manner. It seems likely that the handle from Sardis belongs to this latter group; it comes from an unstratified level (BASÖR 162, 17).


PILLAR-MOLDED BOWLS

The so-called pillar-molded bowl is one of the most popular forms of early Roman Imperial glass. It is a segmental bowl of varying height with a frieze of more or less pronounced, vertically oriented ribs around the shoulder (cf. Pl. 2).

Typologically the pillar-molded bowl succeeds the similar late Hellenistic bowl. The transitional stage between the two groups is represented by a number of bowls of roughly hemispherical shape and with rudimentary ribs that include, for example, a handsome piece (H. ca. 10 cm., diam. ca. 13 cm.) found in Masada (Jerusalem, Israel Museum); similar objects are found predominantly in Palestine. The earliest examples of Roman Imperial pillar-molded bowls may have been introduced in the late first century B.C., probably in the Syrian-Palestinian region. They abound throughout the first century A.D. in practically all areas of the Roman Empire. One can distinguish a few variants which may have been made simultaneously; they include shallow and deep bowls, bowls with friezes of short and shallow ribs around the shoulder or with protruding and clearly defined ribs starting at the shoulder and tapering towards the base. It is as yet impossible to ascertain whether these variants follow a logical sequence in the development of form and decoration. However, one can be relatively certain that the majority of the finds belong to the first century A.D.

Pillar-molded bowls are generally made of greenish or pale aquamarine, more rarely of brown, blue, purple, and occasionally of opaque white glass; a number are made of millefiori glass. The Sardis finds, no doubt imported from the Eastern Mediterranean, include seven fragments of aquamarine and pale green, four of brown, and four of blue, ratios not representa-
tive of the total number of finds of this type in either the East or the West.

The fragments found at Sardis belong to the most common variety, i.e. a shallow, segmental bowl with straight rim and pronounced ribs. In general the shoulder zone along the termination of the ribs is carefully polished, one or two interior grooves are cut into the surface, and a cut ring often appears at the bottom. The manufacturing technique seems to have been identical or very similar to that of the Hellenistic bowls, 6-14, namely melting ground glass between a positive and a negative mold; the ribs may have sometimes been applied after the basic vessel was completed.

The fragments listed here cannot be dated through stratigraphy. 27 and 37, come from the same Syn SE pit that contained the fragment of a Hellenistic bowl, 9. The majority were discovered in mixed levels at HoB which contained Hellenistic and Roman material.

27 Wall fragment with portions of 2 ribs. Pale aquamarine.
Max. dim. 3.7.
Syn MH SE pit 1965 E87-E90/N1.5-N2.9 *93.65.

28 Rim fragment, sections of 2 ribs; cut int. single groove and another pair of grooves 3 cm. below rim. Colorless with pale aquamarine tint.
Max. dim. 7.0, est. diam. 11.0.
Syn 1965 E87.5-E89/N3.25-N4.75 *92.60-91.60.

29 G60.12:2717. Rim fragment, small section of 2 ribs; pair of cut int. grooves. Aquamarine; slight decomposition.
Max. dim. 4.7, est. diam. 14.0.
HoB E15/S100, mixed Lydian to Roman fill.
 AJA 66, 7, no. 4b.

30 Wall fragment, portions of 3 relatively flat ribs; pair of cut int. grooves. Colorless with bluish green tint and purple streaks.
Max. dim. 5.
HoB 1961 W10/S90-S95 to *101.15-100.35.

31 Wall fragment, sections of 4 ribs. Colorless with pale aquamarine tint; heavy brown scum.
Max. dim. 6.5.

32 Pl. 20. Rim and shoulder fragment, several closely spaced ribs; 2 cut ext. grooves, one at int. Greenish.
Est. diam. at shoulder 15.0-17.0, Th. 0.5.
HoB 1963 W25/S105 to *100.20.

33 Wall fragment, sections of 3 ribs. Aquamarine.
Max. dim. 3.5.
HoB 1965 W34-W35/S120-S122 *102.00-101.40.

Max. dim. 5.0, est. diam. 18.0.
HoB near grave 59-g, E10/S60 *98.71.
 AJA 66, 6-7, no. 4a, pl. 5:4.

35 Wall fragment, pair of cut int. grooves. Blue.
Max. dim. 3.5.
HoB 1963 ca. W13-W35/S120-S130, fill near surface.

36 Wall fragment, portion of rib. Blue.
Max. dim. 2.3.
PN 1965 W300-W305/S925-S928 *86.50-86.20.

37 Rim fragment, faint portion of rib, cut int. groove. Light blue.
Max. dim. 3.5.
Syn MH SE pit 1965 E87-E90/N1.5-N2.9 to *93.65.

38 Rim fragment, small portion of rib; pair of cut int. grooves. Light brown.
Max. dim. 3.5.
HoB 1963 W13-W18/S120-S125 to *102.30.

39 Rim fragment, sections of 2 ribs; pair of cut int. grooves. Light brown; heavy silver and brown scum.
Max. dim. 4.5.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

40 Wall fragment, sections of 2 ribs; pair of int. cut grooves. Light brown.
Max. dim. 3.0.
HoB 1968 W20-W22/S127-S132 *100.30.

41 Shoulder fragment, sections of 4 closely spaced ribs. Light brown.
Max. dim. 4.2.

"ZARTE RIPPENSCHALEN"

The level at HoB containing fragments of Hellenistic bowls and early Roman material also included sections of three blown ribbed bowls of thin, pale purple, blue and light brown glass commonly referred to as “zarte Rippenschalen,” delicately ribbed bowls of bulbous shape with short, outsplayed rim. Usually body and neck have a marvered-in, white spiral thread and pinched ribs. Opaque white with blue threads and

13. Isings, no. 3a.
clear examples are very rare. One group is plain, lacking the spiral thread in contrasting color. A great number of the bowls were excavated in northern Italy but they also appear in Cyprus, Syria, Asia Minor, and elsewhere; some are known through the art market.

The bowls were manufactured during a relatively short period of time; the majority of the dated finds belong to the second third of the first century A.D.

The two small fragments from Sardis, 42 and 43, appear to belong to the undecorated group; the sections preserved do not show any trace of white spiral threads. A fragment of the bottom of a blue bowl with white spiral threads, 44, may also belong in this group. The level from which it comes can be associated with the early Imperial period (BASOR 174, 20–21).

42 Pl. 20. Shoulder fragment, with portion of vertical, highly raised and sharp, applied and pinched rib, with corresponding “bump” on int. Pale purple, almost colorless. Max. dim. 4.0. HoB 1963 W5/S115-S120 to *102.00.

43 Pl. 2. Shoulder fragment, portions of 2 ribs; out-curving rim. Light brown. Max. dim. 4.0. Chance find 1964.

44 Portion of concave base with end of spiral thread. Blue; white sunken threads; eggshell wall. Max. dim. 5.0, diam. base ca. 4.5. PN 1963 W228-W232/S349-S350 *88.40-87.90.

VESSELS WITH CUT AND ENGRAVED DECORATION

Vessels with Grooves

Fourteen recorded fragments were from vessels decorated with one or several grooves cut on the exterior wall. All the fragments are too small for exact identification but over half represent rim sections of either bowls or beakers and a number display profiles related to other well-known shapes, for example bottles.

This type of decoration most frequently occurs on bowls or cups of the first and early second centuries which are fairly deep and taper slightly towards the rim and on conical beakers with straight walls, 45 and 47 (cf. Pl. 2). Other vessel forms with cut grooves do occur. Bottles with a globular body may have been among the original vessels at Sardis. On the other hand, urns, small bottles with tapering walls, short cylindrical neck, and conical rim made of clear and ribbon glass, do not seem to have been represented at the site. Numerous vessels with wheel-cut designs—facets for example—almost always show one or more grooves encircling the body; some of the Sardis fragments could be from such objects.

The Sardis fragments are tinted in shades of green save for one fragment in blue, 50, and two in purple glass, 54 and 55.

Unfortunately, almost none of the Sardis finds are safely dated through archaeological means. The PN area where two of the vessel fragments were found near each other, 45 and 47, includes the “Marble Monument” and a chamber tomb. The stratification of this area is complicated (BASOR 174, 22ff.). According to G. M. A. Hanfmann the floor level of the grave precinct whence the fragments come seems to be of the second or third century. However, the tenuousness of such dating is clear when one learns that an Early Byzantine goblet stem was found near these fragments, 336.


17. Isings, nos. 12, and Berger, nos. 94–95. Cf. Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenländer, no. 509, here Pl. 2. Such vessels predate the 4th C. conical beakers or lamps with grooves that occasionally bear applied prunts of colored glass (Isings, no. 106h:2); these beakers, in turn, either predate, or are contemporary with, faceted and grooved beakers of Sassanian origin (A. von Saldern, “Achaemenid and Sassanian Cut Glass.” Ars Orientalis 5 [1963] 8, fig. 3). For bowl variants cf. also Davidson, Corinth XII nos. 588ff.; Berger, no. 101 and passim; Harden, Karanis, no. 330.

18. Isings, nos. 29–30 (for no. 29, see Pl. 20), 34; cf. also Davidson, Corinth XII no. 640.

19. Isings, no. 16, see Pl. 20.


23. For example, faceted, conical beakers: Isings, no. 21; deep bowls with slightly curved walls: Smith Coll., no. 354; bowls with rim constriction: Harden, Karanis, nos. 311ff.; Vessberg, Opus Arch 114ff., pl. 1:18ff. Cf. also Calvi, 67, pl. C:9.

24. Cf. grooved beakers of 3rd C. date: Barkóczi, fig. 334:4, 5.
Roman Glass

45 Rim and wall section of almost cylindrical vessel, 3 groups of 3 faint grooves each (2.5 cm. apart); ground rim. Clear, yellowish green tint.
P.H. 8.2, est. diam. ca. 8.0, Th. 0.25.
PN 1963 W225/S339-S342 *88.40-88.00. Found close to 47.

46 Pl. 20. Curved wall fragment of beaker(?), ext. shallow cut groove flanked by engraved lines (two above, one below). Aquamarine; heavy iridescence, silver and brown scum.
Max. dim. 5.3, Th. 0.1.
MTE 1964 E65-E70/S155-S160 to *111.40.

47 Rim fragment of slightly conical vessel (beaker?), one groove 0.5 cm. below ground rim. Clear with green tint; flaking scum.
P.H. 5.5, est. diam. 10.0.

48 Portion of cylindrical section of a vessel (beaker?). Two ext. cut grooves 1.5 cm. apart. Clear with green tint.
Max. dim. 4.3.

49 Pl. 20. Slightly inward curving rim fragment of deep bowl or beaker, faint cut grooves, below them a wide shallow groove. Aquamarine.
P.H. 7.0, est. diam. 12.0, Th. 0.15-0.20.
MTE/MTW 1964 (Roman upper debris), surface to *107.00.

50 Sprung rim fragment of bowl(?), wall curving inward at top, one broad ext. cut groove, an engraved line below. Blue.
Max. dim. 2.3, est. diam. 10.0, Th. 0.2.

51 Pl. 20. Sprung rim fragment of bowl or beaker, curving inward at top; one broad ext. cut groove, an engraved line below. Yellowish pale green.
Max. dim. 4.5, est. diam. 13.0, Th. 0.15.

52 Curved rim section of deep(?), bowl, 2 faintly cut lines at lower curvature. Aquamarine.
P.H. 4.3, Th. 0.3.

53 Pl. 2. Slightly curved wall fragment of bowl or bottle, pairs of horizontal grooves, distance between each pair ca. 1.0. Almost clear.
Max. dim. 5.5.
UT 1959 E80/S170 ca. *118.70-118.20.

54 Slightly curved rim fragment of bowl(?), below rim a wide and a narrow groove. Purple.
Max. dim. 4.1, est. diam. ca. 11.0.

55 As 54, but with stepped groove below the rim.
P.H. 4.5.

Max. dim. 4.5, est. diam. 11.0, Th. 0.3-0.4, W. grooves 0.5.
HoB 1962 W30/S95 to *100.40. Found with fragments of an Early Byzantine lamp (fabric 1) and a wide bowl (fabric 3).

57 Pls. 2, 20. Wall fragment of upper part of bottle or bowl with concave base, one ext. cut groove. Aquamarine.
Max. dim. 8.0, est. max. diam. of body below groove 10.0.

58 Pl. 20. Wall fragment of upper shoulder of bottle, curves sharply inward at bottom, ext. groove. Clear with green tint.
Max. dim. 5.0, Th. 0.2, W. groove 0.7.
BS E 13, ca. E77.56-E81.96/S0-S5.
This fragment may either carry the wrong identification or may be a later intrusion. It is conceivable, however, that the sherd was part of a relatively late Roman Imperial vessel that had been preserved until the 5th C.

59 Wall fragment of bottle or bowl with curvature, pair of shallow lines. Aquamarine.
P.H. 6.0, Th. 0.15.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S150-S155 *110.00-109.50.

Vessels with Grooves and Inlay

Apart from the faceted fragment of dichroic glass, 63, the most exceptional fragment of Roman glass found in Sardis is the rim section of a beaker or bowl with inlaid decoration, 60. A broad, cut groove below the edge serves as a channel for a set-in strip of translucent, turquoise-blue glass. The technical feat of putting this strip or band into the precut channel and slightly fusing the two surfaces is almost equal to the difficult overlay work achieved by the craftsmen responsible for cameo glass and the polychrome diatreta. Decomposition has loosened the strip so that it can be removed.

Cut and Engraved Decoration

Another fragment found in the same year at UT, 61, might conceivably come from a similar, or even the same, vessel. It has a channel of identical width below the edge, and the estimated diameter of the rim is very close to that of 60. No trace of an inlay decoration could be discovered, however. No complete vessel with comparable decoration is known to me although there are numerous bowls and beakers with cut grooves encircling the region below the rim that could have received a strip of colored glass (cf. 45–59).

The dating of these fragments is equally difficult. Both come from unstratified levels at UT. Most of the material discovered in this particular section is either late Hellenistic or early to mid-Roman Imperial (BASOR 157, 19–22). The profile of the rim sections, the way the grooves are cut, and the technique used suggest a date of the second to third century A.D.

60 Pl. 2. G59.33a(y): 1640. Rim section of bowl or beaker; below edge a broad groove or channel with an inlaid strip of pale turquoise-blue glass framed by 2 grooves. Because decomposition has loosened the contact between the clear and the blue glass, the blue strip can be taken off its bed. Very thin and clear, well made.

P.H. 4.2, est. diam. 10.0–12.0.

UT E80/S210 *121.55–119.90, unstratified.

AJA 66, 8, no. 7, pl. 5:7.

61 Rim section of upper part of bowl with straight wall, below rim broad cut groove (for inlay?). Almost clear, well made.

Max. dim. 5.0, est. diam. of rim ca. 12, Th. to 0.2.

UT 1959 E80/S210 *121.65–121.05. Found with 65, 66, 74 and 75.

Vessels with Faceted and Geometric Decoration

No less than seventeen fragments of vessels with faceted and geometric decoration were found. This is an astonishingly large number for a site such as Sardis which is not rich in finds of luxury glass. The small size of the vessel portions recorded precludes, in most instances, a reconstruction of the original shape. None of the finds could be dated through their archaeological context but comparison with glass of the same type suggests that they belong to two periods, the early Roman Imperial period, and the third century.

Some come from areas at HoB that contained mixed Hellenistic and Roman material (BASOR 162, 12; 177, 15); others were recovered at UT which also is largely unstratified and included objects from Hellenistic through late Roman and Early Byzantine times (BASOR 157, 20). Among the fragments, one appears to be unique because of its color, 63; the remaining sherds can be associated with various groups of Roman cut glass of relatively high quality.

The first fragment, 62, shows a faceted diaper pattern identical to that on a well-known group of straight-sided, conical beakers. However, because this wall section is curved, it cannot have been part of such a beaker but certainly comes from a contemporary vessel type that has convex walls: a bowl of globular shape, a bottle with spherical body, or a beaker of the Béram variety with convex walls.

Whether the next fragment, 63, is late Roman or Early Byzantine — it was found in the forecourt of the
Synagogue—cannot be decided at present. The considerable importance of this small wall section lies in the fact that it is made of a material of great rarity even in Roman times: it is dichroic glass, i.e. brownish green in reflected, and honey brown in transmitted, light. On its surface are portions of two groups of oval cuts above which are cut grooves. As in the case of the other fragments with curvature, the original object may have been a bowl, a beaker, or a jug. While the facet pattern seems to be reminiscent of vessels of the late first and early second centuries bearing similar decoration (supra), the dichroic material relates the piece to the famous Lycurgus Cup in the British Museum, manufactured of almost identical glass.

There seem to be only two other fragments of similar glass recorded, a fragment of a diatretum in the British Museum and a facet-cut vessel fragment from Oxyrhynchus in the Victoria and Albert Museum. Diatreta are generally thought to have been made in the fourth century, including the Lycurgus Cup and the fragment in the British Museum. The faceted fragment in the Victoria and Albert Museum, on the other hand, displays circles and curving bands with oval facets and is thought to be Islamic rather than Roman, i.e. apparently not earlier than the seventh century. However, its cut pattern is unlike any designs found on Islamic cut glass at the height of its development, in the ninth to early eleventh centuries, and appears to be more in keeping with faceted and geometrically cut vessels of late Roman times. If this proves correct, the Sardis fragment of dichroic glass should be dated in the fourth century, contemporary with the diatreta. Although its findspot apparently dates the piece within the Early Byzantine period, the Sardis fragment as well as the wall section from Oxyrhynchus seem to be products of workshops operating in the fourth century (in Egypt? Alexandria?) which were familiar with the difficult manufacture of dichroic glass and employed glass cutters able to produce diatreta.

Five other fragments bear similar facet patterns. Among them is only one rim fragment having a widely spaced facet design, 64; its estimated diameter measures about 14 cm. Karanis finds of this type, also decorated with rows of vertically oriented facets, probably date from the late second or early third century.

The other fragments with vertically oriented facets, 65 and 66, may have been from vessels similar to the shallow bowl with curved rim, 64. A more or less hemispherical bowl with facet pattern of a kind represented by the Sardis fragments was popular at Dura Europos in the second and early third centuries.

The facet pattern on 67 is identical with that on a series of large vessel fragments from Dura said to have been part of beakers. Some, or all, of these sherds may also have belonged to bowls with curved or slanted straight sides. The unstratified Dura Europos fragments are dated to the early second century by C. W. Clairmont. By comparison with other finds, particularly those from Western Europe, the Dura fragments could also be of third century date.

Also related closely to faceted vessels of the Dura Europos type is 68. A very small rim section, probably of a bowl, 69, shows, below the rim constriction, the upper portion of a frieze of pointed arches with inscribed oval cuts. This motif occurs fairly frequently on a number of vessels which are predominantly third century, including bowls with a rim construction similar to that of this fragment as well as that of 64.

The seven remaining fragments, 70–76, belong to vessels with more varied cut decoration. They were either found at UT or in unstratified levels at HoB (cf. BASOR 162, 12–14). Of these seven fragments, four or five, 70–74, appear to have come from vessels with very similar or even identical decoration. Their design consists of friezes of large circular or oval cuts framed by double lines; these motifs, in turn, are set within a framework of grooves and double grooves—

31. Cf., for example, Hamelin, pls. 7–8.
33. Harden, Archaeologia (supra n. 32) 188, no. B 7, pl. 69 a.
34. Ibid., pl. 69 b.
35. Ibid., 188.
36. Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pl. 100.
37. Harden, Karanis, no. 211. Cf. also Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pls. 74ff.
38. Clairmont, 63ff., figs. 2–3, esp. nos. 244 (allegedly from a beaker but probably from a bowl), 255 (with notes on parallel example). For variants of this type cf. also Harden, Karanis, no. 317 (segmented bowl with waisted lip); Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pls. 75ff.; Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenländ, no. 511 (globular bowl with out-turned lip, here Pl. 3). For a kyphos with the same type of decoration cf. Fremersdorf, ibid., pl. 138.
40. Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, 158, pls. 75ff.
41. Ibid.
42. Ibid.; Clairmont, 63ff., pl. 25.
43. Cf. the fragments of various vessels from Dura that show similar or identical motifs and are datable to the late 2nd and 3rd C.; Clairmont, 73ff., pl. 27; cf. also Harden, Karanis, no. 211, pl. 13. For a complete bowl of a type that may have been similar to the original Sardis vessel cf. one from Trier: Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pl. 38. Variations of this motif decorate a number of vessels mainly of Western origin: Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, 55ff., 63, pls. 129ff., 192ff.
apparently sometimes forming hexagons—which are accentuated by oval cuts. Among the closest parallels to these fragments are a series of finds from Dura Europos as well as a few other objects of Eastern provenance.\textsuperscript{44} The original vessels to which the Sardis fragments belonged were undoubtedly bowls. However, the small size of the sherds does not allow a safe reconstruction of the bowl forms, which may have included both deep and shallow types. For example, the profile of the rim fragment, 72, suggests a shape similar to a hemispherical bowl found in Cologne that is slightly waisted below the rim and displays a design of circular cuts accompanied by double grooves, gables, and small oval cuts. This piece as well as examples from Karanis is datable to the third century, although cutting of this type may have started in the second century.\textsuperscript{45} Geometric cutting as described here appears to have reached its apex in the third century while diaper facet cutting (cf. 62) was at its height in the late first and early second century.

The fragment of the bottom of a bowl, 75, with a central, circular cut must have been part of a vessel having similar decoration. Many of the vessels with cut decoration found in the Near East as well as in the West show this or a similar motif at the base.\textsuperscript{46} Judging by the parallel material referred to above, the fragments with geometric, cut patterns should be datable to about the third, perhaps in some cases to the late second century. Unfortunately, none of the finds has been discovered in a stratified context. However, much of the material found with these sherds is of predominantly early or mid-Roman date consistent with the period suggested.

Although much Roman glass of good quality has turned up lately on the art market and is said to be from Asia Minor, it is highly unlikely that this material as well as the finds of luxury glass from controlled excavations was actually manufactured there. All glass objects of this type are imports from factories located on the Syrian-Palestinian coast or represent what Clairmont calls the East Syrian-Mesopotamian koine.\textsuperscript{47}

\textbf{62 Pls. 3, 20.} G59.61:2122. Slightly curved wall section of cup, bowl, or bottle, narrow pattern of vertically oriented, oval facets. Clear with green tint; brilliant iridescence. P.H. 3.8. UT E80/S200 *122.85-122.00, unstratified. AJA 66, 7, no. 5, pls. 5:5, 8:5.

\textbf{63 Pls. 3, 20.} G63.18:5785. Curved wall fragment of bowl, pattern of oval cuts arranged in rows. Pattern does not seem to be continuous as an undecorated portion is visible on 1 side; above are 2 lines and a 3rd ending abruptly (judged too shallow and, therefore, terminated?). Brownish green in reflected, honey amber in transmitted light (dichroic glass); pitting, scratches. 4 by 3, Th. 0.2-0.35. Syn Fc E108-E110/N5-N9 *97.50-96.75, approximately the original floor level. R. H. Brill, “The Chemistry of the Lycurgus Cup,” Bruxelles Congrès, 223.1-13.

\textbf{64} Pls. 3, 20. Rim fragment of bowl, convex wall with rim turned outward and inward (cf. 69). On body, rows of oval cuts (one and portion of a 2nd row preserved); at rim, 2 cut lines, one line 1 cm. below edge. Clear, green tint. P.H. 5.0, est. diam. 14. MTE 1964 E57-E72/S157-S161 *111.90-111.70. Found with 25.

\textbf{65} Slightly curved wall fragment (of bowl or beaker?), narrow pattern of rows of vertically oriented, spike-like cuts. Almost clear. Max. dim. 3.7, Th. 0.2, L. of facets 1.5. UT 1959 E80/S210 *121.65-121.05. Found with 61, 66, 74 and 75.

\textbf{66} Slightly curved fragment (of bowl?), narrow pattern of rows of vertical, spike-like cuts. Almost clear. Max. dim. 3.0, L. of facet 1.5. UT 1959 E80/S210 *121.65-121.05. Found with 61, 65, 74 and 75.

\textbf{67 Pl. 3.} Wall fragment (of bowl or skyphos?), cut facets. Preserved are portions of 4 rows: ovals, horizontal spikes, 2 rows of narrow ovals. Clear. Max. dim. 5.1, Th. 0.3. HoB 1963 W25-W35/S95-S115, upper mixed fill. Found with 52, 54 and 55 as well as with various small and highly deteriorated (unrecorded) fragments with remains of cut decoration and the section of a “zarte Rippenschale” (cf. 42-44).

\textsuperscript{44} Clairmont, 70ff., nos. 265ff., n.182, datable to the 3rd C. A fragment with almost identical motifs was recently found at Pergamon (in storage at Pergamon). A hemispherical bowl with a deep groove below the rim (Smithsonian Institution, Washington, inv. no. 1929.8.170.43) is decorated with a very similar pattern. For pieces with similar patterns cf., for example, Froehner, Coll. Gréau, pls. 176:3; 178:4, 6; 181:3, 5. Cf. also Isings, no. 96b.

\textsuperscript{45} Cologne: Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pl. 82, see also pl. 83 (here Pl. 3). Cf. the bowl in Washington (n.44 supra) and, for shape, the faceted bowl in Harden, Karanis, no. 317. The Cologne material is mainly of 3rd C. date; the Karanis find comes from one of the C-period houses, perhaps datable to the 2nd to mid-3rd C. Cf., for example, Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pls. 60, 101, passim.

\textsuperscript{47} Clairmont, 68, 71.
Roman Glass

68 Pl. 20. Shoulder section of bowl, faceted surface. Preserved are portions of 2 rows of oval-hexagonal cuts, above them a groove and portions of 2 larger oval cuts. Clear, yellow-green tint; heavy, silvery iridescent scum.
P.H. 3.0.
MTE 1964 E65/S148 *108.60. Found with 69.

69 Pls. 3, 20. Rim section of bowl, convex wall, rim turned outward and upward (cf. 64). Below groove, frieze of pointed arches with inscribed oval (carelessly executed). Clear with green(?).
P.H. 3.5.
MTE 1964 E65/S148 *108.60. Found with 68.

70 Pls. 3, 20. G59.44a:1810. Curved wall section of bowl. Preserved are portions of 2 sunken oval discs outlined by grooves and framed by a network of double grooves with oval cuts at intersections. Thick, clear, faint green tint; enamel-like scum.
5.3 by 4.0, Th. 0.45.
HoB E90/S110 *124.45-123.25, unstratified.
AJA 66, 7, no. 6b, pls. 5:6, 8:6b.

71 Pl. 20. G59.35a:1658. Two wall fragments of bowls (or a bowl) with curved walls. Preserved are portions of round and oval sunken cuts partly outlined by grooves and accompanied by slightly curved and straight cuts. Clear, faint green tint.
P.H. 4.8, Th. 0.4.
HoB E90/S110 *121.65-121.05, unstratified.
AJA 66, 7, no. 6a, pl. 8:6a.

72 Pls. 3, 20. Curved wall fragment of lower half of bowl (or bottle?). Pattern of large oval and circular cuts surrounded by a network of smaller cuts connected by grooves and double-grooves. Green tint.
Max. dim. 6.2, Th. to 0.4.
UT 1959 E80/S210, fill, *118.90-118.70. Found with 61, 65, 66 and 74.

73 Pl. 3. Curved wall fragment of lower half of bowl (or bottle?). Pattern of large oval and circular cuts surrounded by a network of smaller cuts connected by grooves and double-grooves. Green tint.
Max. dim. 6.2, Th. to 0.4.
UT 1959 E80/S170, fill, *118.90-118.70.

74 Pl. 21. Four non-joining fragments of straight-walled bowl with plain rim. Two grooves below rim; ca. 2.0 cm. below rim upper termination of cut decoration including oval facets and circular cuts. Almost clear, green tint.
Max. dim. of largest fragment 3.6, est. diam. of rim ca. 12.0.
UT 1959 E80/S210 *121.65-121.05. Found with 61, 65, 66 and 75.

75 Portion of underside of bowl. Central circular cut surrounded by concentric grooves (2 preserved). Green tint.
Max. dim. 4.2.

76 G60.51:3015. Rim section (of bowl?), pinched(?), honeycomb pattern, horizontal grooves. Pale purple.
Max. dim. 3.8, est. diam. 14.0.
HoB E0/S100-S100.5 *100.5-100.00. Found with 72.

Vessels with Engraved and Scratched Decoration

Fragments of two glass vessels with crudely engraved or scratched decoration belong to this category, 77 and 78. The manner in which the surface was scratched superficially with a pattern of shallow lines differs markedly from the carefully executed cut glass listed in the foregoing sections. Scratched decoration of this type, i.e. simple, carelessly drawn lines in a number of combinations, is mainly found on Roman glass of the third century. Cylindrical bottles with double handles and wide mouths appear to have been a favorite vehicle for this engraving. Because the Sardis fragments show vertically oriented curvatures in their profiles, they cannot come from cylindrical bottles but must have belonged to bowls or pear-shaped or globular bottles the exact shape of which remains unknown.

The dating of the fragments is uncertain. 77, comes from 1.65 m. below the *96.40 floor of BS E 15; 78, was found at Pa-W, 1 to 2 m. below the level (*96.90) that included Byzantine glass (*99.80-97.70). In comparison with other glass having similar decoration the finds are datable to about the third or fourth century.

77 Pl. 21. Curved wall fragment (of bottle?), shallow engraved diagonal and vertical pairs of lines, carelessly executed.
Max. dim. 5.0, Th. 0.25.
BS E 15 E89/S3 *94.75.

78 Curved wall fragment, irregular, scratched, horizontal lines. Green, bubbly; well-preserved.
Max. dim. 5.0, Th. 0.3.
Pa-W 1967 E41.30/N94.90 *96.90.

VESSELS WITH SQUARE ELEVATIONS

Among the most unusual glass finds of Roman date from Sardis are a bowl and a bowl fragment, 79 and 80.

79 Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pls. 32, 44, 59, 153ff.; Harden, Karanis, no. 431 (bowl); Smith Coll., no. 375 (pear-shaped bottle with wide mouth); Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenländer, no. 516 (hemispherical bowl).
Warts

80. whose shape and quality of glass is very similar to the "zarte Rippenschalen," 42-44. 79 is decorated with a band of carefully applied, almost square elevations around the shoulder. A slight "bump" on the interior of the bowl corresponds to each application on the exterior, an indication that the vessel was not blown in a mold.

This decoration brings to mind Hellenistic "winged" bowls and beakers as well as a rare series of vessels with shields, ovals, rectangles, and kidney-shaped devices cut in high relief that are datable to the late first to early third century. Only two parallel pieces are known to the author. Both are slightly lower and have a wider rim than the Sardis bowl. The first was found in Aquileia, the other recently entered the Oppenländer collection.

The more pronounced and larger elevations on the objects discussed here are related technically to the third century "vessels with warts," 81-90, but also show affinities to even later Sassanian bowls which combine a row of pronounced, rounded elevations with pulled-out thorns or warts.

As neither fragment from Sardis is stratified, a stylistic comparison remains the only way of assigning an approximate date. In view of their similarity to "zarte Rippenschalen," and their admittedly faint resemblance to some late Hellenistic vessels, we are inclined to attribute them to the late first or second century, preceding the vessels with warts.

79  Pl. 3. NoEx 71.19. Almost hemispherical bowl with concave base and out-turned rim, around shoulder a row of almost square elevations with corresponding slight "bumps" on the int. Clear with green tint; partly heavily weathered, broken and mended; sections, particularly at rim, missing. H. 7.2, diam. rim 7.5.

80  Pl. 3. G59.53:1620. Curved wall fragment of bowl, rectangular elevation at ext. with corresponding slight "bump" on int. Clear with green tint. 4 by 3.5; Th. 0.1, at elevation 0.7. HoB E20/S210 *122.60-122.10, unstratified. AJA 66, 6, pls. 5:3, 8:3.

VESSELS WITH WARTS

Types of Roman glass not yet systematically investigated include vessels with applied warts. Of the ten fragments found in Sardis that are decorated with applied and sometimes slightly pinched warts or droplets, only 81 is large enough to allow a tentative reconstruction of the original vessel. The sherd is part of a wall tapering upwards and turning at the bottom sharply towards the center. Wall fragments of vessels with identical decoration have turned up at Dura Europos; they appear to comprise sections of bottles with inner diaphragms, and perhaps of bowls and beakers. The fragment from Sardis may have been part of a pear-shaped bottle with short neck and probably a wide mouth; a base of such a bottle or a similar vessel was found with 81. One can never be certain, however, whether such base sections belonged to bottles as described or to other vessel types such as beakers or bowls (cf. 185-187). The original vessel of 81 could also have been either a relatively shallow or spherical bowl with out-turned rim (cf. Pl. 10). The latter form seems to have been particularly popular for this type of decoration. Such spherical bowls are approximately 6 to 8 cm. high, and their diameter varies from 8 to 12 cm. Some of the Sardis fragments, including 81, could have belonged to vessels with these dimensions.

Bowls as well as bottles with applied warts, frequent in the Syrian-northern Mesopotamian region, are

49. Saldern (supra n.25) 38ff.
50. Ibid., n.100; idem, Jb. Hamburg, 33-36 (with notes on related pieces of early and mid-Roman Imperial date).
51. Calvi, 151, no. 920, pl. 24:3. The objects cited in n.57 are less closely related to the bowl than suggested by Miss Calvi.
54. Clairmont, 51ff. In n.105 Clairmont cites a bottle formerly in the Ray W. Smith collection (no. 322) as a parallel piece to the Dura fragments. In fact, however, the warts decorating the finds from Dura and Sardis differ markedly from the decoration on the Smith bottle although all objects in this category are closely related. The droplets on the Smith bottle are applied, pulled, and pinched while those on the sherdos from Dura, Sardis, etc. are applied without being manipulated further. For mostly Western glass with warts cf. esp. Kisa, Slg. vom Rath, pl. 16:134-135, 137-139, Loeschcke. Slg. Niesen, nos. 195ff., pl. 23. Barkóczai, fig. 30:2 (spherical bottle, 3rd C).
56. Clairmont, no. 214.
57. For bulbous and hemispherical bowls with warts of Eastern as well as Western origin cf. esp. one example (at least) from Susa of late Parthian or early Sassanian date in Teheran, Archaeological Museum (storage; diam. ca. 12 cm.); a close parallel in London, Victoria and Albert Museum (JGS 11 [1969] 112 and Burlington Magazine [June 1971] 328). Cf. also Saldern, Slg. Hentrich, no. 107 (with bibliographic ref.); Kisa, Slg. vom Rath, no. 154, pl. 16:136; Loeschcke, Slg. Niesen, no. 216, pl. 27; Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Nuppen, pls. 34, 35 (here Pl. 3).
Roman Glass

predominantly datable to the third century; the material from Dura Europos and Susa (n. 57, supra) may be assigned to the very late second and third century. Likewise, the Western finds have also been attributed to the third century. None of the Sardis finds comes from a dated context. They were discovered at UT and MTE in unstratified, mixed levels; in one case, 89, the level contained a great variety of Roman and Early Byzantine material.

This decorative motif as well as the deep bowl form are also quite common in early Sassanian glass. In some instances it appears to be almost impossible to separate third century examples of Syrian provenance from Sassanian glass of slightly later date whereas the hemispherical bowls with slightly flaring rim, applied warts, or “bumps,” and a register of pinched ribs no doubt belong to the following phase of Sassanian glassmaking, the fifth and sixth centuries. 58

P.H. 5.5, est. max. diam. 10.0, Th. to 0.3.
UT 1959 E80/S200 *121.50-121.00. Found with 187.

82 Curved section of bowl, applied warts. Green tint.
Max. dim. 4.0.
HoB 1959 E80/S20 *123.50-123.00.

83 See 82.
P.H. 3.0.
HoB 1961 E0-W5/S110 to *102.10.

84 See 82.
P.H. 4.0.
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S115 to *100.40.

85 See 82.
P.H. 4.0.
MTE 1964 E65-E67/S153-S154 *111.00-110.00. Found with a few small vessel fragments of about the same period: clear with green tint and heavy silver iridescence; among them are a bottle neck flaring upward and a rim (of a flat dish?) profiled to form a slight elevation on top.

86 G64.1:6044. See 82.
P.H. 4.0.
MTE E60-E65/S150-S153 to *109.90.

87 See 82.
P.H. 4.0.
MTE 1964 E55-E60/S143-S145. Found with the base of a bottle showing a mold-blown rosette, probably an Islamic or even later intrusion, and Early Byzantine vessel fragments.

88 See 82.
P.H. 3.0.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S145-S150 to *110.00. Found with various fragments of Roman and Early Byzantine date.

89 See 82.
P.H. 2.5.
HoB 1965, upper mixed fill, *102.40-100.50. Found with many glass fragments, particularly of the Early Byzantine period, including ring bases (cf. 401–444), portions of goblets, bottles, and lamps.

90 See 82.
P.H. 2.0.
HoB 1965 W34-W35/S120-S122 *102.00-101.40.

VESSEL WITH PINCHED DECORATION

Only one globular bottle with a row of pinched warts was found, 91. This type of decoration, related to the applied warts, appears mostly on bottles and bowls made predominantly in the Syrian-Mesopotamian region in the third and fourth centuries. 59

91 Pl. 4. Fragmentary, almost spherical bottle, concave base, short neck, and funnel mouth with infolded rim; band of pinched nipples around shoulder. Pale aquamarine, eggshell.
H. 12.0, diam. rim 4.0.
Özbek Tepe 1964, chance find.

VESSELS WITH “NIP’T DIAMOND WAIRES” DECORATION

Two vessel fragments show a decoration of horizontally oriented double-threads pinched together at regular intervals to form a chain-like design (cf. Pl. 4). In seventeenth century English glass this sort of pattern is commonly referred to as “nip’t diamond waies.”

Such decorative devices are fairly common in Syr-
Crimped Bands Applied to Rim

ian glass of the third and fourth centuries. The closest parallels to the Sardis fragments are a number of sherds from Dura Europos. The first of the Sardis finds, 92, is bent sharply outward at the top which may be an indication that it was part of a deep (?) bowl or beaker with the rim turned outward. It was found in a pit in BE-A which contained coins, the latest of which are safely identifiable as those of Constantius II (A.D. 355–361; BASOR 187, 12–13). The other fragment, 93, comes from an unstratified context.

Most of the related material with applied and pinched ribbing is datable to the third century. As 92 must be at least earlier than the mid-fourth century, it is quite likely of third or early fourth century date.

92 Pls. 4, 21. Wall fragment, turning sharply outward at top (or bottom?), portion of applied and pinched thread pattern. Clear, green tint.
Max. dim. 4.0.

93 Three fragments (of one vessel?), one plain, two with portions of “nip’t diamond waies.” Aquamarine.
P.H. (largest fr.) 4.0.
MTE 1964.

VESSELS WITH CRIMPED BANDS APPLIED TO THE RIM

A series of rim sections of vessels with crimped, wavy bands attached along the edge have come from various locations at Sardis. Almost all of them are of aquamarine glass. The rims are folded, containing air traps; the crimped bands or edges are formed in an identical fashion which seems to be an indication that they all come from similar or identical vessels. The estimated diameter of the rim of such an object is about 10 to 12 cm.; the preserved lengths of the sections with crimped bands measure 3 to 6 cm. Since the whole group is fairly homogeneous, all sherds recorded are likely to date from about the same period.

Crimped bands such as these generally belong to shallow or deep bowls (Pl. 4). The bands are attached in pairs at opposite sides of the rim. The bowl form derives from terra sigillata ware and appears to have been common in glass from the late first to the third century in Syria, Cyprus, and elsewhere.

Two of the crimped sections at Sardis were found in contexts that might allow approximate dating of the objects. One, 94, comes from an area at PN (east side of unit N) that contained Roman burials and had been frequently rebuilt until Early Byzantine times (BASOR 174, 22–24; Sardis M4 [1976] 46-48). The other, 95, comes from a pit dug at the southeast corner of the main hall of the Synagogue. At a depth of *93.80—the rim was found at *93.75—there are walls of a late Hellenistic or very early Roman Imperial, no doubt constructed before the earthquake of A.D. 17 (BASOR 182, 40). As the original vessel certainly must have been made after this date, the fragment can only represent an intrusion.

The other rim sections were part of unstratified levels at HoB. One, 96, was found in a mixed fill in 1960 (BASOR 162, 12), and the others, 97–100, were found in the “enormous dump” with material ranging from late Hellenistic to late Roman times (BASOR 177, 15).

The average length of 94–101 is 3.0–6.0; est. diameter of vessels 10.0–20.0.

94 Pl. 21. Green tint.
PN 1963 W220/S350-S352 to *89.50.

95 Aquamarine.
Syn MH SE pit 1965 E90-E91/N1.5-N2 *93.75.

HoB E10/S100 *101.50-100.00.

97 Pl. 4. G64.4a:6157. Aquamarine.
MTE E62-E65/S146 *108.50.

98 Greenish.

99 Aquamarine.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

60. Clairmont, nos. 194ff. (group 2) which are datable, together with related material (nos. 180ff.), to the 3rd C. For various vessels of Eastern provenance with this type of decoration cf. Eisen, fig. 160, pl. 112; Smith Coll., no. 305; Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 13; Harden, Karanis, no. 593. For Western examples cf. Morin-Jean, 51, n.1, figs. 264–266; Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Fadenauflage, pl. 108ff., 114ff. (3rd and early 4th C.). Cf. also D. B. Harden, "Four Roman Glasses," in: Joan Liversidge, "Roman Discoveries from Hauxton," Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society 51 (1958) 7–17.

61. Perhaps similar to a footed beaker with “nip’t diamond waies” (Smith Coll., no. 305) or to cut bowls (Harden, Karanis, nos. 212ff.), all belonging to the 3rd C.

Two fragments: a) aquamarine; b) greenish(?); black scum.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S145-S150 to *107.20.

Aquamarine(?); dark scum.
AcT trench E 1960 E-F/20-27, fill *397.00.

**APPLICATION**

One disc-like application, 102, from a vessel wall was found in Sardis; its exact findspot is unknown. It may come from a bottle belonging to a group of Syrian third century glass vessels with applied, disc-like motifs.  

Circular stamp-like application(?) with portion of curved vessel wall, stamp tooled to form 3 parallel grooves. Aquamarine.
P.H. 3.5; diam. stamp 2.2, D. 0.5; Th. vessel wall 0.1. Chance find 1962.

**VESSELS WITH APPLIED SPIRAL THREAD DECORATION**

Sections of two early Imperial vessels with applied spiral thread decoration came to light. One, 103, was part of a small object, probably an amphoriskos. The level in which it was found suggests an early Roman Imperial date.

The other vessel fragment, 104, appears to have been part of a cup belonging to a well-known group of the first century, the many examples of which are usually left undecorated or bear faint engraved lines.

G61.27:3910. Section of short neck and shoulder of small, blown amphoriskos(?). Decolorized; applied yellow spiral threads.
P.H. 2.6.
PN W250/S370 *88.58.

Pis. 4, 21. G60.36:2761. Quarter of rim and slightly, upward-tapering wall of a cup, 2 applied threads. Aquamarine.
P.H. 4.8, est. diam. rim 14.0, Th. 0.1-0.15.
AcN E0-E16/S1-N3, high fill, ca. *394.00.

**BEAKERS**

A slightly bell-shaped beaker, 105, with a thread applied below the rim and a clearly defined, double-walled foot was found in grave 67.18 at Ahlath Tepecik (BASOR 191, 9-10). While the eggshell glass and the thread around the rim indicate a date in the first century, its relatively high, slender shape is more typical of the second century. The coins found in this series of graves are of the first to second century; the beaker probably dates to the latter part of this span.

Part of the upper portion of a beaker or bowl, 106, may belong in this series. Its straight sides seem to indicate a slightly conical or almost cylindrical shape. The outer surface bears faintly engraved lines which run in a diagonal direction (the lines do not appear to be marks of applied threads broken off). This fragment is similar in shape to the upper portions of those beakers which occasionally show faint, often roughly engraved lines arranged horizontally. Because the fragment from Sardis comes from unstratified MTE, its date cannot easily be ascertained. However, we can tentatively assign it to the third or fourth century.

Two other bases, 107-108, may belong to beakers. Base 107 might have belonged to a beaker similar to 105 since the construction of its foot and lower body are the same.

The profile of 108 is close to the bases of beakers of the first or second century but could also be compared with bases of bowls of the second and third centuries.


64. Perhaps of a type similar to Smith Coll., no. 356 (with engraved lines). A bottle with a profile similar to the Sardis fragment, decorated with spiral thread: Vessberg, OpusArch, 133-134, pl. 7:19; cf. also Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenland, no. 642.

65. Isings, no. 12. A few of the cups have enameled or painted decoration: Saldern, Slg. Oppenland, no. 397 (with bibliographic ref.).

66. For its shape cf. Isings, no. 33, citing examples from Pompeii.

67. Stylistically the Sardis beaker should be placed between 1st C. pieces (Eisen, pl. 65 center, from Tripoli; Zahn, Slg. Schiller, no. 221, pl. 12; Varag, "Glass Vessels," pis. 19, 32) and those datable to the 2nd-3rd C. (Vessberg, OpusArch, 119, group" B II," pl. 4:6, 8). At least one beaker-type of the late Roman Imperial period tends to have straight walls: La Baume, nos. D 100, D 101, pl. 40. For a group of beakers of various forms cf. Loeschcke, Slg. Niessen, pl. 44.

68. For example, La Baume, no. D 101, pl. 40. Cf. also Isings, no. 106, type c:2.

69. Vessberg, OpusArch, pl. 4:11; Varag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 19, bottom.

70. Vessberg, Cyprus, 170, fig. 50:40, 41.
Bottles are among the most common glass vessels of ancient times. They have been found in many areas, including Palestine, Cyprus, Turkey, Egypt, Italy, and the Rhineland, and in many other regions where the finds from archaeologically supervised excavations have occurred most frequently in the first and second centuries, it is most difficult to date specific objects by their shape alone. In general, the millennium and was still in use in the second and even the third centuries, it is most difficult to date specific objects by their shape alone. In general, however, this bottle form and its variants seem to have occurred most frequently in the first and second centuries. Literally tens of thousands were found in Palestine, Cyprus, Turkey, Egypt, Italy, and the Rhineland, and in many other regions where the finds from archaeologically supervised excavations bear out this dating.

At Sardis, more than two dozen bottles were found under controlled conditions in datable graves and excavation levels with fairly limited chronological boundaries. For this reason, the finds from graves and other precincts are recorded by findspot rather than grouped according to types, as in the previous sections. The dated bottles confirm the established chronology.

A number of bottles datable to the late first and early second century come from Ahlath Tepecik on the shore of the Gygean Lake (BASOR 191, 9). With the exception of 117, they represent the simplest types of ordinary Roman glassware. A typical example is 110 with the conical body representing about one half of the total height. Variations of such a form are shown by 111–116. Vessel 117 with conical neck similar to 135 appears to have close relatives in Cyprus and elsewhere.

The bottles from the Roman graves at HoB, opened in 1959, belong to the late first to mid-second century (BASOR 157, 28). A coin (ca. A.D. 100–120) was found in one of the graves. Vessel 118 belongs to the group represented by 110.

A fragmentary miniature bottle 120 comes from a disturbed level at MTW and is probably early or mid-Roman Imperial. Two bottle necks found together at MTE, 121 and 122 may be of slightly later date (BASOR 177, 14–15).

The graves excavated in 1960 and 1963 at PC contained objects of the first and second centuries, 123, 127, 129–131 (BASOR 162, 18; 174, 23). A bottle with a very low body and a long conical neck, made of eggshell glass, 123, comes from a burial of about A.D. 100.

Bottles Without Decoration

Bottles are among the most common glass vessels of Roman times. The finds from Sardis are mainly the simplest types; among them are many vessels commonly referred to as “tear bottles” or unguentaria. Closely related to these finds is a group of bottles acquired from a villager in 1963, 142–176. Generally of greenish and often relatively impure material, they are almost never decorated. The body tends to be conical, pear-shaped, or oval; the neck is mostly cylindrical and has an outplayed, folded rim. Since the basic form was probably introduced about the turn of the millennium and was still in use in the second and even the third centuries, it is most difficult to date specific objects by their shape alone. In general, however, this bottle form and its variants seem to have occurred most frequently in the first and second centuries. Literally tens of thousands were found in Palestine, Cyprus, Turkey, Egypt, Italy and the Rhineland, and in many other regions where the finds from archaeologically supervised excavations bear out this dating.

At Sardis, more than two dozen bottles were found...
Other bottles can also be dated safely to the second century. One, with spherical body, 124 was found with 126, in grave 63.2 at PN; it is typologically close to 110 and 112 although it has a pronounced constriction at the base.78 With these bottles came a coin of Antoninus Pius (A.D. 138–161; BASOR 174, 23). Spherical or pear-shaped bottles with conical necks such as 124 are known from other sites in the East as well as in the West and most are dated to the second century.79

A few other bottles and bottle fragments are datable either to the late first or second century. Bottles 127 and 128 belong to the same group as 110, 112, and 126 (BASOR 162, 18). A spherical bottle with conical neck, 129, was discovered with a ceramic lamp of the mid-first through second century (L60.31:2709, red on white slip cf. Perlweig, Agora VII no. 164) in tomb E at PC; the shape of the neck is reminiscent of that of 117.

The following pieces appear to be of mid to late Roman date. An ovoid bottle with a neck showing a constriction at the base, 130, and a fragment, 134, from pit 1 in LVC at PC (BASOR 157, 14, 16) and may be third century.80 Vessel 134 seems to represent a later development of the tall-necked bottles of the second century.81 132 was found in grave 63.1 at PN (BASOR 174, 22–23) with burial goods datable to the third or early fourth century. It had either a wide funnel-shaped mouth with folded rim characteristic of fourth century finds from Karanis,82 or a relatively long neck with flaring, folded rim typical of bottles with spherical, double-walled body, i.e. having a base pushed up so high that it almost touches the wall of the upper body.83 Bottles of this form are usually datable to the late second and third centuries.

Perhaps the most interesting bottle is 131 which is decorated with wheel incisions. It belongs to a well-known type common in both the East and West.84 Most of the published examples are datable to the second half of the fourth century although this form seems to have been introduced by the mid-third century. Of the examples preserved a relatively large number have shallow grooves around body and neck; others have inscriptions engraved around the shoulder.85 The bottle from Sardis was found in a burial pit of SVC at PC (BASOR 157, 14, 16). Both SVC and the LVC contained primarily third century material, including the portrait head of a priest86 datable to the second half of the third century. Thus, 131 appears to be late third or possibly early fourth century.

Closely related to the small bottles from Ahlath Tepecik, 113–118, is a miniature bottle from PN, 133, of uncertain date. It comes from a much disrupted level containing a system of waterpipes, probably Roman (at *89.00; BASOR 166, 16).

From grave 58.H at Kâşırlik Tepe87 comes a bottle with conical neck, 135, that is related to 117; it was found with a ceramic lamp of the late first to second century (L58.34:784).

A rectangular bottle with brilliant iridescence is probably datable to the late first or early second century, 136; found under a late Roman house, its exact date cannot be determined through stratification.88

Three bottle necks with rim folded outward, upward, and inward to form a sort of flange, come from unstratified levels, 137–139. They may have belonged to pear-shaped or bulbous bottles with neck constriction. Bottles of this type occasionally bear applied threads of prunts89 and usually occur in the third century. Another neck, 140, is concave and shows a beveled rim. It comes from the disturbed area UT which contained much late Hellenistic and Roman material. Although its profile is not unlike that of 131, the carefully worked and ground rim reminds one of early Imperial glass.

The square base, 141, seems to be from a late first to second century bottle with handles.90

In 1968, thirty-six bottles were bought from a member of the village. Although their exact provenance is uncertain, their homogeneity and their similarity to the bottles described above make it practically certain that they are roughly of the same date and were probably made in the same region (or possibly even the same factory). Almost all of them are relatively badly made and of very thin material.

The first series, 142–155, consists of bottles with a low, squat body, slender cylindrical neck, and wide

Endnotes: Vessberg, Cyprus, fig. 60:6–7. Cf. also Harden, Karanis, 267, nos. 838ff., pl. 20.
78. Isings, no. 82A.
79. Ibid., no. 92, with Western examples of the 2nd C.
80. Cf. ibid., no. 103 and Vessberg, Cyprus, fig. 50.
81. Isings, no. 82A. Cf. Vessberg, Opus Arch, pl. 9:4ff.
82. Harden, Karanis, 187, nos. 516ff., pl. 17. Cf. Isings, no. 133.
83. Spartz, no. 84 (with ref.).
84. Isings, no. 104.
85. Fremersdorf, Gläser mit Schliff, pl. 114; bottles of this shape rarely bear gilt decoration: ibid., pl. 274. Cf. also Saldern et al, Sig. Oppenlander, no. 519.
86. Sardis R2 (1978) no. 93, figs. 208–211.
88. Very similar: Clairmont, no. 757. For related types cf. Harden, Karanis, nos. 568–569; Spartz, nos. 49, 51.
89. Smith Coll., nos. 315, 322, 326.
90. Isings, no. 50.
folded rim. In general, comparable finds date from the second century. 91

Three bottles, 156–158, have a more pear-shaped body. They belong to the same period. 92 A squatter variant is represented by 159.

Another group includes bottles with a conical body that makes up about one-third of the total height of the vessel, 160–162. Although listed by Isings as being of first century date, these bottles were also made in the second century. 93

163 and 164 are almost identical to those of the previous series save for the height of the body which measures about one-half of the total height. 94

The bottles 165–167, derivatives of base forms, are closely related to the four groups just described. In most cases their shapes are the result of the glassmaker's whim rather than of changes in style. Practically all of them have forms included in Isings. 95

Pieces 168 to 172 are transitional between those with a conical and those with a rounded, oval body. 96

A miniature bottle, 173, belongs in the same general category 97 while another bottle with oval body and high neck, 174, is similar to a bottle found in LVC at PC, 130 which is probably of third century date.

Finally, two conical bottles, 175 and 176, with funnel neck and plain rim appear to belong to a slightly different group. They might be derivatives of a type described by Isings and could be dated to the mid- or late Imperial period. 98

The problem of whether ordinary bottles of the types listed in this chapter were imported from regions along the Eastern Mediterranean or made in Asia Minor cannot be solved at present. Only the discovery of large numbers of stylistically and technically homogeneous glass vessels—such as the Early Byzantine material at Sardis—or the discovery of an actual factory site in Turkey could prove that Roman glass was also manufactured in Asia Minor. On the other hand, the presence of fairly numerous examples of early and mid-Imperial common ware in Sardis as well as other sites and the astonishingly extensive material on the antiquities market which stylistically and technically matches the excavated examples from Anatolia support the theory that glassmaking facilities must have existed somewhere (along the coast?) in Asia Minor by at least the late first and second centuries.

Roman Glass

grave were: an Eastern Sigillata B I footless bowl with everted rim (P67.114:7539), 1st C. A.D., and a Julio-Claudian coin under the skull.

H. 4.7, diam. 2.9.
AhT grave 67.28, E0.40/S49.60 ca. *76.66. Among the grave finds were ceramic piriform unguentaria of the 1st C. B.C.-2nd C. A.D.; Eastern Sigillata B I pottery (P67.73:7453 and uninvetoried); and a coin attributed to Augustus-Tiberius, late 1st C. B.C.—early 1st C. A.D.

117 Pl. 5. Concave base, probably pear-shaped body, funnel neck. Eggshell, aquamarine; broken in many pieces, large sections of body missing.
Est. H. ca. 10.0; diam. base ca. 5.0, rim 4.5.
HoB grave 59.h, E20/S60 *99.40-99.00. Found in the grave were 2 coins (one in each of the 2 skulls) of the 1st C. B.C.—2nd C. A.D.

118 Pl. 5. G59.47a:1851. Hemispherical body with concave base, slightly conical neck. Greenish; rim broken.
H. 19.2, diam. 9.0.

H. 7.6.
HoB grave 59.g, E10/S60. *99.50.

120 Pl. 21. Miniature, conical with high kick, cylindrical fractured neck. Greenish; heavy black scum.
P.H. 5.7, diam. 2.2.

121 Irregular short neck with infolded rim, increasing in diam. towards (cylindrical?) body. Greenish; heavy brown scum.
P.H. 5.0, diam. rim 2.3.
MTE 1964 E50-E55/S140-S143 to *101.70. Found with 122.

122 Fragmentary cylindrical neck widening to irregular, infolded rim. Green tint.
P.H. 4.0, diam. rim 3.2.
MTE 1964 E50-E55/S140-S143 to *101.70. Found with 121.

123 Pls. 6, 21. G60.31:2736. Part of upper body and whole neck, squat ovoid body, slender neck tapering downwards, base ring. Eggshell, clear, green-blue tint; slight decomposition.
P.H. ca. 16.0, H. neck 12.0; diam. body 11.0, base ring 3.8.
PC tomb C *91.50.
AJA 66, 9, no. 9f., pl. 6:12.

H. 12.8; diam. 8.2, rim 3.0.
PN grave 63.2, W228/S341 *87.90. BASOR 174, 23.


PN grave 63.2 within sarcophagus, W228/S341 ca. *88.40-87.85. BASOR 174, 23.

127 Pl. 6. G60.27:2732. Conical with concave base, cylindrical neck with base constriction. Aquamarine; upper neck missing.
P.H. 8.5; diam. base 2.3.
PC, E of LVC *90.50.
BASOR 162, 18, n.19.

P.H. 9.7, diam. rim 2.9.
PC zone A *93.14-92.00.

P.H. 12.2.
PC tomb E *91.00.
AJA 66, 9, no. 9e, pl. 9:9e.

130 Pl. 7. G59.46B:1850. Ovoid body with kick, slender cylindrical neck with base constriction, infolded rim. Thin, clear with green tint.
H. 15.7.
PC LVC, pit 1.
BASOR 174, 23.

H. 10.8; diam. body 8.1, rim 3.8.
PC SVC, pit 2.
AJA 66, 8—9, no. 9d, pl. 6:9, 8:9b.

P.H. 13.0, diam. 6.0.
PN grave 63.1, W228/S348 *89.70, beside skeleton in sarcophagus. BASOR 174, 22ff.
Bottles without Decoration


136 **Pis. 7, 22.** G60.1:2242. Four-sided (each side slightly indented), concave neck, infolded rim. Probably clear, green tint; brilliant iridescence. H. 6.0. PC (zone Z) area of early Roman tiled graves, under late Roman house *91.00. AJA 66, 8, no. 9c, pls: 6:10, 8:9c.

137 **Pl. 22.** Cylindrical neck with base constriction, widening to rounded shoulder, wide, out- and under-folded rim. Aquamarine; hole at side of neck. P.H. 5.3, diam. rim 4.0. MTE 1964 E67-E72/S157-S161 *111.90-111.70.


139 Fragment of neck, see 138. Green tint. Diam. 4.2. MTE 1964 E64-E72/S155-S161 to *112.20.

140 **Pl. 22.** Concave neck, widening to body, beveled edge. Aquamarine; flaking iridescence; well made. P.H. 3.5, diam. 3.8. UT 1959 E70/S200 to *119.68.


142 **Pl. 8.** NoEx 68.17.31. Squat conical, cylindrical neck, outplayed infolded rim. Aquamarine. H. 9.0, diam. base 4.9.

143 NoEx 68.17.13. Form as 142, thick rim. Clear; brown rough scum. H. 8.5, diam. base 5.0.

144 NoEx 68.17.17. Form as 142, rough pontil mark, irregular rim. Aquamarine; rough scum. H. 10.0, diam. base 4.8.

145 NoEx 68.17.6. Form as 142, high kick, infolded irregular rim. Bluish green tint; frosted, brown decomposition. H. 11.7, diam. base 5.7.

146 NoEx 68.17.19. Form as 142, neck constricted, infolded rim. Aquamarine; frosted brown decomposition. H. 11.2, diam. base 4.5.

147 NoEx 68.17.24. Form as 142, high kick and rough pontil mark, infolded irregular rim. Green tint. H. 14.5, diam. base 5.5.

148 NoEx 68.17.2. Form as 142. Concave base, infolded rim. Green tint; white corrosion. H. 11.3, diam. base 7.5.

149 NoEx 68.17.30. Form as 142, high kick, infolded rim. Clear, bubbly. H. 15.4, diam. base 6.2.


151 NoEx 68.17.16. Form as 142, slight kick, rough pontil mark, constricted neck, infolded irregular rim. Green tint, bubbly. H. 17.0, diam. base 7.4.

152 NoEx 68.17.1. Form as 142, rough pontil mark, constricted neck, infolded irregular rim. Aquamarine tint. H. 17.8, diam. base 8.0.

153 NoEx 68.17.34. Form as 142, but regular, concave base, infolded rim. Aquamarine. H. 17.0, diam. base 7.8.

154 NoEx 68.17.27. Form as 142, concave base, constricted neck, infolded rim. Bluish green tint; white corrosion. H. 11.0, diam. base 5.5.


156 **Pl. 8.** NoEx 68.17.3. Pear-shaped, cylindrical neck, infolded irregular rim. Bluish green tint; rough scum, corroded. H. 9.4, diam. base 3.8.
Roman Glass

157  NoEx 68.17.33. Form as 156, high kick, constricted neck, infolded rim. Yellow tint; corroded brown scum. H. 15.7, diam. body 6.3.

158  NoEx 68.17.4. Form similar to 156, high kick. Bluish green tint, bubbly; corroded, brown scum. H. 11.3, diam. body 4.0.


161  NoEx 68.17.10. Form as 160. Bluish green tint; brown decomposition; rim missing. H. 13.2, diam. base 3.2.

162  NoEx 68.17.21. Form as 160, concave base, infolded rim. Aquamarine; corroded, brown scum; fractured. H. 14.0, diam. base 3.6.


164  NoEx 68.17.25. Form as 163, infolded irregular rim. Aquamarine, large bubbles. H. 8.8, diam. base 3.5.


166  Pl. 8. NoEx 68.17.35. Oval, cylindrical neck, infolded irregular rim. Green tint; frosted, brown scum. H. 10.5, diam. body 3.5.


171  NoEx 68.17.11. Form as 170, high kick, infolded, irregular rim. Bluish green tint, eggshell; frosted, dark scum; rim fractured, hole at body. H. 10.8, diam. body 4.5.


175  Pl. 9. NoEx 68.17.22. High conical, slight kick, tapering neck. Clear; white corrosion; mended. H. 18.3, diam. base 7.7.

176  NoEx 68.17.14. Same as 175. H. 19.0, diam. base 7.5.

VESSELS WITH STRAP HANDLES

Because of their thickness, vessel handles and bases were relatively sturdy and, therefore, tended to survive intact more frequently than the fragile vessel walls. Even a small portion of a handle will give some information on the type of the original vessel, while a wall section of the same size is usually much less informative.

At Sardis, a series of corrugated strap handles, with tooled grooves running vertically on the exterior, were found at various locations. Most of them appear to belong to well-known groups of early to middle Roman Imperial vessels, primarily squat or high bottles with single or double strap handles (Pl. 10). According to the archaeological evidence, however, one or more may date to late or even post-Roman times.

177 was discovered at PN in a level dated by a coin of Valentinian I (A.D. 364–375; BASOR 170, 20). Fragments 178–180 found at HoB belong to mixed Roman occupation levels. 179 was from the bottom portion of a vessel—perhaps a beaker—with concave base. 180 was excavated with ordinary aquamarine-colored window glass. 181 was found under BS W 13 with late Hellenistic and early Roman sigillate ware (BASOR 157, 34); in this case we can be fairly certain

100. Such bases could have belonged to beakers, cf. Vessberg, OpusArch, pl. 3:29; Isings, no. 32.
that the handle is datable to about the first century A.D. A handle found in BS W 2, 182, is possibly fourth century. 101 Finally a handle from BE–S, 183 was found with a small single-thread handle of a bowl or lamp of Early Byzantine date, apparently an indication that vessels with strap handles were also made after the fourth century.

177 Pl. 22. Portion of handle and rim section of jug or bottle with plate-like mouth, infolded rim and handle with narrow, shallow ribbing. Clear with green tint.
PN 1962 ca. W220-W240/S365-S385 *89.45.

178 Pl. 22. Lower part of strap handle from bottle or jug with portion of wall from shoulder; 5 pronounced ribs. Bottle green, bubbly.
P.H. 7.0, W. 5.0.

179 Portion of corrugated handle. Greenish.
P.H. 2.5.
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S115 to *100.60.

180 Portion of handle with corrugation deeper than 179. Aquamarine.
P.H. 3.0.
HoB 1962 W10/S115 *101.00.

181 Large portion of handle with narrow corrugations. Aquamarine.
P.H. 4.5, W. 3.0.
BS W 13, 1959 W54-W57/S2-S4, pit below floor in SW corner, *94.50-94.00.

P.H. 5.8.
BS W 2, W7.5/S0-S3 level II, below top of S wall.
Hanffmann, JGS, 53, n.11.

183 Flat, corrugated handle. Greenish.

184 Section of handle(?), 2 trunk-like applications with portions of curved walls from a vessel of unidentified shape. Green tint, eggshell; white scum.
P.H. 3.2.
HoB 1965 unstratified.

VESSELS WITH FLAT BASES (BEAKERS OR BOWLS)

A series of base fragments appears to belong to a specific vessel type. The base is flat and set off against the outsplayed, lower portion of the vessel which, in analogy to bowl-like beakers with the same base (found, for example, in Dura Europos and Cyprus), seems to have been of roughly hemispherical form with the rim turned outward. 102

The fragments from Sardis are greenish and are now covered with a whitish, enamel-like decomposition not unlike the cut fragments 64–76 and those with warts, 81–90. Two of the sherds, 185, were found in the area where ca. 150 nondescript vessel fragments of greenish and aquamarine glass of probably mid-Imperial date were found, including the section of a late second or third century faceted bowl, 65. The third fragment, 186, is unstratified while a fourth, 187, was found together with the fragment of a vessel with warts, 81, also datable to the late second or third century.

As these approximate dates concur with the period to which the beakers found in Dura and in Cyprus have been assigned, the latter part of the second and the third century, it seems highly likely that the vessel bases recorded here also date from this time. In view of the parallel material it is equally likely that they formed part of bowl-shaped beakers (n. 102, supra).

185 Pl. 22. Two base sections of cups or beakers; flat base set off against convex wall. Clear with green tint; heavy silver white iridescent scum.
P.H. 5.0 and 4.5, diam. base 5.0, Th. base 0.7.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

186 Small base fragment (cf. 185). Clear with green tint.
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S115 to *101.40. Found with 201 and 582.

187 Pl. 10, 22. Same as 186.
Diam. 4.8.
UT 1959 E80/S200 *121.50-121.00. Found with 81.

BOWLS WITH PRONOUNCED BASE RINGS

A common type of Roman glass is a fairly deep bowl with convex, conical, or slightly flaring walls, resting on a sloping base ring. 103 A few sections of base rings which apparently belonged to such bowls were found in Sardis. All examples recorded have been knocked off very close to the base, leaving fractured edges which give no substantial information

103. Cf. for example, Harden, Karanis, nos. 221ff., 304, pl. 14 (nos. 221, 304, here Pl. 22). Clairmont, nos. 76ff., 95ff., pls. 2, 5; nos. 584ff., pl. 9 (bases only).
about the profile of the complete vessel. Only an analysis of the profile and the diameter of the base ring will contribute to a reconstruction of the original vessel type.

The base rings from Sardis are sloping and either straight-sided or curved slightly toward the exterior. The bottom of the vessel is generally slightly convex and extends beyond the base ring in an almost horizontal direction. Such a profile may be typical of a variety of bowls and plates dating from early Roman to late Imperial times. But it seems most likely that these base rings were from bowls of the general type referred to in note 103. The character of the fabric and decomposition, the average diameter of the bases, as well as the fact that most of the Roman glass finds from Sardis tend to be of late first to third century dates appear to bear out this supposition.

104. Cf. 1st C. skyphoi with circular base rings, Isings, no. 39, or the relatively late, i.e. 3rd–4th C. dishes and bowls, Harden, Karanis, pls. 11, 14.

105. Cf. for example, Harden, Karanis, no. 658, pl. 18, showing a very similar base construction.

106. It could have come from a goblet similar to pieces such as E. B. Dusenbery, “Ancient Glass in the Collection of Wheaton College,” JGS 13 (1971) 22, no. 35, fig. 34; Saldern et al., Slg. Oppehnänder, no. 706. Cf. also Isings, no. 111.

VARIOUS VESSEL BASES

This and the following groups of vessel fragments include a great variety of small sherds most of which are very difficult to associate with specific vessel forms. They appear to date from mid-Imperial times.

A base, 193, with pushed-up bottom and base ring, was found in a pit in LVC at PC (BASOR 157, 15–16); it could have belonged to a large, hemispherical bottle with cylindrical or conical neck, a shape popular in the third century. This base was discovered with a number of nondescript fragments, representing about five vessels, perhaps bottles or bowls. The next base, 194, also with a base ring, could have belonged to a small bottle or bowl of mid- or late Roman (or Early Byzantine?) date; the sherd seems to belong to a level over early Roman strata (BASOR 162, 17ff.). 195 and 196 cannot be assigned to any clearly definable period as they come from the disturbed levels at UT. 195 is the lower portion of a vessel with slightly concave, waisted base, and walls that are faintly conical at the lower end. Whether the original object was a bowl or a bottle cannot be determined. The shallow, lower part of a vessel, 196, is perhaps from a bottle, which has an applied base ring in the form of a two-strand spiral coil. A flat base of very common construction, 197, was found at a high level at HoB (BASOR 174, 6); it could either be late Roman or Early Byzantine.

Two vessel portions that appear to be from goblets, 198 and 199, may be mid- or late Roman (or even Early Byzantine). A sloping foot and lower part of a bowl that is egg-shaped at bottom comprise 198; it comes from a high level at HoB. 198

193 Lower portion of vessel with convex walls and straight base ring. Greenish. Est. diam. ca. 10.0. PC LVC 1959 ca. *93.40-93.35.


188 Section of base ring. Green tint; irregular. Est. diam. 4.6. PN 1963 W241/S356 *90.00.


190 Section of base ring. Green tint. Est. diam. 6.0. PN 1964 W254-W256/S342-S345 *87.45-87.15.

191 Pl. 22. Section of base ring, Green tint; irregular. Est. diam. 6.0. PN 1964 W254-W256/S342-S345 *87.45-87.15.

192 Pl. 22. Section of base ring, fractured edge of broken off vessel at top. Green tint; heavy silver scum. P.H. 5.0, est. diam. 9.0. HoB 1961 W10/S90-S95 to *101.15.
Curved Rims

196  *Pl. 22.* Lower, shallow portion of vessel, probably a bowl; applied base ring made into a two-strand spiral. Aquamarine.
Diam. 7.0, Th. up to 0.15, W. of coil 1.0.
UT 1959 N side E80/S210 *122.63-122.10.

197  One of a group of fragments of thick clear glass with green tint, from vessels of unidentified shapes; all have heavy silver iridescence and frosting. All or most seem to come from similar cups.
Base fragment; flat base with slightly projecting edge, gently curving wall.
P.H. 4.0, est. diam. base 6.0.

198  Irregular, sloping foot, concave stem, egg-shaped lower bowl curving to cylindrical or slightly convex upper portion of the vessel (goblet?).
P.H. 2.5, diam. foot 3.1.
HoB 1962 W30/S90-S95 to *101.20.

199  Portion of lower (egg-shaped?) bowl, concave stem and upper portion of the foot. Greenish.
P.H. 3.4, diam. at constriction (stem) 0.9.
HoB 1965, chance find.

**VESSELS WITH CURVED RIMS**

At least three fragments, 200–202, come from early Imperial beakers or bowls with walls whose upper portion is perpendicular to an out-turned, upward-curving rim.\(^{107}\) 202 is decorated with faintly engraved lines often found on similar beakers.\(^{108}\) The early Roman date of 200 is confirmed by the stratigraphic evidence; it was found in a low Roman level (BASOR 174, 13).

The other fragments are unstratified; 201 (BASOR 170, 13) was discovered together with the upper portion of a bottle with wide rim and waisted neck that is almost certainly Early Byzantine, 582. Another rim fragment, 203, very similar to those just mentioned may be from a similar beaker or bowl; the area where it was found has not as yet been thoroughly investigated (BASOR 174, 7–8).

200  *Pl. 10.* G63.4:5130. Perpendicular wall fragment of cup with rim bent out and up, ground edge. Aquamarine.
P.H. 6.0, est. diam. 9.0, Th. 0.25.
HoB W30/S100-S105 *100.20-99.90.

201  *Pl. 22.* Form as 200. Bottle olive.
P.H. 6.0, est. diam. 8.0, Th. 0.1-0.2.
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S115 to *101.40. Found with 186 and 582.

202  Rim fragment of bowl, slightly convex wall, ground rim turned outward and upward; faint cut lines, a wider line 4.5 below edge. Blue.
P.H. 6.0; est. diam. rim 6.5, body 7.0; Th. 0.2.
Chance find.

203  Outward and upward-curving rim fragment of bowl. Aquamarine.
P.H. 3.0, est. diam. 6.0, Th. 0.2.
HoB 1963 W13-W18/S120-S125 to *102.30.

**MISCELLANEOUS VESSEL SECTIONS**

The vessel fragments included here will not add much to our knowledge of the typology of Roman glass at Sardis. They include six rim fragments of vessels of unknown shape and one handle. The following commentary does not attempt to put them in their proper context (which is probably impossible).

A plain in-turned rim of a vessel, 204, is undated; it was found with many nondescript fragments at MTE. It is significant because it is made of blue glass, a very rare color at Sardis.

Another fragment of a vessel, 205, with plain rim waisted below the edge comes from a disturbed level at HoB (BASOR 166, 7ff.). The rim section (of a cup or bowl?), having no known provenance, 206, seems to be related to early Imperial material despite its sprung rim, cf. 15–24. A more complete rim section, 207, is the upper section of a bottle or jar with its rim turned inward. It was found at MTE and is undated; it could be either late Roman or Early Byzantine (BASOR 177, 14). The glass fragments found with this rim section range from Roman vessels with cut grooves to Early Byzantine cups (or bottles) of the type represented by 401–444.

The section of a bottle or jar, 208, with a rope handle attached to the edge, also found at MTE, might be attributed to late Roman or Early Byzantine times; the former is more likely. From about the same location comes an angled handle with thumb rest, 209, which appears to be Roman rather than Byzantine.\(^{109}\) An unidentifiable, handle-like sherd, 210, is also unstratified.

Among the other fragments is the section, 211, of a cube-like piece of thick glass perhaps used for inlay work, showing an edge with a right angle. Two con-
verging grooves are engraved on the top. The date is uncertain (*BASOR* 166, 48–49).

The identification of a wall fragment, 212, is equally difficult. On top there are portions of two applied droplets that do not appear to have served as decorative devices but seem to be the remains of a broken-off section. The sherd is early Roman Imperial at the earliest. It was found at *96.10 in the Lydian Trench at HoB in a Geometric-Protogeometric context (*BASOR* 177, 13–14) but is clearly an intrusion such as might fall from the scarp during excavation.

Finally, two wall fragments of purple glass are worth mentioning because of the rarity of this color at Sardis and elsewhere. One, 213, comes from PN and is certainly Roman (*BASOR* 182, 25). The other, 214, found with a section of a rod, is from a fairly well stratified location west of the Marble Monument at PN at a level (*89.90-89.40) that is early Roman Imperial (first half of the first century(?); *BASOR* 174, 22–23). The piece might, therefore, belong to the group listed under 15–24.

204  *Pl. 22.* One-third of vessel rim with edge turned inward, the rim being tooled but not folded. Light blue, eggshell.
P.H. 3.8, est. diam. 5.0.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

205  *Pl. 22.* Plain rim waisted below the edge. Aquamarine, eggshell.
P.H. 3.5, est. diam. 10.0.

206  *Pl. 22.* Rim fragment of shallow cup, sprung rim. Clear, yellowish green tint.
P.H. 6.5, est. diam. 16.0.
Chance find 1964.

207  *Pl. 22.* One-half of folded rim of bottle or jar, the edge turned outward. Greenish?
Diam. 5.0, Th. 0.2.

208  *Pl. 22.* One-sixth of slightly flaring rim of bowl with waisted neck; handle-section twisted like a rope. Aquamarine.
P.H. 3.3, est. diam. ca. 7.0-10.0, Th. to 0.1.

209  *Pl. 22.* Small handle bent to an almost r. angle, with small thumb rest at top. Greenish?
H. 6.0.
MTE 1964, upper SW area, 1.7 m. below walls.

210  *Pl. 22.* Two trunk-like applications (section of handle?) with portions of curved walls from vessel of unidentified shape. Green tint, eggshell; white scum.
P.H. 3.2.
HoB 1965 unstratified.

211  Section of a cube (used for inlay?) on top 2 cut, converging grooves. Clear with green tint.
P.H. 2.5, Th. 1.0.

212  Rim fragment with remains of 2 irregularly shaped droplets (which appear to be portions of larger broken-off sections). Clear, yellow tint.
P.H. 3.5.
HoB 1964 W21-W25/S117.50-S119 *96.10.

213  Small section (from bottom?) of vessel. Purple.
Max. dim. 4.5, Th. 0.1-0.25.
PN 1965 W290-W295/S335-S340 *86.50-86.00.

214  G63.3B:5114. Slightly curved section of vessel. Deep purple.
Max. dim. 3.7.
PN W225/S345 *89.90-89.40.

STAMPS

Four stamps came to light at Sardis, three of which were unstratified. However, they are undoubtedly of Roman date.100 One has a stamped impression of a Medusa head, 215. The next, 216, shows the figure of a bearded man in front of an altar (Lydian Zeus?) engraved on the surface. The third stamp, 217, is decorated with the helmeted bust of a male (Zeus? Ares?), apparently impressed onto the surface. The fourth stamp, 218, from B at a level associated with the Early Byzantine period, shows a man on top of two deer.111

215  *Pls. 10, 22.* G64.2:6129. Oval with head of Medusa; design very "soft" but seems to have been engraved. Greenish.
1.2 by 1.0, Th. 0.3.
MTE E69-E70/S150-S160 *109.00.

216  *Pl. 10.* NoEx 68.5. Oval with cut design: figure of bearded man standing and turned to r. (Lydian Zeus?) with curly hair and in tunic; his l. arm is held up over an altar

110. Kisa, *Glas* 11 481: Harden, *Karanis*, 297–298 (for their use as merchandise marks, tokens, tickets, amulets, etc.).
111. The stamps will also be published with gemstones and other small finds by R. S. Thomas in a forthcoming Sardis monograph.
with flame (offering scene?); behind him a torch-like object. Aquamarine. 1.3 by 1.1. Field W of HoB, chance find.

217 Pl. 10. Oval, with helmeted male bust in profile, turned 1. (Ares?); design recognizable only with help of the wax impression. 1.3 by 1.0. Chance find 1964.

218 Pl. 22. Seal 58.1:986. Oval; man on top of (astride?) 2 deer. Greenish. H. 1.7. BS W 2 level II.

MISCELLANEOUS OBJECTS

Spiral Rods

A well-known group of Roman glass objects consists of spirally twisted and plain rods (similar to modern martini stirrers). Sometimes these objects reach considerable length—20 to 30 cm.—and often terminate at one end in a flat disc, a spoon-like motif, or a bird. Usually assigned to the early or mid-Roman period, they are rather common in the Eastern Mediterranean as well as in the West.\(^{112}\)

Two spiral rod sections are safely dated to the early Imperial period. 219 was found in the vaulted passage beneath the Marble Court (\textit{ BASOR } 177, 26) with small vessel fragments of Roman date and 220 came from a level below a second or third century stratum (\textit{ BASOR } 174, 13). 221 comes from the Hellenistic grave k at HoB (\textit{ BASOR } 157, 28) but rods of this type have, to my knowledge, not previously been associated with the Hellenistic period. The piece could be an intrusion or belong to a time when glassmaking began to take its course on a relatively large scale, and objects such as rods and pins were among the standard products of a late Hellenistic workshop. 222 and 223, come from unstratified levels.

Pins and Tubes

Sections of a few plain, very fragmentary pins or rods were found.\(^{113}\) Used for stirring or as spatulae, they were certainly made over a long period of time; no doubt Roman examples will not have differed markedly from later examples.

A pin section of dark (blue?) glass was found at PN, 224. Another section, of blue glass, 225, comes from a mixed Hellenistic-Roman level at HoB (\textit{ BASOR } 166, 5–7). An almost identical rod section was found in an unstratified level at the Synagogue (unlisted). All these pieces resemble rods or needles of early Roman date found in northern Italy and elsewhere in the West\(^{114}\) as well as in Syria.\(^{115}\)

A pinhead, 226, no doubt used for a metal pin, was found in a level that contained Hellenistic coins (for example: Philip III, 319 B.C.; Antiochus II, 261–246 B.C.; and Antiochus III, 213–190 B.C.) and Roman material (\textit{ BASOR } 177, 3–4); it may be tentatively assigned to the early Roman period.

Pendants

A bead-like pendant, 229, unfortunately unstratified, shows decomposition comparable to that on Roman vessel fragments with applied warts, 81–90.

A tear-shaped object, 230, found in a Roman grave of the third or fourth century (\textit{ BASOR } 174, 22–23) resembles “drippings” or tiny bits of glass that fall off during manufacture and are usually found at factory sites. This piece, however, seems to have been fashioned into a pendant by adding a tiny loop at the top.

Ring

The only ring found at Sardis, 231, appears to be Roman, and not Early Byzantine, although it is unstratified. It is of a simple type that is almost impossible to date accurately.\(^{116}\)

Bracelets

Bracelets of Roman date are as rare as rings. Sections of only two bracelets were discovered that seem to be of pre-Byzantine date.\(^{117}\) One, 232, belonged to a Hellenistic-Roman fill overlaying Lydian levels


115. \textit{ Smith Coll. }, no. 356, in blue, resting in a pointed amphora of the 1st C.


Roman Glass

*BASE* 166, 5ff.). The other bracelet, 233, twisted to form a rope, was unstratified; a date within the Roman period, however, is likely.

219 Rod section, spirally twisted. Aquamarine.
P.H. 4.0, diam. 0.6.
BE 1964 vaulted chamber under MC ca. E33.50-E35/N57-N60 *91.97-91.27.

220 Upper portion of spirally twisted rod, flattened at top. Highly corroded.
P.H. 2.8, Th. 0.6.
HoB 1963 E0-E10/S120.5 to *101.40.

221 Upper portion of rod with collar at top. Greenish.
P.H. 2.7, diam. 0.7.
HoB grave 59.k E10/S60 *97.70.

222 G60.19:2724. Section of rod. Greenish?
P.H. 2.7.
HoB E10/S105 fill to *101.00.

223 Rod section spirally twisted to upper 1. Clear?
P.H. 4.8.
UT 1959 E50/S235 wall trench to ca. *124.10.

224 Front portion of pointed pin. Dark glass.
P.L. 3.3, diam. 0.3.
PN 1967 W275-W277/S329.5-S333.5 to *87.40.

225 Section of pin. Blue.
P.L. 6.5, diam. 0.5.

226 Bulb-shaped pinhead, perforated from bottom. Aquamarine.
H. 1.2.
PN 1964 W250-W253/S347-S350 *87.60-87.00.

227 Tube section. Greenish.
BE 1964 vaulted chamber under MC ca. E33.50-E35/N57-N60 *90.93.

228 Tube section. Aquamarine.
L. 6.7, diam. 0.8.

229 Spherical pendant (or bead), no perforation. Clear; brilliant iridescence; fractured at one end.
Diam. 1.4.
MTE 1964 E59-E65/S152-S155 to *111.40.

230 G63.9:5255. Tear-shaped with loop at top: probably a dripping (from manufacture) used as pendant. Greenish.
H. 1.7.
PN grave 63.1, W221/S347 *88.70.

231 J64.1:6108. Ring, flattened at one side. Greenish(?); black scum, corroded.
Diam. 1.7.
MTE E46-E50/S125-S130 *101.00-100.60.

232 G61.7:3181. Curved section of bracelet, ext. tooled to form notches. Black(?); rough surface.
P.L. 3.0.
HoB W5-W10/S90 to *102.00.

233 Pl. 10. G73.8:8267. Section of bracelet, flat at one end, twisted to form a rope. Olive green.
Est. diam. 8.0, Th. 0.8.
PN/E between graves 73.4 and 73.5, ca. W209.5-W215/S360-S364 *90.60.
The majority of glass finds from Sardis is of Early Byzantine date, i.e. from ca. A.D. 400 to 616. Almost all the glass comes from occupation levels, not from graves and practically all the vessels have been broken into very small fragments. A very rough estimate of the number of fragments excavated between 1958 and 1978 seen by this writer totals about 7500 sherds. This sum, in turn, might represent about 4000 vessels if one assumes that approximately two fragments were part of each vessel. In addition, the remains of well over 1000 window panes should be added to this total. It should be noted that such an experienced excavator as D. G. Mitten estimates the ratio of pottery to glass to be about 15:1. Since the number of positively identified pre-Roman and Roman finds, including the bottles bought from a villager, amounts to little over 200 items, by far the majority of the glasses excavated at Sardis is of Early Byzantine date.

Selection Criteria

Practically all material with inventory numbers as well as almost all single items labeled by the excavator are included in the catalogue and the statistical lists. The other material—exceeding by far in sheer quantity and weight the glass mentioned above—was investigated with the purpose of selecting identifiable and/or significant sherds (for example, well-preserved handles, feet, rim sections, fragments with thread or pattern molded decoration, etc.). A selection of these objects is included in the catalogue and in the statistics.

After this selection was made the boxes were sifted through in an attempt to identify sherds whose original vessel shape could be reconstructed. All of the fragments that could be identified were counted and entered in the statistics.

Finally, the contents of the boxes and cartons were duly noted and their weight estimated in an attempt to approximate the number of sherds contained in each. Again the totals were added to the statistics. The resulting grand totals (about 7500 fragments) can be found in the statistical table.

The Types

Save for a number of bottles, 476–478, 488, 509, 560–565, one beaker, 472, and a salver, 374, only a very few pieces could be reconstructed to show a continuous profile. Practically all of the finds consist of small fragments with dimensions only occasionally exceeding 3 cm. In some cases it was virtually impossible to say whether sherds identical or very similar in appearance were originally part of a particular vessel form or whether they represent different—though perhaps related—types. This seems to be particularly true of items such as the base rings of vessels (cf. 401–444); many were excavated, but not one was large enough to reconstruct a complete vessel.

In computing and cataloguing the glass finds from Sardis, the margin of error, therefore, appears to be rather high. However, while the fact remains that inaccuracies in the identification of the material might give the conclusions presented below a slightly speculative twist, the damage does not seem to be too serious; we hope that the majority of the finds has
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been properly identified. Be that as it may, a survey of all the recorded sherds datable to the Early Byzantine period shows that over forty different vessel types are represented. This number does not include variants of goblet stems or base constructions that could not be linked to specific vessel forms.

Fabrics

Most of the Early Byzantine glass from Sardis seems to have been made in six fabrics of which four were quite popular and two relatively rare. An additional number of fragments have tints that seem to be variants of the main fabrics.

The most common, fabric 1, is light aquamarine; it is closely related to the typically Romano-Syrian blue glass of middle and late Roman Imperial times, found most often in Syria. Next in frequency is a pale green glass, fabric 2, often tending towards the pale olive-green, and a “bottle” olive green glass, fabric 3. Fabric 3 is darker than fabrics 1 and 2 and has a certain resemblance to ordinary eighteenth and nineteenth century olive green bottles made in England or Holland. Fabric 4, of the same intensity as fabric 3, apparently occurs less frequently; it has a “bottle” green color, i.e. a brighter green than fabric 3, that is also found in ordinary bottles of later times. Fabric 5, very rare indeed, is almost clear with a very slight green or yellow tint. About half a dozen vessel fragments are made of light blue glass, fabric 6.

Weathering

Almost every sherd shows some kind of weathering. A decay common on Early Byzantine glass at Sardis shows a dulled, frosted surface. This layer, in turn, may develop into an iridescent scum which flakes off easily. Occasionally the scum becomes much thicker and has a light ochre, enamel-like appearance. A large number of fragments show the effects of a conflagration: the surface is covered with a dark, dirty layer that can only be the result of intense heat and contact with burning material. We have named this kind of decay “dirty fire scum” which, in most cases, covers a brilliant silver or peacock iridescence.

Manufacture at Sardis

All glass from Early Byzantine levels at Sardis displays a remarkable homogeneity that is generally found only at sites that either had manufacturing facilities of their own, or revealed hoards of glass imported from one particular region or factory. Lamps, goblets, and bottles as well as groups such as ring bases, 401–444, or threaded bottle necks, 607–621, are, in most cases, recorded in many hundreds of fragments which are all practically identical save for minor variations. Each piece is either made of a basic fabric, fabrics 1-4, or shows only slight deviations from the basic color or tint, the result of workshop methods typical for larger glass houses.

There seems to be no readily recognizable change in style within each vessel type or fabric over a period of ca. 200 years (assuming that the finds are more or less evenly spread over the entire period), a fact that speaks for a rather conservative tradition in a region situated on the periphery of the mainstream of glass development. It must be kept in mind, however, that development, in general, does not seem to have been very rapid. Since cullet, a few wasters and the remains of crucibles for the melting of glass were excavated in Early Byzantine levels (cf. 712–737), there is no doubt that one or more workshops or factories were functioning in this city. These glass houses appear to have limited their production to the making of ordinary, undecorated or slightly decorated ware for home consumption while costlier vessels, bearing engraved, cut, or rich thread decoration, are totally lacking. Drinking and pouring vessels, lamps, bowls, and salvers belong to the regular output, accompanied by large amounts of window panes and tesserae for the decoration of interior walls of buildings (for example the Synagogue). While most of the production did not attain very high standards, some vessel types, for instance a variant of the goblet, 300–322, were very carefully fashioned.

The decorative techniques employed for the glass appear to have been limited to simple spiral threading, 607–632, and pattern molding in rib molds, 643–656. Only a few examples of millefiori glass, 657–666, if made locally, indicate experimentation with more complicated techniques.

The Significance of Sardis Finds

The importance of the Early Byzantine finds from Sardis lies in the fact that a large amount of well dated glass covering a relatively short period (ca. 400–616) was excavated under careful archaeological supervision. Because our knowledge of the history of glass
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and development of forms within this span of time—in the Near East and under Byzantine rule—is still relatively sketchy the finds presented in this volume may help to give the reader a clearer view of the production of glass in Asia Minor and of the close stylistic links it had with Syria and even northwest Italy. In discussing each form in the catalogue, reference will be made to parallel examples from other sites. The following brief survey of similar glass finds may help to orient the reader within the general framework of post-Roman glass in the Near East.

The history of glass manufacture in the Near East in late and post-Roman times has recently been described by J. Philippe and D. B. Harden in concise surveys accompanied by bibliographical material. Glass of this period from Palestine was the subject of D. Barag’s dissertation. From what is known of the typology and modes of decoration in the latter part of the fourth century, immediately preceding the Early Byzantine period in Sardis, the variety of shapes and the decorative devices were still quite abundant. Both experienced a regression towards the turn of the fourth to the fifth century. Luxury ware does not seem to have been in demand after the year 400 if one excepts the richly cut glass produced for the Sassanian court. The embellishment of glass through cutting, engraving, painting, mold blowing, and generous use of thread decoration came to a seemingly abrupt end. Vessel shapes tended to become elongated. Lamps and stemmed drinking vessels became more popular while receptacles such as beakers and bowls lost their former relatively light and almost elegant forms. The well-known blue green Syrian glass continued to be made in the centuries after the collapse of the Western Empire and Syrian vessel forms, though reduced in number, served as prototypes for the glass manufacture in the Near East after A.D. 400.

For the convenience of the reader a number of references to excavation reports of sites having material directly related to the glass from Sardis are listed in Table 3. References to specific vessel types will be found in the introductory notes to the various sections.

Some of the contemporary Sassanian finds from Ctesiphon are no doubt closely related to a number of vessel forms also found in Sardis. Further west, archaeological sites have also revealed glass that in many cases bears close resemblance to the Sardis Early Byzantine ware. Apart from the finds from Corinth and Sucidava the material recently excavated in Italy and Southeast Europe should particularly be mentioned, and is presented in Table 4.

At most of these archaeological sites, many of which were excavated after World War II, vessel forms were found that are common at Sardis: lamps of various types, stemmed goblets, long-necked bottles with globular bodies and concave bases, vessels with ring bases, bottles with spiral thread around the upper neck, occasionally pattern-molded ribbed ware, and, of course, window glass and tesserae.

The Sardis finds thus confirm several suppositions about glass production along the Eastern Mediterranean and in the Near East (and on the northwestern coast of Italy) after the decline of Western Roman power and before the advent of Islamic rule. The misnamed “dark” period in the Near East saw much more activity in glass manufacture than has been supposed. Even a provincial city such as Sardis had facilities to produce large quantities of admittedly ordinary ware for home consumption and possibly for export. Glass made about the middle of the first millennium A.D. was part of an international style that transcended national and geographical boundaries. Influenced particularly by the Syrian-Palestinian conventions of forms, fabrics, and modes of decoration popular about A.D. 400, ordinary glass found (and made) in Palestine, Asia Minor, Greece, and Italy is close in date and appearance. The corpus of dated finds—especially those from Sardis—now makes it possible to describe accurately and in great detail the situation from the fourth to the seventh century, bridging the gap between middle and late Roman Imperial and Islamic glass.

Findspots

Areas where particularly rich Early Byzantine glass finds came to light include the Byzantine Shops, RT (south of shops E 12 and 13), portions of B (Pa, BE-A, BE-B) and Syn, especially Fc. The vessel shapes listed

4. Cf. esp. the finds from Jeleme: Goldstein, passim.
5. Philippe, passim.
6. Puttrich-Reignard, passim.
9. Harden, Karanit; Clairmont, passim; Barag, “Glass Vessels”; Goldstein, passim.
Table 3. Glass of about the same date and very similar in style to Early Byzantine glass from Sardis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date of glass concentrations</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jerash</td>
<td>5th–6th C.</td>
<td>Crowfoot-Harden; Baur-Kraeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shavei Zion</td>
<td>5th–6th C.</td>
<td>Barag, <em>Shavei Zion</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auja Hafir</td>
<td>Ca. 5th–7th C.</td>
<td>Harden, <em>Nessana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samaria</td>
<td>Ca. late 4th–6th C.</td>
<td>Crowfoot, <em>Samaria</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Nebo</td>
<td>Ca. 5th C.</td>
<td>Sailer, <em>Moses</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>Ca. 5th–6th C.</td>
<td>Sailer, <em>Bethany</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khirbet el-Kerak</td>
<td>Early 5th–early 7th C.</td>
<td>Delougaz-Haines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikertai</td>
<td>5th–6th C.</td>
<td>Canivet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hira</td>
<td>Perhaps 5th–7th C.</td>
<td>Unpublished; finds are in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollonia (near Cyrene)</td>
<td>Early Byzantine</td>
<td>University of Michigan excavation (lamps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Shan, Tell el-Hosn</td>
<td>4th–7th C.</td>
<td>FitzGerald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>el-Bassa</td>
<td>4th–7th C.</td>
<td>J.H. Iliffe, “A Tomb at El Bassa of ca. A.D. 396,” <em>QDAP</em> 3 (1933) 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Olive</td>
<td>Ca. late 4th C. and later</td>
<td>Bagatti-Milik, 141–158.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmyra</td>
<td>Early Byzantine</td>
<td>Cf. Canivet, 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netiv Ha-Lamed He</td>
<td>Mid 5th–early 7th C.</td>
<td>Barag, “Netiv”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apamea (Syria)</td>
<td>Early Byzantine</td>
<td>Information: Renate Pirling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell Hesbân (Heshbon)</td>
<td>Late Roman/Early Byzantine</td>
<td>Unpublished (goblets, lamps).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debeira West</td>
<td>7th–8th C.</td>
<td>Information kindly provided by Kenan T. Erim. Unpublished (goblets and cups).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in Table 5 are almost never represented by complete examples but by fragments. Only those shapes that occur frequently are listed. For the identification of these areas and for basic data on them, cf. *Sardis Rl* (1975) 13–16; *Sardis Ml* (1971) 2–5.

LAMPS

Lamps appear to have been more numerous than most other forms of Early Byzantine glass. This is surprising but might be explained by the fact that lamps
Table 4. Early Byzantine glass from Italy and Southeast Europe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date of glass concentrations</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invillino</td>
<td>5th–7th C.</td>
<td>Fingerlein et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salona</td>
<td>4th–5th C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

have certain more easily identifiable characteristics (handles, pointed base, etc.) and, therefore, figure more prominently in the statistics. The sherds of other vessels, having less pronounced features (bottles, beakers, etc.) cannot be connected with specific forms and for this reason have to be left out of the catalogue and the statistics.

At least five basic varieties of lamps were used in Sardis during the fifth to early seventh century. The types they represent have been described in Crowfoot-Harden, which to a great extent is based on the material found at Karanis (fourth to fifth century) and Jerash (fifth to eighth century). Curiously, the conical beaker-type, frequent at other Near Eastern sites and often decorated with applied prunts and wheel-cut grooves, has as yet not been found at Sardis. This fact, however, corresponds with the find pattern in other categories of Early Byzantine and, to a lesser extent, of Roman glass at this site. The primary objective of the workshops in this city was to supply a steady flow of ordinary glass products: window panes, tesserae for mosaics, lamps and a very limited number of vessels of more unusual shapes.

The Early Byzantine lamps are usually found with the remains of goblets, bowls, bottles, and window panes. Four or perhaps five forms appear to have been in use; the first two forms in our listing seem to be variations of one type.


12. Isings, no. 106. Recently a series of conical vessels approximately contemporary with the Sardis material and often covered with geometric cut decoration has come to light, particularly in Iran; they may have been used as lamps as well as beakers. A. von Saldern, “Achaemenid and Sassanian Cut Glass,” *Ars Orientalis* 5 (1965) 8, fig. 5. (Since this paper was published, a large amount of additional material has appeared on the market which I plan to treat in a forthcoming paper.) See also 9th C. conical and trumpet-shaped beakers found in Birka: Arbmann, pls. 189–192. For a conical bowl lamp cf. also M. C. Ross, “A Tenth Century Byzantine Glass Lamp,” *Archaeology* 10 (1957) 59–60.
Table 5. Early Byzantine glass: findspot chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Early Byzantine glass types</th>
<th>Catalogue numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AcT</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>507, 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles: cylindrical</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folded feet of vessels</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>599, 600, 601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-W</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>496, 542, 559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: with concave base</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>689, 690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-A</td>
<td>Bottles: small</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>372, 373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>682, 693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-B</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>416–419, 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles: small</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>716, 722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets (over 100)</td>
<td>317, 328, 363, 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>267, 286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large dishes</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millefiori</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>573, 586, 590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>706, 707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-C</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>715, 725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>234, 265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-E</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>479, 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles: globular with wide neck</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-H</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>482, 489, 494, 510, 511, 534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>703, 705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>613, 616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-N</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beakers</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: with inlaid thread decoration</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salvers</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 5. Early Byzantine glass: findspot chart. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Early Byzantine glass types</th>
<th>Catalogue numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BE-S</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 1</td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>250, 280, 291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salver</td>
<td>378, 379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>683, 684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 4</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>239, 266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 5</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 6</td>
<td>Windows (and lead strips for holding panes in place)</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 7</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 12</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>484, 488, 528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows (over 350 window panes, fabric 1 and variants of fabrics 2 and 3; particularly bubbly)</td>
<td>680, 688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 13</td>
<td>The greatest amount of, and most varied, finds including almost all Sardis vessel forms; 1962 finds comprise ca. 4000 fragments: 9/10 vessels, 1/10 windows; 1/3 fabric 1, 1/3 fabric 2, 1/3 fabrics 3 and 4</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>495, 499, 502, 519-523, 525, 538, 549, 557, 561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>300, 323, 347, 348, 367, 371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets (over 150)</td>
<td>242, 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pattern-molded ware</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels:</td>
<td>595-597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>folded</td>
<td>576, 577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salvers</td>
<td>689, 690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 14</td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>240, 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stamps</td>
<td>668, 669, 670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 5. Early Byzantine glass: findspot chart. *(Continued)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Early Byzantine glass types</th>
<th>Catalogue numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS E 15</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beakers</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowls: high</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 16</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>485, 503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salver feet</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 17</td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>302, 303, 325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>238, 264, 281, 297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large dishes</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>folded</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 18</td>
<td>Miliefiori</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E 19</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: with heavy threads</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 1–2</td>
<td>Bottles: small</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>310, 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pattern-molded ware</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 3</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>402–404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 7</td>
<td>Flat bases</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 8–9</td>
<td>Bottles: small</td>
<td>568, 569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 10</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 13</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>315, 316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS W 14</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bracelet</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Continued)*
### Table 5. Early Byzantine glass: findspot chart. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Early Byzantine glass types</th>
<th>Catalogue numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSH</td>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: with inlaid thread decoration</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoB</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>420–437, 442, 470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beakers</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>530, 535, 536, 543, 545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowls: footed</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>719, 728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>319–321, 331–333, 349–351, 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>247, 254, 272, 276, 288, 292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large dishes</td>
<td>467–471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millefiori</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>folded</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with heavy threads</td>
<td>634, 635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>572, 575, 578, 579, 582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salvers</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>607, 612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNH</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>506, 567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>357, 358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels (many fragments of unidentified form)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>460, 461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>527, 531, 551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowls: shallow with waisted rims</td>
<td>391, 393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>723, 724, 727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>273, 275, 289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with heavy threads</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-S</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>491, 539, 547, 548, 571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles: curse</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-W</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>532, 533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>384, 385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salver feet</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stamps</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>609, 610, 611, 617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>400, 440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>481, 487, 517, 518, 540, 544, 552, 553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Early Byzantine glass: findspot chart. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Early Byzantine glass types</th>
<th>Catalogue numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTE</td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>718, 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flat bases</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>237, 257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pattern-molded ware</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threaded necks of vessels</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with inlaid thread decoration</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wide-necked</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>697, 698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>537, 564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous stems</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTW</td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stamps</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>Base rings</td>
<td>406–409, 411, 412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>449–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beakers</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folded feet of vessels</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>301, 305, 339, 341, 344, 356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>248, 249, 251–253, 270, 294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millefiori</td>
<td>657, 658, 664–666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn Fc</td>
<td>Base rings: folded</td>
<td>452, 453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>492, 493, 529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bracelets</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>729, 732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>324, 355, 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamps</td>
<td>243, 244, 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: plain</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stamp</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn MH</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowls: shallow with waisted rim</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullet</td>
<td>731, 735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vessels: wide-necked with thread decoration</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn porch</td>
<td>Bottles</td>
<td>645, 649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goblets</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rims of vessels: folded</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lamps

Type 1 Shallow bowl with handles
Type 2 Deep bowl with handles
  Type 2a: variant with rim bent inward
  Type 2b: variant with rim bent outward
Type 3 Lamp with cup-shaped bowl and stem- or thorn-like lower part
Type 4 Straight-sided or bell-shaped beaker with knob base
Type 5 Bell-shaped or funnel bowl

The shallow bowl lamp (type 1) appears to have been the least common form at Sardis. It is extremely fortunate that the entire height of the wall section of one lamp, together with a handle, has been preserved, thus making it possible to present an accurate reconstruction of the vessel.

There is no way of estimating how frequent the deep bowl lamp (type 2) was at Sardis. Though some rim fragments and a great number of small handles were found, one cannot be certain how many of these handles were actually part of lamps and, if so, how many of them belonged to type 1 or type 2 or to a beaker-shaped lamp. Incidentally, the incorporation of handles in a statistical survey tends to distort somewhat our view of the Early Byzantine glass at Sardis. Vessel sections with a handle have a better chance of survival and of recognition as such than the less sturdy wall sections. Small handles of a particular shape seem, in our view, to have belonged to lamps—and were therefore recorded in the catalogue as well as in the statistics—while curved wall sections from vessels of unidentifiable shape had to be left out of the catalogue.

The remains of only two bowl lamps of the shallow variety (type 1) are recorded; in contrast, sections of over a dozen deep bowl lamps of type 2 could be identified. In addition at least 150 single handles were registered, most of which we believe were part of bowls of type 2.

Type 3, a small bowl with a tube- or thorn-like extension, was probably as, or even more, frequent than type 2. Well over 100 sections of these lamps are recorded, and certainly many more are left unidentified in the piles of fragments.

The beaker-shaped lamp type 4 is represented by about two to three dozen vessel fragments, which seems to indicate that this form was less popular than types 2 and 3.

As pointed out above, the importance of statistical data might lie not so much in the fact that a specific number of objects of one type was found at the site but rather in the relative frequency of each type. Thus the ratio of type 1 to type 2 appears to have been at least 1:10, that of type 2 to type 3, 1:1 or 1:2, that of type 3 to type 4, 2:1. The total number of lamps in relation to goblets seems to have been about 1:2.

A roughly bell-shaped bowl pointed at bottom may also represent a lamp, here listed as type 5. The base fracture could, however, be an indication that it once had a foot—a fact that might speak against its use as a lamp.

Type 1

The shallow bowl type with flat base and slanted walls is closely related to the deep bowl lamp, type 2. Of the two examples found at Sardis, one is of greenish glass (probably fabric 2), and the other of aquamarine glass (fabric 1), Both have estimated diameters of ca. 11 cm., and the height of their rims is about 5 cm. The lamps have been found in Early Byzantine contexts; can form from BE-C, and can be associated with the period after the remodeling in the fifth century. As coins of Constans II (A.D. 641–668) were also found nearby, one might be inclined to put the date of the lamp in the latter part of the occupation, i.e. the sixth to early seventh century (BASOR 187, 19–20). The other piece, was found nearby in BS E 1–2 and is certainly of the same period.

234 Pls. II, 23. G66.11:7263. One third with concave bottom, flaring wall and 3 handles attached at bottom and rim; the rim is bent inwards where handles join edge. Fabric 2. P.H. 5, diam. base 9, est. diam. rim 11.5. BE-C E18-E19/N11.7-N12.5, floor to *96.15.

235 Pl. 23. G68.2:7686. Two thirds of lower portion (broken in two), flat bottom, flaring wall, 3 handles. Fabric 1. P.H. 3.5; diam. at fracture 8.5; est. diam. of base 6, of rim ca. 11; Th. 0.2. BS E 1-2, E12-E13.50/So-S4 *97.12-96.80.

236 G73.1B,C:8233. Two fragments with out-turned rims. Greenish. Max. dim. 6.9; est. diam. ca. 11.0. PN/EA W208/S364, in bedding of earlier church floor, *89.56. Found with 246 and 400.

13. For close parallel examples from Jerash, datable to the 4th–5th C., see Baur-Kraeling: type H, fig. 23:34, 36, 37.
Type 2

This bowl lamp is more common than type 1. Sections of over a dozen lamps are catalogued here to which should be added numerous handles that certainly must have been part of identical or at least very similar vessels. Not a single complete example was found nor could a combination of vessel fragments be assembled that would show a profile of a complete vessel. We have to rely, therefore, on parallel pieces found elsewhere. The best known variety of the lamp has a fairly deep, generally straight-sided bowl tapering downwards, with three small handles attached to the rim. The rim may flare outward or, less frequently, be bent inward. In analogy to type 1, bowl lamps of type 2 may have had flat or concave bases.

A few of those found at Sardis have a pattern-molded rib decoration identical to that of pattern-molded bottles (cf. 643–656).

The lamps are made of aquamarine (fabric 1) and greenish (fabric 2) glass. The preponderance of aquamarine handles seems to indicate preference for fabric 1. The few portions of rims that are reconstructible show that the diameters vary from 9 to 12 cm. while the total height seems to have measured about 8 to 10 cm.

Since we believe that most of the small handles found in the excavations belonged to lamps of type 2, they have been included in this chapter. Their height varies from 3.5 to 5 cm., with a median height of 4 cm. At the end of this chapter a few handles are listed that are different from the others but might also have belonged to identical or similar lamps.

The largest preserved section of a lamp, 237, was found in the bath at PN, which has been provisionally dated to the fifth century (BASOR 166, 16–18; Sardis M4 [1976] 46). With it were found portions of Early Byzantine bottles, 487 and 518. This lamp has pattern-molded ribs running from lower right to upper left (cf. 643–656) and a reversed rib pattern is shown on 238. This piece was found in BS E 13 in a subfloor level which apparently predated the material generally coming from other Byzantine Shops (BASOR 174, 45–46), and might then be datable to the fifth century. It did not show rims of fabric 1 and window fragments, whereas the level above revealed remains of goblets (for example, 303).

Two other pattern-molded lamp sections, 239, were excavated in BS E 4, which, together with neighboring shops, was in use in the sixth and early seventh century (BASOR 191, 17). With them were found various aquamarine-colored vessel fragments, including the shoulder section of a bottle with conical neck (type 3, 489–499), window panes covered with dirty fire scum, and the section of a multiknobbed goblet (?) stem, 369.

More numerous are the bowl lamps without pattern-molded decoration; most of them come from the 4th C. predecessors very close in form to the Sardis lamps see C. Isings, "Some Late Roman Glass Fragments from Rome," Bruxelles Congrès, 262.1, fig. 1:1–2. Isings, no. 134, cites other 4th–5th C. Western examples. A number of bowl lamps with handles, some of which rest on feet similar to goblet feet found in Sardis, are of late Roman and Early Byzantine date: Barag, "Glass Vessels," pls. 23, 28, 29, 40. For Early Byzantine bowl lamps with handles and generally with folded rims see esp. Crowfoot-Harden, 201, pl. 28:7, from Gezer, ca. 5th–6th C. (cf. here R. A. S. Macalister, The Excavation of Gezer [London 1912] 1 362ff., fig. 189).

Ibid., 205, pl. 30:40–41, from Jerash, ca. 4th–5th C. For lamps from Jerash see also Baur-Kraeling, 526, nos. 29ff., fig. 22 (no. 29, here PL. 23); a similarly shaped bowl with foot (524, no. 17, fig. 20:17) may also have been a lamp. (Some of the material from Jerash is preserved in Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, one lamp having a diameter of 8 cm.). Other bowl lamps of identical or very similar form: Crowfoot, Samaria, 418–419, fig. 99:2–3, ca. 5th–6th C.; Auja Hafir, 5th–7th C. (Harden, Nessana, 84, nos. 47ff., pl. 20; some material in Oxford, Ashmolean Museum); Barag, Shavet Zion, 68, nos. 21–22, fig. 16 (here PL. 23), 5th–6th C.; handles from lamps from Nikertai, 5th–6th C. (Canivet, 65, figs. 8–9); Mount Nebo, 5th–6th C. (Saller, Moses, 517; idem, Bethany, 350, 5th C. Bowl lamps from Cyprus (Cambridge, Fitzwilliam Museum, inv. no. GR 32.1888) and Volubilis (J. Boube, "Volubilis: Une lampe en verre du 1Ve siècle," Bulletin d'Archéologie Marocaine 4 [1960] 508–512, pl. 20, fig. 11) are very close to the lamps just mentioned but seem to be very late Roman, i.e. very late 4th C. An undated example: G. Lerher, "Three Fragments of Rare Glass Vessels from the Museum Collection," Museum Haaretz, Bulletin 14 (Dec. 1972) 133–135, fig. 8–10. Cf. also S. H. Auth in C. W. Clairmont et al., Excavations at Salona, Yugoslavia (1969–1972) (Park Ridge, N.J. 1975) 166, no. 112, pl. 31 (late Roman); E. Erdmann, "Die Glasfunde von Mezad Tamar (Kfar Gehainije) in Israel," Saalburg Jahrbuch 34 (1977) 100, 112–113, no. 3 ff, pl. 1 (4th–5th C.); F. Fremersdorfs, Antikes, islamisches und mittelalterliches Glas in den Vatikanischen Sammlungen Roms (Vatican City 1975) 61 pl. 61 (lamp in the Römisch-Germanisches Zentralmuseum, Mainz); R. Rosenthal and R. Siram, Ancient Lamps in the Schloessinger Collection (Jerusalem 1978) no. 678. A ribbed, mold-blown lamp in Newark: S. H. Auth, Ancient Glass at the Newark Museum (Newark 1976) no. 197. A different type of lamp (?) of the same period, i.e. about the 5th C., is represented by a mold-blown, ribbed vessel found recently at Samos; it consists of a high foot, a squat lower body and a high and conical neck, apparently with applied handles (information kindly provided by Mr. Stechert). For 9th C. examples with straight walls and high stems, see R. H. Finder-Wilson and G. I. Scanlon, "Glass Finds from Fustat, 1964–71," JGS 15 (1973) 22–23, no. 14, figs. 20–21. Similarly shaped lamps with small handles attached to the rim come from Corinth, datable to the 11th and 12th C., Davidson, Corinth XII nos. 733–734, fig. 14.

15. However, some of the fragments found may have come from bowl lamps with folded rim and three handles, resting on feet similar to those of the goblets (cf. 300–373); see Barag, "Glass Vessels," pls. 28, 40.

17. Lamm, Samarra, nos. 129ff. has also identified single handles as belonging to lamps.
Lamps

Synagogue area. One large section, 240, was found in BS E 14 in the fill of a drain (BASOR 170, 50). Among the coins associated with this level were those of Constantius II (A.D. 346-361) and Maurice (A.D. 582-602; Sardis M1 [1971] no. 603). 240 most probably belongs to the later part of this time span—the late sixth to early seventh century. Nearby were found various lamp handles, 241. Another rim section, 242, comes from BS E 13; at this spot a number of vessel fragments were excavated in 1963 (the shop was excavated in 1962, BASOR 170, 50) which had formed parts of goblets, lamps of type 3, footed cups (cf. 401-444) as well as plain and pattern-molded bottles (however, the glass excavated in this shop in 1963 also included Roman Imperial glass as, for example, a fragment of a vessel with cut grooves, 58).

Two slight variants of bowl lamp type 2 are represented by a few rim fragments recovered in the same general area and datable also to the Early Byzantine period. One, type 2a, has a rim bent inward while the second variant, type 2b, has a waisted upper wall with the lip turned outward, probably similar to a lamp found at Jerash.18

Of the variant with rims bent inward (type 2a) 243 and 244 were found in the Synagogue forecourt and can probably be associated with the remodeling of the area in the fifth century (BASOR 191, 30-31). In this area were also discovered various handles and the remains of a number of goblets of different types (cf. 300-373), including one with a knobbed stem (type 2).

An example of variant 2b, 246, was found at PN in 1973. A second, from HoB, 247, dates to the sixth or early seventh century (BASOR 157, 22ff.). A similar piece, 248, though of a different fabric, comes from the “closet” (chamber d) near the apse of the Synagogue. It was found with 249. Related to it is a fragment with out-bent rim, 250, from BS E 1 which contained window glass, vessel fragments, a red-ware plate attributed to the fifth century and “more than 100 coins ranging from Arcadius and Honorius (A.D. 395-408) to Phocas (602-610)” (BASOR 191, 17; Sardis M1 [1971] see listing on p. 149). This fragment is probably of fifth to sixth century date.

Numerous handles bent at an angle, having an average height of 4 cm. and generally made of fabric 1, were found all over the Synagogue area. Form, size, and color link them closely to lamp type 2 (the few handles that are larger could conceivably have belonged to type 1). In the following, a fair sampling instead of a complete listing will be given; the criteria for the selection are state of preservation and a datable context.

Some of the handles were discovered in Fc and the area east of it in Early Byzantine levels (251-253); others were found—together with lamps of type 3 and tesseræ—at RT (255-256) at levels datable to the sixth and early seventh centuries (BASOR 166, 40-44). Another, 258, comes from BE-N above the floor level of ca. A.D. 400 where coins of Leo I (A.D. 475) and Justin I (A.D. 518-527) help to date this level to the latter part of the fifth and the sixth century (BASOR 187, 57). Close by, in the vaulted chamber of B below the level of MC, another handle was found, 259, which may belong to the Early Byzantine period; other finds at this spot include a lamp section of type 3 and various other Early Byzantine vessel fragments. Among the latter are the base of a beaker (?) with a slightly conical lower part, and a tube which may be of fourth century date. This level seems to have been disturbed as Early Byzantine and late Roman glass appear to be mixed.

More securely dated is another handle, 260, from BS W 2 where goblet sections and a hoard of coins of Heraclius (A.D. 610-641) came to light (BASOR 154, 17-18; Sardis M1 [1971] 154, “Hoard FF”). Other handles which may belong to these lamps were found in Early Byzantine levels in the Syn area, RT, Building B, and a number of Byzantine Shops, including E 14 and E 16.

A few handles come from the area east of Fc where numerous glass fragments, particularly of goblets, were found in 1963-1965, 261. They differ from the other handles just referred to in that they are mainly of greenish (fabric 2), bottle olive (fabric 3), and bottle green (fabric 4) glass. With them were found cup bases (cf. 401-444) and other vessel fragments of Early Byzantine origin.

Two handles, one attached to a rim spreading outward and apparently having belonged to a bowl lamp of either type 1 or 2, were discovered in BE-S with a corrugated handle, 262. Both BS E 16 and the BS E 17 (BASOR 174, 45) contained handles and many vessel fragments (263 and 264), among them bottle necks with spiral threads (622) and bases of cylindrical vials (cf. 549-559); also from these shops are sections of pattern-molded ware, part of the rim of a salver, sherds from goblets, and window panes.

A three-stranded handle, 265, found in BE-C with a vessel base (cf. 401-444) was in a level associated with the sixth or early seventh century (BASOR 187, 19-20, the unit designated ‘C’). Two larger handles were found. One, 266, may have belonged to a lamp.
of type 1 or a very large example of type 2; it comes from BS E 4 (BASOR 191, 17). The other finds at that location include a bottle neck with applied spiral thread (cf. 607–621) and plain conical bottle necks (cf. 489–499) which are all of Early Byzantine origin. The other handle, 267, was found in BE-B (BASOR 187, 15ff., the unit designated B') at a level probably datable to the early fifth century; window panes and vessel fragments were found nearby. An object looking like one half of a massive handle, roughly prong-shaped, 268, comes from the square room east of Fc (BASOR 174, 47) which contained various fragments and other material datable through coins ranging from Constantine I to Justinian. The piece could have formed part of an exceptionally large lamp.

The handle or rim section of a vessel, 269, has a wavy, openwork thread attached. It may have been part of a bowl or lamp of a type unknown to us. A vessel with bulging bottom and wide, spreading neck which has handles with applied threads attached in a similar way—a forerunner of enameled mosque lamps of the late thirteenth century—comes to mind.

A small group of handles tooled to form two distinct ribs with a “valley” between them are no doubt Early Byzantine. One was found in the center of the Synagogue, 270, while two others, 271, come from a spot close to the colonnade east of Syn (BASOR 174, 46). 272 and 273, are not stratified; 273 could be Roman Imperial as it was found at MTE.

237 Pls. 11, 23. G61.18:3770. Upper portion and most of rim with conical wall, out-folded rim and 3 handles; pattern-molded with ribs turning to upper l. Fabric 1, eggshell; white scum; mended. P.H. 4.5, diam. 13, Th. to 0.1. PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 487 and 518.

238 Pl. 23. One fifth of upper portion, part of one handle preserved; pattern-molded with ribs turning to r. Fabric 1, eggshell; similar to 237. Est. diam. 12, max. dim. 6. BS E 17, 1963 subfloor *96.40-95.80.

239 Two rim fragments, same as 237 and 238. Pattern-molded with ribs turning to upper r. Est. diam. 13. BS E 4, 1967 E29-E30/S0-S4 *98.00-97.20.

240 Pl. 11. G62.8:4227. One third of upper portion and rim, conical wall, folded rim, one (of 3) handles preserved; well made. Yellow tint, eggshell; slight iridescence, brown scum. P.H. 5. est. diam. 9. BS E 14, E84/S1-S1.5 *95.00, fill in drain. Found with it were a steelyard and a pilgrim flask (P62.49:4240) with Pantocrator and Virgin (?)—both would be of the 5th C. A.D.

241 Group of fragments with handles, some fire-twisted. RTE 1962 E80-E82/S5.5-S7.0, fill outside BS E 14 *96.80.

242 One fifth of folded rim with flaring wall, one handle preserved. Yellow tint, eggshell; heavy white scum. P.H. 5, est. diam. 12, max. diam. 6.5. BS E 13, 1963 E75-E80/S0-S3.

243 Pl. 23. One tenth of upper part, conical wall with plain rim bent inwards; probably 3 handles; well made. Very similar to 244. Fabric 4; black fire scum. P.H. 4.3, est. diam. 18, max. dim. 5.8, Th. to 0.1. Syn Fc 1965 E104-E110/N1.20-N4.00 *96.60-96.40.


246 Pls. 11, 23. G73.1D,E:8233. One fragment with out-turned rim, one small attached handle preserved. A similar handle (Pl. 11) may come from the same vessel. Heavily weathered. Max. dim. 4.7, est. diam. ca. 11.0. PN/EA W208/S364, in bedding of earlier church floor, *89.56. Found with 246 and 400. The fragments were found with a ring base (G73.1F.8233; cf. 401–444) as well as with the very heavily weathered base of a beaker. The author doubts that the rim fragment and ring base belonged to the same vessel. It is conceivable that the original vessel was not a lamp.


19. Ibid., pl. 30:47.
Lamps

Handles

Predominantly fabric 1, median H. 4.

249 Pl. 11. G63.2B:5034. Two-stranded; green; black scum.
H. 4.5.
Syn E33-E38/N1.20-N2.5, "closet," lower fill *97.30-97.00. Found with 248.

250 Pl. 23. With attached portion of wall that curves outward at lower handle. Fabric 1 or 2; dirty fire scum.
H. 5.
BS E 1, 1967 E7-E10/S0-S4 *97.30-97.20.

251 Syn 1963 E105/N1.10-N4.0, water channel *97.25.

252 Syn 1964 E125/S5-S6 *98.00.

253 Syn 1964 E118-E121/S6-S8 *97.50-97.00.

254 HoB 1964 W22-W22.50/S118-S119 to *97.00.

255 RT 1961 E16-E17/S9, on colonnade floor *96.50. Found with 285.

256 RT 1961 E18-E19/N13-N14 *96.50-95.00. Found with clear mosaic tesserae.

257 PN 1964 W274-W276/S341-S345 *88.85-88.70.

258 Fabric 2.
BE-N 1966 E25-E26/N84-N90 to *96.60 floor.

259 Vaulted chamber under MC 1964 *90.93. Found with bases of lamps of type 3 and bases of other vessels, including beakers (?) and bowls.

BS W 2, W7-W8/S0-S3 *95.80, below top of S wall.

261 Four handles; fabrics 2, 3, and 4.
E of Syn 1965 E120.5-E125/N11-N15 *96.50-96.25.

262 With small portion of vessel. Decolorized.
BE-S 1965 E26.75-E29/N27-N36.25 to *96.10.

263 Fabric 2.
BS E 16, 1965 E92-E96/S0-S2 *97.25-96.50. Found with 622.

264 Two handles; fabrics 1 and 2.
BS E 17, 1965 E97-E101/S1-S4 *96.60-95.90.

265 Three-stranded.
BE-C 1966 E17-E20/N10.7-N12, "floor to 20 cm. above."

266 Pl. 23. Unusually large handle of the type represented by the smaller examples, 249–265; part of vessel wall preserved. Fabric 1.
H. 8.3, diam. handle 1, Th. wall 0.1.
BS E 4, 1967 E34-E35.50/S0-S4 *97.20-96.00.

267 Portion of large handle. Fabric 2.
P.H. 5.5.
BE-B 1966 E28.80-E30.55/N4-N7 *97.00-96.40.

268 Fractured prong-like object, handle? Fabric 1.
P.H. 3, diam. 2.3.
E of Syn 1963 E117-E121/N2-N4 *97.75-96.75.

AcT D-E/1-3 fill, *100.75.

270 Lower portion of two-ply handle. Fabric 1.
P.H. 5, W. 2.5.
Syn 1965 E72-E73.5/N14-N15 *95.70.

271 Portions of 2 handles.
P.H. 5, 4.3; W. ca. 1.5.
E of Syn 1963 E118/N0-S1 *97.00-96.75.

272 Pl. 23. Two-ply handle widening at lower end where it is attached to portion of vessel wall.
P.H. 6, W. at lower end 4, Th. vessel wall 0.1.
HoB E5-E10/S120 *101.30.

273 Two-ply handle with portion of vessel wall.
P.H. 2.8, Th. vessel wall 0.1.
MTE 1964 E64-E72/S155-S161 to *111.20.

Type 3

Lamps with cup-shaped upper portion and stem- or thorn-like extension at the bottom were probably the most popular form from late Roman Imperial times and throughout Byzantine, Islamic, and western medieval periods. In the Near East this shape is still in use today. Probably derived from an almost identical vessel form used as a funnel in early Roman Imperial times,20 it seems to have reached universal popularity in the Early Byzantine period.21
quent at Jerash during the fourth to fifth century and is known from many other sites.

In similar or slightly modified form it appears in ninth century Samarra and in San Saba in Rome before the twelfth century. Lamps of the tenth to eleventh century from Fustat, having a conical bowl and a long, thorn-like stem with terminal knob, represent another variant.

Pictorial sources in medieval Europe also show lamps of type 3.

The lamps were either suspended from the ceiling by chains—most probably the exception—or set in hoops or metal (bronze) candelabra and polycandela, into which holes are cut to hold them. More elaborate candelabra include arms in the form of dolphins.

A simple hoop with six cutouts and triple chain was found at Sardis in unit 13 of HoB, datable to the sixth or early seventh century. Others, with openings for three, four, five, six, eight, and even ten lamps occur frequently. Most of these polycandelae form a complicated, starlike network, often having Christian symbols and inscriptions; a silver example bears a stamp of Justin II (A.D. 565-578). We have a detailed description of the meaning of such candelabra in church services (and, we may add, also in the ritual of a synagogue) in the *Descriptio Sanctae Sophiae* of A.D. 563 by Paulus Silentiarios: suspended from the dome were chains with silver discs, “these discs, pendent from their lofty courses, form a coro-net above the heads of men. They have been pierced too by the weapon of the skillful workman in order that they may receive shafts of fire-wrought glass, and hold light on high for men at night.”

At Sardis not one single example of a lamp of type 3 was complete enough to show an entire cross section or profile. While the lower part—a thorn-like bottom with smooth underside from which the pontil rod was carefully removed—of many lamps is preserved, no section of the bowl-like upper part was discovered among the fragments that would show a continuous wall element up to the rim. There is no doubt, however, that a large number of unidentifiable wall and rim sections actually belonged to type 3 lamps.

The absence of a whole lamp makes it fairly difficult to give reliable information on the average complete height or the average height and diameter of the bowl portion. Nor do we know whether the bowl was straight, oval, spreading, or with a flaring rim. According to the curvature of the waisted sections of a number of Sardis lamps, and by analogy to lamps published by Crowfoot-Harden and others, we may assume that the most common form in Sardis was a thorn-like lower part gently flaring (trumpet-like) to an almost horizontal wall, followed by a sharp upward bend, and terminating in a vertical, straight-sided bowl with plain, perhaps slightly flaring rim (see 274 and 275).

The average height must have been about 8 to 10 cm., the diameter of the rim ca. 7 cm. The base diameter varies from 0.5 to 1.0 cm. (284 with a base diameter of 1.5 cm., was certainly larger than the average

22. Ibid; Baur-Kraeling, “type E”(?), 523ff., fig. 17:14. Cf. the holdings in Oxford, Ashmolean Museum; the estimated height of these lamps is ca. 12 cm.

23. For example, fragments from Auja Hafir and Hira (Iraq) in Oxford, Ashmolean Museum; the lamps from Hira have a terminal knob. Cf. also Barag, *Shavei Zion*, 68-69, no. 25, fig. 16 (here Pl. 23) (5th-6th C.); Crowfoot, *Samarra*, 414ff., figs. 96-97 (4th-6th C.); Harden, *Nessana*, 84-85, nos. 51ff., fig. 20, (ca. 5th-7th C.); Saller, *Bethany*, 350, (5th C.); idem, *Moses*, 316-317, pl. 140; from Apamea, Syria (5th-6th C.; information kindly supplied by Renate Pirling). Many collections have lamps of this general type; cf. esp. the material in the Museum Haaretz, Tel Aviv. For Western examples cf. Fingerlein et al., *fig. 13:12; Fremersdorf (supra n. 15) 95-96, nos. 875ff., pls. 60-61.


26. Finder-Wilson and Scanlon (supra n. 15) 22, figs. 18-19.

27. Rademacher (supra n. 20) 79ff.

28. Ibid., 76.

29. BASOR 157, 24, fig. 12. Metal lamp holders from Sardis, most found in BS, will be published by J. C. Waldbaum in a forthcoming monograph in this series.


32. Ibid., no. 1004.


The lamps from Jerash seen by this writer in the Ashmolean Museum in Oxford measure 10 to 12 cm. in height. The lamps recorded were predominantly fabric 1 and fabric 2.

The variant with long and plain or multiknobbed lower part does not seem to have been known at Sardis. In contrast the lamps from Jerash—to cite one important group—show greater variations in the form of bowls and stems. The largest bowl section of a lamp, 275, unfortunately comes from an unstratified, dump-like area at HoB which contained everything “between ‘late Hellenistic’ and late Roman period” (BASOR 177, 14–17). In analogy to Early Byzantine material listed in the following catalogue entries, this portion must also be datable to a time probably not earlier than the fifth century. There is another section, 276, from HoB that was discovered in the top level of the Lydian Trench.

Well-preserved lower portions of lamps, 274, come from an area east of Syn Fc where numerous fragments were found, among them particularly those of goblets and bowl lamps. Hundreds of coins from this area range from Constantine I to Heraclius; this material is, therefore, datable from the fourth to the early seventh with concentrations in the fifth and sixth centuries (BASOR 174, 45).

Definitely Early Byzantine are thorn-like lamp bases, 277, from BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 51); 278, from Pa-S at a level associated with the Early Byzantine period (BASOR 191, 28–29); 279, from BS W 7 (BASOR 157, 32–33); 280, from BS E 1 (BASOR 191, 17) found with salver rims 378 and 379 and sections of bowl lamps, bottles, and windows. 281 was found in BS E 17 which was particularly rich in Early Byzantine material, including the remains of goblets, bowl lamps, pattern-molded ware, and windows (BASOR 174, 45).

From BE-H comes the lower part of a lamp, 282, found in the rubble beneath the floor. It is certainly post-Roman (BASOR 177, 23); with it were various vessel fragments of fabrics 1 and 3 of Early Byzantine date. Another base, 283, was found in 1963 at BE-A, a room that was fully excavated in 1964 and 1966; a rim and a concave vessel base in fabric 3 glass were found with it (BASOR 187, 10, designated unit A’). At RT were found two bases that can be associated with coins mainly of the seventh century (BASOR 166, 44; Sardis M1 [1971] 152, “Hoard M”). The first, 284, was with a goblet stem; the other, 285, was with the section of a bowl lamp, 255. Finally, 286, from BE-B, is similar to lamp bases of this type but did not necessarily form part of a lamp.
285 RT 1961 E16-E17/S9, on colonnade floor *96.50. Found with 255.


Type 4

Examples of beaker-shaped lamps are less numerous than those of types 2 or 3. The largest portion preserved consists of the slightly convex wall section of a beaker-like vessel with a short base knob (preserved height 5.8 cm.). Presumably the upper portion had a straight or slightly flaring rim. The total height may have been about 7 to 10 cm.; the base diameter of the Sardis finds varies from 1.3 to 2.7 cm.

The identification of these objects as lamps seems logical because they are unstable; they may have been suspended or placed into a metal lamp holder. Beaker lamps are closely related to handled bowl lamps and, in addition, resemble lamps still used in the Near East. However, there appears to be no published example from a controlled excavation. A precursor may be a beaker lamp from Dura Europos.

A curious fact often seems to hinder the investigation of many of the glass fragments in Sardis: the larger a vessel section of a given type happens to be, the less securely it is dated, and vice versa. Thus, sizable sherds such as 287, 288, and 289 come from unstratified or mixed levels and could, therefore, be Roman as well as Early Byzantine. Equally insecure is the date of the level at the northeast corner of unit C at HoB where 292 was found.

Fortunately the finds of lamps of type 4 from B come from better contexts. One base, 290, discovered in 1968, from LNH is definitely Early Byzantine. Its fabric, almost clear, bubbly glass with faint green tint, is unusual. An Early Byzantine bottle neck of the same fabric was found in close proximity in 1966.

Lamp section 293, from an area east of Syn Fc, is no doubt contemporary with the fragments of Early Byzantine beakers, goblets, and various lamp types found there. 294 was found inside the south wall of Syn with a ring base (cf. 401–444) and the small handle of a bowl lamp (type 2).

The Byzantine Shops also contained beaker lamps: 295 from E 19, 296 from E 10, and 297 from E 17, that included much glass: remains of goblets, lamps, salvers, bottles, and windows. Finally a lamp base, 298, was found in the northwestern section of Pa, that differs slightly from the other examples as it is made of very thin glass and has a relatively small knob with a distinct constriction; however, it seems to be contemporary with the other lamps (BASOR 191, 33).

Lower Portions of Lamps

287 Pl. 23. G59.66:2189. Knob base (on which piece could perhaps stand), slightly convex wall. Olive tint (fabric 3?), relatively thick. P.H. 4.8, diam. at top 5.3. BS W 13, ca. W55-W58/S1-S5 *95.00, deep pit, unstratified. AJA 66, 8, no. 8, pl. 8:8.


289 Pls. 12, 23. Relatively pronounced knob base and convex, steep wall. Fabric 2. P.H. 5.5; diam. at top 6, at base 2. MTE 1964 E40-E48/S120-S125 *102.00-101.50.

290 Pl. 23. Colorless with faint green tint (fabric 2?). P.H. 5.5; diam. at top 6, at base 1.9. LNH 1968 E55.60-E63.85/N106.10-N106.16 *98.50-98.00.

291 Fabric 3. P.H. 4.5. BS E 1, E5/S0.8-S0.9 *99.60.


293 Fabric 3. P.H. 4, diam. base 2. E of Syn 1964 E123-E125/N0.5 *96.90-96.80.


42. When the first example of a beaker lamp from Sardis came to my attention (AJA 66, 8, no. 8), it was mistakenly thought to be Roman. Now, after some safely dated material has been recorded, there is no doubt that this type as found in Sardis is Early Byzantine. For late 4th C. lamps of practically identical form see Isings (supra n. 15) 262.2, fig. 1:3. Perhaps the "bottle" found in Corinth, dated to the 5th C. and having a lower body with knob, is a parallel to the Sardis finds: Davidson, Corinth XII no. 688, fig. 11. Cf. also Harden, Karanis, no. 466, pl. 16 (perhaps 4th–5th C.).

43. Crowfoot-Harden, 201-202, pl. 28:12.

44. Terminus ante quem: A.D. 256; Clairmont, no. 755.
Goblets

295 Fabric 1.
P.H. 3, diam. base 1.7.
BS E 19, 1963 E112-E113/S0-S2 *97.50-97.00.

296 Fabric 3.
P.H. 3.5.
BS E 10, 1967 E62-E64/S0-S1 *96.21.

297 Pl. 23. Pale olive (fabric 3?).
P.H. 5, diam. base 1.6.
BS E 17, 1963 E98-E100/S1-S4 to *95.70.

298 Pl. 23. Differs slightly from the other lamps: the material is thinner, the knob smaller, with a more pronounced constriction. Fabric 1, eggshell.
P.H. 5.8, diam. base 1.0.
Pa-W 1967 E34.80-E43.80/N78-N85 *96.50-96.30.

Type 5

"Lamp type 5" may, or may not, represent a lamp. Even its date is uncertain as it is a chance find. The material (fabric 1) and its bell or funnel shape speak against a date before ca. A.D. 400. If it is a lamp, one could assume that it had a handle (or handles) attached to the upper portion, which have not been preserved, or an outsplayed rim to support it in the ring of a polycandelon. As the base is also missing, there is no way of telling whether the vessel has a foot or a pointed base. Should the latter prove to be true, the object might have resembled a type of lamp found in S. Menas (between Alexandria and the Wâd î Natrûn), a sanctuary flourishing from the fifth to the eighth century."45

299 Pls. 12, 23. One half of vessel, perhaps a lamp or a footed bowl; bell-shaped body with waisted upper part, tapering to bottom (which, if fragment was a bowl, may have had a stem and foot). Fabric 1, eggshell.
P.H. 7, est. diam. rim 14.
Chance find.

GOBLETs

Drinking vessels in the form of goblets appear to have been among the most frequent vessel types in Early Byzantine Sardis. Fragments of at least 500 stems and feet, securely identified as goblets, were found.46 No doubt this number should be doubled from the vast number of unidentifiable fragments. Almost all of the goblets come from Early Byzantine levels.

Unfortunately not one single goblet was preserved in a condition that shows a continuous profile. By analogy to material found elsewhere, the bowl form of a typical goblet from Sardis may have been slightly conical, U- or bell-shaped, while the rim seems to have been plain.

The stems occur in four major variants:

1. plain, short, slender, and slightly concave (waisted)
2. with knob that can vary from a pronounced central swelling to a ball
3. multiknobbed
4. pin-like

The feet also occur in four forms:

a. sloping with plain edge (most common)
b. sloping with folded edge
c. domed with plain edge (rare; cf. footed bowls, 382–383)
d. flat and solid

Stems and feet appear in the following combinations; listed in approximate order of frequency:

1d. plain stem with flat foot
2a,2b. knob stem with sloping plain or folded foot
1a. plain stem with sloping plain foot
1c. plain stem with domed foot
1b. plain stem with sloping folded foot
4a. pin-like stem with sloping plain foot
3. multiknobbed stem, probably with flat foot having a tooled, profiled top

At Sardis, plain stems in a number of fabrics, are most frequently combined with various types of feet. Although they vary in technical execution, they resemble each other closely and stems of groups 1a, 1b, and 1c are indistinguishable without their feet.47

45. Crowfoot-Harden, 203, pl. 29:27 (here Pl. 23). A bell-shaped lamp with engraved grooves, in form similar to the example from Sardis, was published by Dusenberg (supra n. 11) 23, no. 37, fig. 36 (see also Sotheby Parke Bernet, New York, sale [Dec. 14, 1978] no. 107; Apollo 108 [1978] 211). Cf. a lamp roughly in the shape of a broad and low bell, having a pointed base and small handles attached to a rim with applied threads: Museum für Kunst und Kulturgeschichte, Dortmund, Schloss Cappenberg. Cf. also a more shallow, bell-shaped lamp in Dumbarton Oaks: Ross (supra n. 12) 59–60.

46. Some of the stems may belong to salvers, footed bowls (cf. 374–385) or even lamps. For bowl lamps with feet cf. Barag, "Glass Vessels," pls. 28, 40.

47. When a few stems and feet of a particular combination—e.g. 1a—were found at a specific location, other stems without feet that came from the same spot were added to this group, i.e. to 1a, in the
The best fabric and the greatest technical skill are evident in groups with slender and elegantly curving stems resting on sloping or domed feet (1a, b, c). They are made of pale aquamarine (fabric 1) and pale olive (fabric 3) glass, in a ratio of about 5:1. The goblets with knobs (2b), though usually well-made, do not seem to reach the high level of craftsmanship evident in the former groups. Badly preserved examples of undeterminable color are most probably also manufactured in fabrics 1 and 3. Only very few are made of clear (fabric 5) glass. All of these variants are carefully finished, irregularities are rare, and the fabric is thin and free of bubbles and stones.

In contrast, goblets with plain but less elegant, clumsier stems supported by flat feet (1d) are generally irregular, showing relatively poor craftsmanship. They are more often made of pale olive (fabric 3) than of aquamarine (fabric 1) glass and tend to decompose easily; many are covered with heavy weathering and frequently display dirty fire scum. It would be most interesting to determine whether the fine ware preceded or succeeded the coarser, whether the two were made concurrently in the same factory, or were made concurrently in different workshops, or whether all these are possible.

In BS E 13 (BASOR 174, 45), for example, quantities of goblet fragments—and other vessels—were found, including types 1a, 2b, and 4. This suggests that these variants were made during the same period, which might lead one to conclude that most of the Early Byzantine glass vessel types found at Sardis were made concurrently, be it in one single factory or in different workshops.

There are a number of slight variations resulting from individual finishing operations. The knob stems, in particular, tend to occur in different forms. Some are ball-like and sometimes striated while others have less pronounced swelling. Feet do not appear to have been made systematically with, or without, folded edge. It seems more likely that one workshop or individual glassmaker preferred folded feet while in another shop, or period, feet with plain edges were popular. However, one cannot exclude the possibility that both variants were made in a single workshop. Quite a few “unique” pieces will prove to have been the result of a glassmaker’s whim rather than the conscious wish to create a new type. On the other hand, such pieces might also be the sole witnesses of important vessel groups not yet identified.

In the catalog listing the goblets are grouped according to stem formation. Although not one single piece is preserved in its entirety, one can estimate the average height of a Sardis goblet. One, 319, is preserved to a height of 7 cm.; the multiknobbed stem, 367, is 4.4 cm. high. Both may originally have measured ca. 8 to 10 cm. They seem to represent a median height while some large ones may have reached 13 to 15 cm. The diameters of the feet range from 4 to 5.4 cm., with a median diameter of 4.4 to 4.8 cm. The estimated diameter of an average goblet rim may have varied from 6 to 10 cm.

Goblets of blown glass with conical, U-shaped, or bell-shaped bowl and short stem either plain or in the form of a knob begin to appear in the first century A.D. Their popularity increased during mid- and late Imperial periods. Those without mold-blown or applied decoration, generally having a conical bowl, short concave or knobbed stem, and sloping foot with plain or folded edge, became the favored goblet form in the East and in Western regions, for example, in Italy.

Most closely related to the Sardis finds are goblets from Jerash and other places in Syria. The glass from Jerash appears to range from the fourth to fifth century; among the objects are goblets practically identical to our types 1 and 4. Similar glass from the Ital-
Goblets

ian and Southeast European excavations is proof of the international style of this goblet type. The goblets discovered in Islamic contexts in the Near East and in Corinth do not differ basically from the finds from Karanis or Sardis. Those of the Islamic sites date from the ninth to tenth century, while the material from Corinth is datable to the early eleventh to mid-twelfth century.

Two forms from Corinth are actually direct successors of types found at Sardis: the flat-footed variant with plain stem follows the Sardis type 1d, and the knobbed goblet, Sardis type 2b. Thus, the manufacture of almost identical vessels in various parts of the ancient world in late and post-Roman times again shows the conservatism inherent in the production of utilitarian glass.

Types 1a, 1b, 1c

This group comprises goblets with slender concave stem and a foot which usually has a plain, occasionally a folded, edge; the foot is rarely domed. Most were found with the remains of other goblets (particularly type 2), glass vessels, and window panes. They almost always come from safely dated Early Byzantine levels (predominantly the Byzantine Shops), covering a period from about the early fifth to early seventh century, with an apparent preponderance of finds datable to the sixth and early seventh century.

BS E 13, in which 300 was found, contained the largest deposit of fragments of glass vessels; a rough count came to about 2,000. With 300 were at least twenty similar stems, mainly of aquamarine (fabric 1) and clear (fabric 5) glass, over a dozen knobbed stems (type 2) many of which are also of fabric 1, and pin-like stems (type 4). In addition, there were masses of bottle sections, vessel rims, and window glass.

The level under Syn Apse where 301 was found predates the Early Byzantine period (BASOR 191, 26). However, as it is identical to the other examples of this type it may be an intrusion. Two stems, 302 and 303 were found together in BS E 17 with knobbed stems (type 2b), the remains of goblets with flat feet (type 1d), and five ring bases (cf. 401–444; BASOR 174, 45–46).

A number of goblet fragments (304–309) were discovered when cleaning Syn Fc and the area to the east (BASOR 174, 43–44; 177, 19). Knobbed, flat-footed, and pin-like stems were also excavated there. The levels are associated with Early Byzantine material although no terminus post quem could safely be established. The whole group probably belongs to the fifth to sixth century.

fig. 16 (5th–6th C; here PL 23); Mt. Nebo, Sailer, Moses, 318–319, pl. 140 (ca. 5th–6th C); Khirbet el-Kerak, Delougaz-Haines, pl. 60:14–23 (mainly early 5th–early 7th C); Samaria, Crowfoot, Samaria, 414ff., fig. 96 (the remains of over 40 goblets were found in a ca. 4th–6th C context); Beth Shan, FitzGerald, pl. 39; Ayia Hafr, Harden, Nessana, 86, no. 62, pl. 20, with thin stems similar to Sardis, type 4a; and other places, cf. esp. the goblets from Mount Olive, Jerusalem (ca. late 4th C); Bagatti-Milik, 145–146, fig. 54:23–24, Barag, "Glass Vessels," pls. 10, 22, 23, 26, 28, 35. Puttrich-Reignard, 20ff. (ca. 5th–6th C); goblet stems with flat feet and convex stems (similar to Sardis type 1d) from Ctesiphon are preserved in The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York. Similar material was found in Kish, datable also to the 5th–6th C. (S. Langdon and D. B. Harden, "Glass from Kish," Iraq 1 [1934] fig. 5:16), Sarachne, 6th–7th C. (mentioned in Harden, Archy, 83, no. 14), and Babylon (cf. Puttrich-Reignard, 21). Renate Pirling kindly brought to my attention goblets of the 5th–6th C. found at Apamea in Syria; they represent about one-third to one-half of the total number of glass finds and come in various shades of green and bluish-green.

51. They include the material from Rome, Isings (supra n. 15) 262.2–3. Castelseprio, 6th C: L. Leciejewicz et al., 162ff., fig. 5. S. Kurnatowski, E. Tabaczynska, and S. Tabaczynska, "Gli scavi a Castelseprio nel 1963," Rassegna Gallaratese di Storia e D'Arte 27 (1968) 75, fig. 6. For this and the following sites cf. Philippe, 94ff. Torcello, 7th–8th C.: remains of goblets with flat feet and concave or almost cylindrical stems, close in style to Sardis goblets type 1d. Cf. L. Leciejewicz, E. Tabaczynska, and S. Tabaczynski, "Ricerche archeologiche nell'area della cattedrale di Torcello nel 1961," Bollettino dell'Istituto di Storia della Società e dello Stato Veneziano 3 (1961) 28–47. Idem, "Ricerche archeologiche a Torcello nel 1962, relazione provvisoria," ibid. 5–6 (1963–64) 5–14. A. Gasparetto, "A proposito dell'officina vetraria Torcellana," JGS 9 (1967) 50–75. Invillino, ca. 5th–7th C.: remains of goblets with stems and feet identical or very similar to Sardis goblets of types 1 and 2, Fingerlein et al., 73ff., fig. 13; Philippe, fig. 50. Necora Umbra: another type of goblet with opaque white threads marveled on the surface and a rim turned outward is represented by a goblet from this site, datable to the late 6th or 7th C.; cf. A. Pasqui and R. Paribeni, "Necropoli barbarica di Necora Umbra," Mon. Cinte 24 (1918) fig. 20; Harden, Archy, 85, fig. 4. Cf. also Clairmont et al. ( supra n. 15) 164–165, pl. 31 (late Roman). More goblets of the late 5th–6th C. were found at Iatrus near Krivina (Bulgaria), having bell and U-shaped bowls (information kindly supplied by Gudrun Gomolka).

52. E.g. A. Lane, "Medieval Finds at Al Mina in North Syria," Archaeologia 87 (1938) 63ff., fig. 10:Q, R. Cf. also M. Negro Ponzi, "Islamic Glassware from Seleucia," Mesopotamia 5–6 (1970–71) 91–93, nos. 111ff., fig. 54 (with additional ref.). Goblets of a different form, having a conical bowl with a wide collar at its base, apparently represent a separate development in the Near East; they seem to be of 8th and 9th C. date; cf. Saldern, Jh. Hamburg 57–59, fig. 15; idem (supra n. 3) 59–60, figs. 4–5. Cf. also P. J. Riis, "Les verreries," in V. Poulsen et al., Hama, Fouilles et Recherches 1931–1938, IV.2. Les Verreries et Poteries Médiévales (Copenhagen 1957) 44, figs. 92–93. A goblet (or lamp) with conical bowl and a collar, having three little handles at the rim and supported by a knobbed stem, is in West Berlin, Islamisches Museum. A goblet with bell-shaped bowl on a high, knobbed stem recently sold in London was dated to the 14th–15th C. but seems to be earlier, i.e. late first millennium A.D.: The Constable-Maxwell Collection of Ancient Glass, Sotheby's (June 4–5, 1979) no. 355.

Early Byzantine Glass

The Byzantine Shops excavated in 1958 and 1959 and the area west of B directly adjacent to them—including the latrine (BASOR 154, 16–18; 157, 32–33)—revealed quantities of glass vessels and window panes, including 310–311. As noted before, vessels of blue glass are extremely rare in Sardis; two goblet sections of this fabric were found in 1959, 315 and 316. In 1966 a goblet section, 317, came to light in BE-B (BASOR 187, 1 off., designated room B'); although this room has a complicated history (BASOR 187, 12) the level in which the goblet fragment was found is probably datable to the fifth or sixth century. Other material from this level includes ring bases (cf. 401–444) and window glass. Another example, 318, comes from BS W 14 (BASOR 186, 29); there is no doubt that it should be associated with the fifth or sixth century. Quantities of window panes were found in this shop.

Finally three examples of goblets of type 1 may suffice to represent the finds from HoB. Two were discovered in 1959 in area 11 A (319) and 5 (320) in Early Byzantine levels, datable mainly to the sixth and early seventh century (BASOR 157, 24); 320 is particularly interesting as it was found in a niche in the north wall of area 5 that also contained the bell beaker 472, and the salver 374, two of the very few glass finds from Sardis that are fairly complete.

Type 1d

The goblet with flat foot is probably the most common type at Sardis. In general it is less carefully made than the other variants. Although the stem lacks the elegance of type 1a, both series are closely related. The foot is flat—not sloping—and usually not perfectly circular. When only the stem is preserved one can easily determine whether it belongs to type 1a or 1d: the latter is a clumsier, and perhaps less expensive, variety of the more refined 1a. Both types were certainly made during the same period, as examples of both are often found together.

Most are made of light green glass (fabric 2), in contrast to types 1a–c which are predominantly of fabric 1 glass. The finds tend to be less well-preserved; many of them are heavily weathered and show dirty fire scum. The size of a goblet must have been similar to that of types 1a–c.

The dating of the goblets concurs with the dating of the other Early Byzantine material; most finds come from the Syn and B areas.

In BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50; 177, 19) at least one goblet foot, 323, was found with goblets of types 1a and 2, as well as with lamps, pattern-molded ware, ring bases (cf. 401–444) and other typically Early Byzantine material. Goblet sections were excavated at Syn Fc, 324, BS E 17, 325 (BASOR 174, 45–46; although found as deep as *94.00 it certainly belongs to the Early Byzantine period), and at the area east of Syn where many goblets of other types came to light, 326–327. In addition, fragments of goblets were found in unit BE-B, 328 (in a level later than A.D. 400 but before the mid-seventh century; BASOR 187, 16, there unit B') and in an area west of B (W of B-W), 329, which, at this level, primarily contained material which was earlier than A.D. 616 (BASOR 191, 38–39, Trench E).

None of the goblet sections from HoB, 331–333, can be dated safely as they come from disturbed levels. The fragments from PN, 334–336, were also not well stratified. 335 was from a stratum associated with the Roman period (BASOR 174, 22ff.). It was found near an engraved bowl fragment, 45, and the rim fragment of a cylindrical beaker with engraved horizontal lines, 47, both datable to the third century. Indistinguishable from the Early Byzantine glass, this goblet fragment may be an intrusion or a predecessor of the Early Byzantine goblets.

Fragments

Predominantly fabric 1, eggshell, slightly weathered.

300 P.H. 2, diam. foot 5.
BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.

301 Pl. 24. Diam. foot 4.2, H. of stem to lower bowl 2.
Syn 1967 E36-E39.60/N11-N12, apse trench *92.50-92.00.

302 BS E 17, 1963 E97-E101/S0-S4 *95.20.

303 BS E 17, 1963 E99-E102/S2-S4 *97.50-97.00.

304 H. stem 2, est. diam. rim 7.
Syn Fc 1963 E115-E118/N3-N5.5 *98.00-96.75.

305 Group of 9 goblet stems and feet.
Syn 1963 E113-E115/N6-N8 *97.50-96.75.


54. Davidson, Corinth XII nos. 711–718.
55. Ibid. nos. 720–722.
306 E of Syn 1964 E125-E128/S1-N1 *98.00.

307 Pl. 24. Greenish, very thick.
H. stem 0.5, diam. foot 3.8.
E of Syn 1963 E117-E121/N2-N4 *97.75-96.75.

308 Pl. 24. Stem (of goblet?). Fabric 4, comparatively heavy.
P.H. 3.3, diam. stem 1.2.
E of Syn 1964 E123-E126/N6-N7 *97.00-96.00.

309 Stem (of goblet?). Fabric 2, heavy white scum, foot fire-softened.
P.H. 3, diam. stem 1.
E of Syn 1963 E118-E119/N0.1-N2.00 *97.50-96.75.

310 G58.34:528.
BS W 2, W 8, 2.50 below top of S wall corner, level III.
Hanfmann, JGS, 53.

311 G58.44:586, G58.45:587, G58.46:611.
BS W 1, W1-E2/S2-S4 *96.40.
Hanfmann, JGS, 53, fig. 2.

312 G59.29B:1382.
P.H. 2.8.
BS W 4, not well stratified.
AJA 66, 10, no. 10e, pl. 9:10e.

313 G59.67:2193.
P.H. 2.7.
B W alignment, latrine floor.
AJA 66, 10, no. 10f, pl. 9:10f.

314 Pl. 12. G73.7:8264.
PN/E on top of grave 73.13, W214/S359 *90.30.

315 Small fragment of vessel, most probably a goblet. Fabric 6, blue.
BS W 13, 1959 W55-W57/S1.70-S4.40 *98.50-97.00.

316 Small section of folded foot of goblet. Fabric 6, blue; inner “lining” of tube-like cross section has disintegrated to white.
BS W 13, 1959 W53-W54/S2.50-S4.40 *96.50-95.50.

317 BE-B 1966 E24-E27/N4-N6 *96.80-96.40.

318 Diam. foot 4.6.
BS W 14, 1966 W60-W68/S4-S7 *98.00 down.

Diam. foot 5, of bowl at fracture 7; P.H. 7.
HoB area 11A, in drain in E wall.
AJA 66, 9, no. 10a, pls. 6:13, 9:10a; Hanfmann, “Découvertes,” 124–125, fig. 78.

Est. H. ca. 12-15, est. diam. rim ca. 10.
HoB area 5, niche in N wall. Found with 374 and 472.

321 Sloping foot, slender stem, spreading bowl; well-made. Fabric 1.
P.H. 3.5, diam. foot 5.
HoB 1959 *97.40-96.70.

322 Pale blue, bubbly.
MMS 1978, E147-E149/S55.70-S57.50 *102.50-101.95

Feet and Stems

Predominantly fabric 2, heavily weathered, some pieces with dirty fire scum.

323 Pls. 12, 24. P.H. 3, diam. foot 4-4.9.
BS E 13, 1964 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

324 Syn Fc 1962 E97-E99/N1-N3 *97.00-96.65.

325 BS E 17, 1963 E98.5/S1-S3 *94.00-93.50.

326 E of Syn 1963 E120-E122/N1.5-N2 *97.50-96.75.

327 E of Syn 1964 E128-E131/N4-N8 *97.90-97.80.

328 BE-B 1966 E21-E25.5/N6-N9.9 *97.00-96.50.

329 W of B-W 1967, trench E, W76.50-W81 S1.50-N4.25 *97.60-97.30.

330 Mrd 1961, S of colonnade E9-E15/S12-S15 *96.70(?)

331 HoB 1965 W5-W10/S115-S118 *99.15-98.90.

332 HoB 1963 E10/S120, to *101.75.

333 HoB 1964 W10-W18/S171 *106.30-106.10.


335 PN 1964 W258-W259/S341-S343 *88.50-88.10.

336 Pl. 24. Irregular, corrugated flat foot, on which a few pieces of glass applied or dripped and then flattened. Fabric 1: badly made.
P.H. 3, diam. foot 4.5.
PN 1963 W225/S339-S342 *88.40-88.00.

Types 2a and 2b

Goblet stems with knobs or a bulge were perhaps as frequent as those of types 1a or 1b. The feet have either plain or folded edges. Types 1 and 2 are fre-
quently found together and, therefore, were manufactured concurrently. Height and diameters are identical or very similar to those of type 1.

A typical, well-preserved section, 337, comes from the area east of Syn Fc where much Early Byzantine material—including goblets of type 1—was found; however, the stratification is not very clear (BASOR 174, 43–44). Another stem from about the same area, 338, is deformed by heat; it might be associated with the rebuilding of the fortifications near the Byzantine tower in the sixth century (BASOR 177, 19).

Two stems, 340, from BS E 15 (BASOR 174, 45) and 341, from near the apse of Syn (BASOR 174, 30ff.) are associated with Early Byzantine levels. In BE–S, 346 was found with ring bases (cf. 401–444), and from BS E 13 are 347 and 348 (BASOR 170, 51).

The knobbed goblet stem sections from HoB (349–351) were found in Byzantine levels of the sixth and early seventh century, overlaying the Roman and Hellenistic occupation (BASOR 157, 24; 177, 13–14).

At PN, 352, was found among the many glass fragments in the late Roman- Early Byzantine bath, provisionally dated to the fifth century; it was discovered close to sections of bottles (487 and 518) and a bowl lamp section (237).

A number of fractured knobs without feet and lower portions of bowls probably belonged to goblets of these types although some may have been part of footed bowls or salvers. They come from: Syn Fc (355), where goblet fragments were common; from the area east of Fc, (356; fragments of blue glass and mosaic tesserae were found close-by); from BS E 16, with at least six other goblet feet, a flat cup base (446), and window glass (BASOR 174, 45); from various locations at B (357–360), and from the dump north of LNH (361; BASOR 191, 33). Two examples are from the Islamic-Byzantine level at PN; one, 364, was about 1 m. below an Islamic occupation that contained coins of Suleyman II (1687–1688; BASOR 177, 3).

Feet and Stems

Fabrics 1 and 2, most heavily weathered, some pieces with dirty fire scum.

337 Well-preserved.
P.H. 2.6, diam. foot 4.1.
E of Syn 1963 E115-E118/N3.5-N5 *98.00-96.75.

338 G64.8:6520. Misshapen by burning.
P.H. 4.5. diam. foot 4.
E of Syn E128-E130/N5-N8 *97.00-96.00.
Goblets


363 BE-B 1966 E17-E26/N0-N9 *100.20-98.50.


365 PN 1964 W251-W255/S347-S350, inside wall E, *89.35-89.00.

366 HoB 1962 E20/S90 to *100.50.

Type 3

Stems with two or more knobs in consecutive series seem to have been introduced in the first century A.D.57 The material datable to Early Byzantine and Islamic periods, however, probably represents a development from vessel stems with a single knob. Among the multiknobbed stems which are close to the Sardis finds, are vessels used as lamps found at Jerash and other sites58 and goblets with enameled decoration from Raqqa which seem to be of the ninth century.59

Only one complete stem was found in Sardis, 367, which was probably part of a goblet but could conceivably have belonged to a lamp; its foot has a profiled top. The preserved height of the stem is 4.4 cm. which may represent about half the total height of the goblet. The bowl may have been wide and conical or have had a straight, vertical wall. The rarity of this type in Sardis might be an indication that 367 was an import, although this seems most unlikely. The stem was discovered in an area where much Early Byzantine glass came to light, namely in BS W 13 close to the public latrine (BASOR 157, 34). At least seven sherds of similar stems were found in BS E 12 in 1964. A double knob from a stem, 369, discovered in 1967 in BS E 4, may have belonged to a similar goblet.60 In this shop were found sections of pattern-molded lamps (239) as well as fragments of vessel walls of fabric 1 and window glass.

P.H. 4.4.
BS W 13, floor level.
A/J 66, 10, no. 10g, pls. 6:14, 9:10g.

368 Portions of 7 multiknobbed stems.
BS E 12, 1964 E73-E74/S1-S2.5 *97.50-96.50.

369 One and one-half knobs of a stem, presumably from a goblet. Fabric 1.
P.H. 2, diam. 1.8.

Type 4

A few fragmentary goblets were found that are related to type 1a but have an extremely thin, pin-like stem resting on a sloping foot. This stem form seems to be a distinct and consciously developed variant. Bowl form and height must have approximated that of the other goblets. Two are greenish, probably of fabric 2; the others are covered with heavy iridescence, making it impossible to identify the fabric.

The closest parallels are a few fragments of goblets found by Thompson in a Sassanian context in Nineveh in 1928–29.61

57. Isings, no. 36 a.
58. Crowfoot-Harden, nos. 21–23; Baur-Kraeling, 519ff., fig. 17 (4th–5th C.). Goblets with two knobs, datable to the 5th–6th C., were found in Ctesiphon; Putrich-Reignard, 21. The problem of whether vessels of this type were used as goblets or lamps—or both—cannot be solved at present. Among the vessels with multiknobbed stems are: a conical goblet with a band of arches incised with a sharp tool in the hot glass, in the West Berlin, Antikensammlung; JGS 4 (1962) 66, fig. 12 (perhaps datable to the 5th–7th C. For the technique of producing grooves in the hot glass cf. Smith Coll., no. 425). A multiknobbed stem ca. 8 cm. high, fractured at the lower bowl which may have been conical, is in the Persepolis Museum (without archaeological record). For a goblet or lamp closely related to these vessels, see Wulf (supra n. 31) no. 1004. A vessel with broad conical bowl having a flange and supported by a stem with three knobs, perhaps of the late 4th C., cf. N. Avigad, "Excavations at Beth She'arim, 1954. Preliminary Report," IEJ 5 (1955), pl. 83:1.
60. Or possibly a handled lamp of the type Crowfoot-Harden, pl. 29:21–23 (here Pl. 23), from Jerash. One example in Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, measures 7.5 cm. up to the fracture of the bottom of the bowl.
The find pattern of the Sardis goblets is similar to that of the other types. 370 comes from southeast of Syn (BASOR 177, 19). A group of about twenty sherds of goblets of this type were found in BS E 13 in 1962 with a goblet of type 1a, 371 (BASOR 170, 51). Another fragment, 372, comes from unit BE-A and must date between the early fifth century and the destruction in A.D. 616; in its immediate vicinity was a small handle probably of a lamp of type 2 (BASOR 187, 12–14). Finally a goblet section was found in 1965 at the entrance of unit BE-A, between Shops W 1 and E 1, 373.

370 Fabric 2; highly iridescent. Diam. foot 3.5. Syn 1964 E125-E127/S10-S12 *97.00-96.50 Southeast of “Byzantine Tower” (BASOR 177, 19).

371 BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.


373 BE-A entrance 1965 E1-E3/S0-S3 ca. *96.75.

SALVERS

A fairly complete salver, 374, was found with a beaker, 472, and a goblet foot, 320, in a niche of unit 5 at HoB; the archaeological context is datable to the sixth or early seventh century (BASOR 157, 24–26). The salver is made of fabric 1 glass and consists of a shallow, wide bowl with a diameter of 12.5 cm., resting on a flaring foot with its bottom edge turned downward. As far as we know it is the only object of this type and date yet published that comes from a controlled excavation. Similar vessels may have been found in Ctesiphon.62 The salver shape derives from shallow bowls and plates common in mid-Roman Imperial times63 in Egypt and Syria.64 The foot construction is similar to that of goblets found at Sardis. More than one fragment of a foot identified in the catalogue (cf. 300–373) or in the statistics as having belonged to a goblet may actually have been part of a salver. The identification of salver rim fragments is even more difficult. By analogy with the salver from HoB (374), rims of this group tended to be plain and were turned downward to an almost horizontal position, markedly different from the straight goblet rims. However, as some goblets appear to have had slightly flaring rims, salvers and goblets could easily be confused.

Two feet and several rim sections appear, because of their size, to have formed parts of salvers. One foot, 375, was found in BS E 16 (BASOR 174, 45); another, 376, comes from Pa-W (BASOR 191, 41). The former, of sixth century date, was found with the section of a conical bottle neck similar to 482. The latter, associated with the mosaic floor, is probably also datable to the same period; with it came a bottle neck with spiral thread (609), a plain bottle neck, and various stems of either goblets or bowls (384 and 385), objects typical of the sixth and early seventh centuries.

According to the estimated diameter and angle of inclination, the rims listed in the following may also have been part of salvers. They are, with one exception, of fabric 1, and their estimated diameter ranges from 13 to 15 cm. One, 377, was found in BS E 13, with fragments of lamps, goblets, bowls, and bottles, all datable to the fifth to sixth century (BASOR 170, 51). Two others, 378 and 379, were from BS E 1 which contained sections of lamps, bottles, and windows with coins ranging from the fifth to the early seventh century (BASOR 191, 17). A fourth rim, 380, comes from a disturbed level north of BE-N (formerly BNH, BASOR 187, 58); with it came the lower part of a cylindrical bottle of fabric 2 (cf. 488) and the rim of a bowl of the same fabric having a folded edge.

The last rim (381) was found in the area west of the Gymnasium (BASOR 191, 38) together with bottle necks (496 and 559) and ring bases (cf. 401–444), all most likely datable to the sixth century.


62. Puttrich-Reignard, 20ff. A footed bowl of similar dimensions but datable to the 9th C. was found in Fustat: Pinder-Wilson and Scanlon (supra n. 15) 17, figs. 1–2.

63. Isings, no. 97a.

64. Cf. for example, Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 17, 30; similar bowls from Jeleme (northern Israel), 2nd half 4th C.; Saldern, Sg. Hentrich, nos. 157–159. For Egyptian examples cf. Edgar, pl. 2, no. 32.444; Harden, Karanis, 47ff., nos. 1ff.
Plain, Flaring Rims with Large Diameters

377 Pl. 24. One quarter of rim. Fabric 1. Max. dim. 5.5, est. diam. 13, Th. to 0.1. BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.


379 One sixth of rim. Fabric 1; decolorized, dirty fire scum. Max. dim. 7, est. diam. 15, Th. to 0.1. BS E 1, 1967 E7-E9/S0-S4 *98.75-98.00.

380 One quarter of rim, thickened edge. Fabric 1; decolorized, dirty fire scum. Max. dim. 8, est. diam. 13, Th. to 0.15. BE-N 1966 E32.7-E36.30/N96.7-N97.5 to *97.65.


FOOTED BOWLS

A fragmentary, shallow footed bowl 382, appears to be the only representative of this group found at Sardis. It has a bell-shaped foot, a short stem, and spreading walls curving upward (perhaps to a plain rim). The complete vessel is closely related to salvers. Certainly more fragments of this bowl type have remained unrecognized. However, since feet identical to 382 could not be identified among the Sardis fragments (for very similar feet cf. 300–373) it seems likely that this vessel shape was not common in the city. Discovered in one of the Byzantine Shops, its shape, the aquamarine color (fabric 1), and the mold-blown, ribbed vessel fragments (651) found with it seem to be proof of its Early Byzantine date.

The rim section of a large bowl or salver with an estimated diameter of about 30 cm. (383), having an upturned edge, may be a larger version of the same type. Unfortunately this piece is also not dated by archaeological context since it comes from a top level at HoB, but it was found with an Early Byzantine lamp base of type 3 (cf. 274–286), (BASOR 170, 4ff.).

382 Pls. 13, 24. Irregular, bell-shaped foot, irregular concave stem, lower portion of bowl with wall almost horizontal then turning upward. Fabric 1, eggshell; dark spots. P.H. 5, diam. at bowl fracture 6.6, original diam. certainly larger. BS 1963, exact findspot unknown.

383 Pl. 24. One eighth of rim of large bowl (or dish) with upturned rim and probably shallow bottom (with foot?). Fabric 3, iridescent; scaling brown scum. Est. diam. ca. 30. HoB 1962 W30/S95 to *100.40.

MISCELLANEOUS STEMS

A group of miscellaneous, very fragmentary stems cannot be assigned to types; they appear to have affinities to goblets, footed beakers, and lamps. Their shape is biconical, apparently representing the lower half of the vessel bowl and the upper part of the foot of a goblet or beaker with conical foot and bowl similar to the attempted reconstruction of a vessel from Dura Europos. They could also have formed part of lamps of the type represented by one nearly complete example and many fragments found at Jerash.

The stems are either of pale green (fabric 2) or aquamarine (fabric 1) glass. With the exception of one piece that may have belonged to an entirely different vessel, 386, all come from B. Two (384 and 385) were found together at Pa and are datable prior to the destruction of A.D. 616 (BASOR 191, 33); near them was found a salver foot, 376, goblet stems, and bottle necks with spiral thread, 609. In contrast to the other fragments, the join of bowl and foot of 385 is articulated by a knob. A third fragment, 386, came from outside BS E 14 (BASOR 170, 49) with the bottom of a cup with ring base. This shop was particularly rich in glass finds, including the remains of goblets and lamps of various types, all belonging to the fifth and sixth centuries. 387, was found in BE-A and belongs to a fifth to early seventh century level (BASOR 187, 12–14). Other glass finds in this area comprise plain, spreading vessel rims (of goblets or cups?), small handles (of lamps type 2?), a ring base (cf. 401–444), and tesserae.

A fragment slightly more squat than the others (388) and showing the small portion of the faintly convex vessel wall, perhaps of a bowl, was found at

65. This bowl type appears to have been almost identical to a vessel form from Jerash preserved only in a very fragmentary state, datable to the 4th–5th C.: Baur-Kraeling, 525, no. 21, fig. 20 (here Pl. 23). Bowls from Jerash in the collection of the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford, have feet with a diam. of 4.5–5.8 cm. and a H. of ca. 3.5 cm. Cf. also Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pl. 28. For related material from Egypt cf. Harden, Karanas, nos. 355ff., pl. 15.


67. Crowfoot-Harden, no. 50; according to the authors of this study fragments “may equally have belonged to handleless drinking cups.”
BE-N at a level overlaying the fifth to early seventh century strata; other fragments found with it include bases of cylindrical bottles, the rim section of a bowl with inturned edge, various plain bowl rims, goblet stems of type 1d, necks of bottles with spiral thread (cf. 607–621), and the section of a lamp of type 3, all vessels common among Early Byzantine glass at Sardis. 390 no doubt belongs within this group but is not stratified.

P.H. 3, diam. at constriction 1.7.
Pa-W 1967 E36.80-E43.80/N95.70-N104 *99.86-98.36.

385  Pl. 24. Knobbed stem and egg-shaped lower portion of bowl. Greenish; brown scum, iridescent.
P.H. 2.8, diam. knob 1.9.
Pa-W 1967 E36.80/N95.70-N104 *99.86-98.36.

P.H. 5.3, diam. at constriction 1.2, at bowl fracture 4.8.
RTE 1962 E80-E84/S4-S10, fill over sidewalk in front of BS E 14, to *93.70.

387  Conical, waisted, rough pontil mark. Fabric 1; white spots.
P.H. 4, diam. at lower fracture 5.

388  Waisted stem. Green tint(?); dirty fire scum.
P.H. 2.5, diam. at constriction 1.7.
BE-N 1966 E32.7-E35.2/N99.5-N100 *97.95-97.45.

389  Pl. 24. Bell-shaped upper part of foot, constricted stem with funnel-like lower bowl. Yellow green tint.
P.H. 3, diam. at lower fracture 4.8.
PN 1964 W257-W261/S338-S341 *88.40-88.05.
This stem may be of later date, i.e. Islamic.

390  Waisted stem. Fabric 3.
P.H. 2, diam. at constriction 1.7.
HoB 1963 W15/S120 to *101.30.

SHALLOW BOWLS WITH WAISTED RIM

The large section of a bowl of fabric 1 glass (391) was found in the upper level of MTE (BASOR 177, 14–16). The wall curves upward to a waisted section below the flaring rim, and it is unlikely to have had a foot. It is the most complete bowl recorded at Sardis; however, a small number of rims and wall sections may have formed parts of such vessels. Unfortunately, 391 is not stratigraphically dated. The general area and level from which it came formed part of a dump that contained fragmentary early Roman as well as Early Byzantine material, the latter including remains of goblets and cups with ring bases (cf. 401–444). Fabric and vessel type suggest an Early Byzantine date.

The lower portion of a bowl with waisted wall (392) is particularly interesting because it is made of turquoise blue glass. Found with part of a tube-shaped bottle (556), it certainly belongs to the fifth century (BASOR 174, 30ff.).

The rim section of a bowl (393) with profile similar to that of 391, is made of fabric 1 glass and comes from the same unstratified level at MTE. Another rim fragment (394), having an edge bent horizontally, also appears to belong to this group. It is dated by coins and other evidence to the fifth to sixth century (BASOR 187, 54–58); a few sherds found nearby include plain vessel rims and the stem of an unidentifiable vessel (goblet?).

H. 3.2, est. diam. rim 7.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

392  Pl. 24. Flat base, wall slightly spreading. Turquoise-blue; white scum.
P.H. 1.2, est. diam. 4.
Syn MH 1963 E55-56/N3-N3.5 *96.50-96.00. Found with 556.

393  Pl. 24. Vertical wall with out-turned rim. Fabric 1; brown scum.
P.H. 4.3, est. diam. 10, Th. 1.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

394  Pl. 24. One eighth of rim bent outward to horizontal position. Fabric 1; frosted white scum.
Max. dim. 3.5, est. diam. ca. 10, Th. to 0.1.
BE-CC 1966 E32.70-E35/N101-N102.50 to *97.20.

HIGH BOWLS

Fragments of a deep, slightly conical bowl with plain rim, 395, were found in BS E 15. A vessel such

68. Waisted bowls of this type appear to be closely related to late Roman Imperial bowls generally supported by ring bases which were found in Syria (Palestine) and Egypt. Cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 15ff., 31ff.; Harden, Karanze, 98ff., pls. 14–15 (almost always with folded rim); Edgar, pl. 2.
as this could have had a concave base with or without base ring or a foot with stem. Among the other finds from this shop are bases of bowls(?) and handles, perhaps from lamps of type 2 (BASOR 174, 45; cf. also BASOR 170, 51).

The section of a slightly smaller bowl with faintly convex walls and thickened rim, 396, has no provenance but seems to belong to this group; its fabric 3 glass is characteristic of Early Byzantine glass, and its shape also seems to link it with bowl forms of the same date.

395 Pl. 13, 24. G63.21:5903. About one fifth of vessel preserved, slightly conical wall; the vessel may have had a stem or a base ring. Fabric 2.
P.H. 7, est. diam. rim 10, Th. 0.1.
BS E 15, E87–E92/S1–S3 *94.10, foundation drain.

396 Slightly convex vertically oriented wall with rim thickened on ext. Fabric 3, iridescent, frosted, dark scaling scum.
P.H. 7.2, est. diam. 7, Th. 1.
Chance find 1962.

FOLDED FEET OF (HIGH?) VESSELS

Sloping feet with folded edge, apparently supporting a vessel, the bottom portion of which seems to have been cylindrical or almost cylindrical, may be related to the group of folded ring bases (cf. 449–462). However, as the dating of all finds in this group is uncertain, the objects listed here may also be late Roman. The few pieces recorded are too fragmentary to suggest the original vessel shape or shapes with any certainty. Two have diameters of 6 cm. while the third is double in size.

The large foot, 398, and 397 come from east of Syn Fc. They can be associated with the construction of the “porch” in the third century as well as with later phases, extending to the sixth century (BASOR 177, 17–19); no exact dating can be provided. However, in the general area were found a large number of Early Byzantine fragments, including the remains of goblets, lamps, ring bases (cf. 401–444). The third piece (399) is similar to 397 and comes from an unstratified level at AcT.

397 Pl. 24. G64.7:6519. Sloping folded foot, lower part of cylindrical vessel. Fabric 2; iridescent, frosted, brown scum.
P.H. 2.1, diam. 6.
E of Syn E120-E130/N5–N8 *97.00.

398 Pl. 24. Foot of large heavy vessel, similar to 397. Fabric 3.

RING BASES (OF BOWLS?)

This group includes numerous identical ring bases with which no body fragment can be identified. Occasionally rim sections of the same fabric were found with them, but it has proved impossible to link a rim with a base. They consist of a sloping base ring, a concave bottom and the lower section of a vessel with walls gently curving upward, almost invariably made of brown glass (fabric 3), rarely of pale green (fabric 2), and almost never of the otherwise popular aquamarine (fabric 1) glass. All pieces recorded show similar weathering: dirty scum which, when it is scraped off or has fallen off, leaves a surface that displays a slight iridescent sheen.

By analogy to foot constructions of fourth to fifth century bowls from Karanis, most of the bases of this type from Sardis could have belonged to a bowl or cup the lower part of which had a segmental cross section while the rim was flaring or slightly conical. In a few cases the preserved wall above the foot ring

69. For a pattern-molded goblet with a bowl having a similar profile cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pl. 10; for deep, slightly conical bowls with or without base ring, datable to the late Roman Imperial period cf. ibid., pls. 15, 18, 31 et passim. For early 5th C. bowls with slightly convex walls and concave bases cf. K. Brisch, “Das Byzantinische Schloss in Usais (II),” MittKairo 20 (1965) 173, fig. 42.

70. For a foot from Jerash similar to those from Sardis although without folded edge cf. Baur-Kraeling, 526, no. 27, fig. 21. Cf. also Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 26 (“11:7”), 33. A foot of similar profile which probably belonged to a vessel of the type represented by the material from Sardis, cf. Langdon and Harden (supra n. 50) fig. 5:17 (5th–6th C.).

71. Harden, Karanis, nos. 221ff., pl. 14. For beakers from this site having a very similar base construction cf. ibid., no. 362:4, pl. 15 (4th–5th C.). For predominantly late Roman Imperial bowls from Palestine with straight or slightly convex wall cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 15ff.

72. Cf. the rims found with 418, 419. Late Roman Imperial variants of footed bowls with a segmental lower body and feet of a profile very similar to that of the bases discussed here were found at Jeleme: Goldstein, pls. 21ff. (2nd half 4th C.).
extends to a height of about 3 cm.; here the wall section appears to have belonged to a vessel with an almost cylindrical lower half, possibly a bottle. But even then the upper vessel section could have increased in diameter to form a bowl.

Two plain rims having an estimated diameter of 10 cm. seem to stem from large vessels, perhaps bowls. They were found with 418. Below the edge of one of these sherds (of fabric 3) the wall continues almost perpendicularly which might indicate that it formed part of a bottle, and not a bowl with outsplayed rim. Similarly, 419 was also found with two plain rims of fabric 3 glass that seem to have been part of straight-sided, spreading necks with estimated diameters of 9 cm. These rims, however, are not necessarily connected with the base rings.

The diameter of the base rings ranges from 4.0 to 6.4 cm. The estimated diameter of rims found with the base rings, made of fabric 3 glass and apparently from the same vessel, varies from 6 to 10 cm. In general the bases are fairly well made although they rarely approach the quality of the best goblets of type 1 (cf. 300–322). They are sometimes irregular and less carefully finished.

One base, 401, was found in BS E 4 as were other typically Early Byzantine pieces: goblet stems (including a multiknobbed stem, 369), lamps, window panes, threaded bottle necks, etc. Other bases come from BS W 3, 402–404 (BASOR 154, 16–18) and BS W 10, 405.

A large number of base rings (406–415) were discovered east of Syn (BASOR 174, 47; 182, 42ff.) where masses of fragments of lamps of various types, of goblets, and of a beaker came to light, all datable to the fifth and sixth centuries. Among the most significant vessel fragments found in the same area as the base rings were: the conical neck of a bottle (505) and two rim fragments of which one, with a diam. of 7 cm., comes from a conical vessel neck while the other, with folded edge (diam. 8 cm.), was part of a conical and spreading neck (found with 414).

Portions of at least four base rings (416–419), come from BE-B and belong to the fifth to sixth century (BASOR 187, 16, there designated unit B’); lamp handles and window panes were found in the same room. 417 was discovered near the base of a large dish, 465.

The many base rings found at HoB are less well dated than the finds from B and Syn. The finds from 1961 (420–423; BASOR 166, 5), 1962 (424–429; BASOR 166, 5ff.), and 1963 (430–433, 435; BASOR 174, 6–8) were found in disturbed levels overlaying Hellenistic occupation.

432 came with cullet; 428 was discovered near an early or mid-Imperial cup base similar to vessels listed under 185–187, and window glass; 435 was found adjacent to a biconical stem section of an apparently large goblet. 436 was found in mixed fill with a straight, high base ring (not unlike 192) and a plain, spreading rim with an estimated diameter of 7 cm., both made of fabric 3 glass.

In 1958, at least two base rings were found in Building L: 438 is from a level that may be earlier than the late Roman-Early Byzantine floor while 439 comes from just above this floor (BASOR 154, 10; cf. Hanfmann, JGS, 52; for the dating of the building, Sardis R1 [1975] 111, 114).

A few similar bases may complete this survey. One (441), identical in cross section to 442—which is not securely dated—shows a greater height than the average base ring. It was found in BE-B (BASOR 187, 10ff.). 443, of green tinted glass (close to fabric 2), comes from BE-N and is safely dated to the period antedating the destruction of A.D. 616 (BASOR 187, 57); in the same room were found fragments of salvers, cylindrical bottles, and probably handled lamps.

Unless noted otherwise the following ring bases are of fabric 3.

Diam. 5.2.
BS E 4, 1967 E33.60/S0-S5 *98.00-97.00.
402 G58.22:408.
Diam. 5.
BS W 3, above level II.
403 G58.25:409.
Diam. 4.
BS W 3, above level II.
Diam. 5.
BS W 3, level II, floor 1.
405 Greenish-aquamarine (fabrics 1–2).
Diam. 4.4.
BS W 10, 1959 W52-W54/S2.50-S4.40 *96.50-95.50.
406 Diam. 5.
E of Syn 1965 E128-E130/N8-N12 *97.00-95.00.
407 Diam. 4.5.
E of Syn E125-E128/N6 *97.80-97.00.
408 E of Syn E125-E128/N6 *97.80-97.00.
409 Greenish-olive (fabrics 3–4).
Diam. 5.
E of Syn 1963 E124-E126/N4-N9 *96.75-96.00.
Ring Bases

410  Three bases.  
Diam. 3.7–4.8.  
Syn. Fc 1965 E112.38-E122.50/N11-N15 *96.50-96.25.

411  Diam. 4.8.  
E of Syn 1965 E124.47-E125/N11.5-N14 *96.75-96.25.

412  Diam. ca. 6.4.  
E of Syn 1965 E124.47-E125/N11.5-N14 *96.75-96.25.

413  Two bases.  
Diam. 4.7.  
Syn Porch 1963 E116.8-E118/N4-N6 *96.75-96.25.

414  Pl. 13. Four bases. Three are fabric 4 and one fabric 3.  
Diam. 4.5–4.8.  
E of Syn 1964 E126-E129/N7-N10 *97.00-96.50. Found with rim fragment of a conical vessel neck (diam. 8 cm.).

415  Two bases. Fabrics 3 and 4.  
E of Syn 1965 E120.5-E125/N11-N15 *96.50-96.25.

416  Portions of ca. 5 bases. Fabrics 3 and 4.  
Diam. 4.5–5.  
BE-B 1966 E18-E20/N7-N9 *96.80-96.40.

417  Diam. 4.8.  
BE-B 1966 E16.30-E17.80/N3-N4 *96.70-96.35.

418  Four bases.  
Diam. 5–5.2.  
BE-B 1966 E17.50-E19/N3-N8 *96.80-96.60.

419  Diam. 5.2.  
BE-B 1966 E20-E21/N4-N6 *96.80-96.40.

420  Diam. 5.3.  
HoB 1961 W15/S95-S105 to *99.60.

421  Diam. 4.2.  
HoB 1961 W5/S90-S95 to *101.50-100.50.

422  G61.9:3268.  
Diam. 5.5.  
HoB W10/S90 *100.30.

423  Diam. 4.7.  
HoB 1961 E0/S90-S95 to *100.50.

424  Diam. 5.2.  

425  HoB 1962 E0/S105 to *98.30, all gravel.

426  Diam. 4.2.  
HoB 1962 W20/S95 *101.50-101.00, fill.

427  Diam. 5.3.  
HoB 1962 E25-E30/S85, clearing N wall of cistern, *100.00.

428  Three bases.  
Diam. 4.5–5.2.  
HoB 1962 E20/S90, area W of cistern, to *99.15.

429  Thirteen bases. Six are fabric 3; six are fabric 4; and one is fabric 2.  
HoB, Lydian Trench 1962, upper fill.

430  Diam. 5.3.  
HoB 1963 W13-W15/S120-S125 *102.80-102.50.

431  Diam. ca. 5.4.  
HoB 1963 W3-W20/S120-S130 to *102.00.

432  Green-olive, fabrics 3–4.  
Diam. 4.8.  
HoB 1963 W30/S110 to *101.00.

433  Diam. 4.8.  

434  Diam. 4.1.  
E of BS E 19, 1963 E122.5-E123/N5-N6 *97.30-96.75.  
Found with 505.

435  Three bases. Two are fabric 4 and one is fabric 3.  
Diam. 4.4–4.8.  
HoB 1963 W15/S120 to *101.30.

436  Diam. 5.3.  
HoB 1965, upper mixed fill, *102.40-100.50.

437  Diam. 5.3.  
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S113-S126 *101.00.

438  G58.71:866.  
Diam. 4.5.  
L room B, surface to *98.60.  
Hanfmann, JGS, 52-53; Sardis R1 (1975) 111.

Diam. 5.2.  
L room E, surface to *100.50.  
Hanfmann, JGS, 52-53; Sardis R1 (1975) 114, listed as early Imperial.


441  Fabric 4.  
Diam. 5.5.  
BE-B 1966 E18.8-E19.6/N0.9-N2 *96.60-96.20.

442  Pl.24. Diam. 4.9.  
HoB 1963 E0-W8/S120-S125 to *101.00.
Early Byzantine Glass

Est. diam. 6.
BE-N 1966 E26.50-32/N96-N98 to *96.60, marble floor.

444  Pl. 24. G63.19-5829. Fabric 1. This base ring may belong to the group listed here.
Diam. 5.8.
E of BS E 19 E116-E119/S2-S4 *97.50-97.00.

FLAT BASES (OF CUPS?)

A series of bases has not yet been associated with any specific vessel type. They are flat while the vessel wall is convex, i.e. the lower portion of the bowl has the cross section of a bowl or cup. The diameter of three recorded specimens is about 6 cm. while the fourth piece is much larger. Two are of fabric 1, two of fabric 2.

445 comes from BS W 7 and may be datable to the sixth century (BASOR 157, 32). 446 was found in BS E 16; this shop revealed much Early Byzantine glass, including sections of lamps, bottles, and threaded bottle necks, as well as a salver foot, 375. The other two pieces are not dated through archaeological context. One, 447, comes from PN at a level containing Byzantine as well as late Islamic material (BASOR 177, 3); the other, 448, is a chance find.

Diam. 4.7.
BS W 7 W22.00-W23.58/S2 *97.70-97.00.
AJA 66, 11, no. 16, pl. 10:16.

446  Irregular, top of base ring slightly sloping; must have belonged to large vessel. Fabric 2.
Diam. 8.8.
BS E 16, 1963 ca. E92-E98/S0-S4 *97.00-96.40.

Diam. 5.9.

448  Fabric 1.
Diam. 5.9.
Chance find.

FOLDED RING BASES (OF CUPS OR BOWLS?)

These bases are similar to the ring bases (401–444) but have a folded edge and a vessel wall that usually spreads almost horizontally from the base, to curve gently upward. This profile could belong to a footed bowl with perpendicular or flaring rim but, the fragments preserved are too small to allow a reconstruction of the original vessel.

The bases are made predominantly of aquamarine (fabric 1), more rarely, of pale green (fabric 2) glass, and in at least one case, of bottle olive glass (fabric 3). Diameters vary greatly, from ca. 3.5 to 11 cm., with a median diameter of 5 to 7 cm. which seems to indicate that the larger bases come from vessels of a form different from that of the smaller. This seems to be borne out by the fact that the profiles show certain variations.

The undersides are usually convex. If a concave bottom with a folded base ring occurs it may mean that it was part of a different vessel type or the vessel was fashioned differently, receiving a concave pushed-up bottom.

Almost all of the bases were found in the Synagogue and the Byzantine Shops. 449, 450, and 451, all found in 1965, are dated about the mid-fifth to the early seventh century (BASOR 182, 34ff.). Two from Syn Fc, 452 and 453 are certainly after the mid-fourth century (BASOR 170, 46; 177, 21); the first was with window fragments and handles, probably belonging to lamps of type 2. Much glass was found in the mixed fill of BS W 14 (BASOR 186, 29ff.), including a ring base (455), window panes, goblet feet, lamps of type 4 etc., all belonging to the Early Byzantine period (the fill contained mixed ware from Lydian to Byzantine times and coins of the fourth and fifth centuries). Another base (456) from BS E 15 is no doubt contemporary with the Byzantine glass discovered in the neighboring shops (BASOR 170, 51). Two more bases, 457 and 458, are of the same date although they were found under the sidewalk in front of BS E 14 and in BS W 13.

A folded base of slightly different form (462) may have been part of another vessel type; as it is unstratified, it could conceivably be late Roman Imperial.

449  Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 6.
Syn 1965 E71-E73/N21-N24 *95.00-93.50.

450  Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 10.
Syn 1965 E90-E93/N1.5-N3 *95.60-95.50.

Diam. 6.5, Th. 0.05.
Syn SE pit E90/N2-N5 *94.20.

73. Cf. the type in Harden, Karanis, pl.14:266.
Large Dishes

452 Fabric 3, crudely made.
Est. diam. 8.
Syn Fc 1962 E115.5-E116.5/S0-S2 *97.50-96.50.

453 Fabric 2.
Diam. 3.6.
Syn Fc 1964 E129-E130/N6-N9 *96.50-96.00.

454 Portions of 2 base rings. Fabric 1, very thick. Heavily fractured.
Diam. 7, Th. 0.2–0.5.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S9 *97.00-96.20.

455 Pl. 25. One half of base ring and lower vessel with convex wall. Fabric 2.
P.H. 2.7, diam. 4.3, Th. 0.2.
BS W 14, 1966 W65.70-W68.50/S3.10-S4.80 *97.00-96.30.

456 Pl. 25. Fabric 1.
Diam. 4.
BS E 15, 1962, pit under shop, *94.50-94.00.

457 Similar to 451. Well made.
Diam. 6.3.
RTE 1962 E81-E85/S4-S10, fill under sidewalk in front of E 14, to *93.70.

458 Pl. 25. One half of base ring. Fabric 1, well made.
Diam. 5.3.
BS W 13, 1959 W54-W57/S2-S4 *94.50-94.00.

459 White iridescent scum.
Diam. 3.8.
HoB 1963 W15/S110-S115 to *100.70.

460 Base ring with slightly convex wall. Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 13, Th. to eggshell.
MTE 1964 ca. E60-E65/S150-S155 to *112.20.

461 Pl. 25. Many bases.
Diam. 3.8–7, median diam. 4.8–5.8.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S145-S150 to *110.00.

462 Pl. 25. Base ring with vertical lower part of vessel.
Fabric 2.
Diam. 6.
AcT trench E 1960 fill.

LARGE DISHES

Lower portions of apparently shallow dishes with conical base rings have a close similarity to early and mid-Imperial ware. The base ring was probably not made together with the dish but was attached later. As no rim fragment has been identified that without question belongs to a large plate with base ring, it is not possible to offer a safe reconstruction of the entire vessel. Dishes with base rings such as those described here probably had walls rising gently to a plain rim like the generally plain-edged footed salvers and rims of large vessels that are found in Early Byzantine levels. The plain rim may have been bent downward. The profile of the lower part should be compared to Roman dishes of the third and fourth centuries which may have been the predecessors of the Byzantine vessels.74

An almost complete base ring and the fractured remains of the central portion of the bowl are preserved in 463. As the diameter of the base is 18 cm., the entire dish must have been exceptionally large. It is made of yellow brown glass, a material related to fabric 3. The fragments of the dish come from the Packed Columns Area east of BS E 19 (BASOR 174, 45-47) where the latest coins are those of Justinian (A.D. 527–565, indexed under PCA in Sarðis M1 [1971] 150). At this general location and level were found many glass vessel fragments attributable to Early Byzantine times as well as coins of the fifth and sixth centuries.

In the immediate neighborhood of this large base ring was found the rim section of a very large dish (464) of fabric 2 glass with down-turned edge; the diameter measures 44 cm. The size of 463 and 464 lead one to suppose that they may have come from vessels of very similar or identical shape, namely exceptionally large dishes (or shallow bowls?) with base ring and a flattened or down-turned rim. 465 comes from BE-B at a level that contained much Byzantine glass, datable to a period after ca. A.D. 400 but before the post-616 squatter occupation (BASOR 187, 16). Also discovered there were fragmentary ring bases (cf. 401–444), lamps, small handles of lamps, cullet, and window panes.

Base ring (466) from below floor level in BS E 17 (BASOR 174, 45–48) appears to be earlier than the Early Byzantine glass from this shop. The profile resembles that of the other examples just mentioned, but its fabric and general appearance are close to that of the heavily iridescent Roman cups (cf. 185–187). Pottery was also found at this level, apparently antedating the Byzantine material. It is possible that this base ring is of late Roman date, which would be an

74. Immediate predecessors of this type seem to be represented by shallow dishes with ring bases from Jeleme, 2nd half 4th C.: cf. Goldstein, pl. 23:5. Cf. also a perhaps late 4th C. shallow dish of similar profile with diamond-point engraved decoration: Avigad (supra n. 58) 229–230, fig. 12; Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pl. 30. Cf. also Harden, Karanis, 49ff., pl. 11:4, 7.
indication that vessels of this type were popular throughout late Roman and Early Byzantine times.

Other bases with similar profiles are unstratified. One (467), from the upper mixed fill at HoB, came with a large quantity of glass fragments of mainly Early Byzantine date, including goblets, lamps, and ring bases (cf. 401-444). Bases from HoB (468-470) are undated as well (BASOR 166, 5-6; 170, 19). With 471 were found (Byzantine?) window panes and rim fragments of probably late Roman cups with curved rims (cf. 200-203).

468 Part of base ring. Fabric 2.
Est. diam. 9.
HoB 1962 E5-E10/S115 to *100.40, clearing pipe.

470 Pls. 13, 25. Section of base ring. Same fabric as 463.
Est. diam. 14.
HoB 1965 *102.40-100.50, upper mixed fill. Found with 579.

471 Base ring. Yellow green tint; heavy scaling iridescence.
Diam. 5.8.
Chance find 1959.

BEAKERS

Among the most important finds is a fairly well-preserved bell-shaped beaker (472), a shape which derives from plain beakers of mid- and late Roman Imperial times.75 Close parallels to the Sardis finds are afforded by a few Early Sassanian beakers from Tell Mahuz in the Iraq Museum in Baghdad. According to the numismatic evidence all glass from Tell Mahuz should be datable to the late third and fourth century, a period preceding the most productive time in Sardis by one or two centuries.76 The Sardis beaker (472) was found with a salver

75. Isings, no. 106c and H. J. Eggers, Der römische Import im freien Germanien (Hamburg 1951) form 290. For many variants of the late Roman beaker cf. A. Benkö, Űreszegcorpus, Régészeti Fizetek (Budapest 1962) II pls. 28ff., cf. esp. no. 12/6, pl. 51:7. Other 4th C. bell-shaped beakers preceding the Sardis type—often having a ring base—were found in Jereme and Naharya (I am most grateful to Gladys Davidson Weinberg for showing me the material stored in Jerusalem). For beakers more distantly related to the finds from Sardis cf. W. Slomann, Suetramfunnet (Norske Oldfunn IX; Oslo 1959) pl. 9:1 (4th–5th C.); from Carmona: Guia del museo y necropolis romana de Carmona (Sevilla) (Madrid 1969) pl. 16. Cf. also Weinberg (supra n. 49) 133, pl. 25, fig. 4C.

76. Many coins of Shapur II (A.D. 309-379): M. Negro Ponzi, "Sassanian Glassware from Tell Mahuz (North Mesopotamia)," Mesopotamia 3-4 (1968-69) 308–309, nos. 63–64 (not all of the parallel pieces cited by the author are comparable to the beakers from this site); idem, "A Group of Mesopotamian Glass Vessels of Sassanian Date," London Congress, 13, fig. 3 (the material is preserved in the Iraq Museum, Baghdad). Beakers identical to these Mesopotamian finds have turned up lately in the antiquities market; a beaker very similar to the Sardis beaker, 15 cm. H. has allegedly been found in Iran. For a beaker of the same form, the lower part of which shows a pinched pattern of "nip't diamond waies" (cf. 92-93) see JGS 6 (1964) 159, no. 13.

Finds from Jerash, including slightly waisted beakers with pushed-up base, may be a bit earlier than, or contemporary with, the beakers from Sardis: Crowfoot-Harden, pl. 28:4. Beakers (or lamps?) with three small handles, which have a cylindrical or slightly conical lower body and a cup-shaped upper portion, were found in Hira and Nineveh (the material is in part preserved in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford). For general remarks on the finds from Nineveh see Harden, "Nineveh" (supra n. 61) 186. The upper portion of a beaker or lamp with slightly flaring rim and three handles, datable to the late 5th or 6th C.; Wiseman (supra n. 7) 105–106. For unstable bell beakers of the 5th and 6th C. found in the West cf. D. B. Harden, "Glass Vessels in Britain and Ireland, A.D. 400–1000," Dark-Age Britain: Studies Presented to E. T. Leeds (London 1956) 140–141, fig. 25.
BOTTLES

The bottle in all its forms and variations is the most common glass vessel in Roman and post-Roman times. In Sardis a few other categories, such as lamps and goblets, seem to have been made in similar quantities, but the bottle, in a variety of different shapes, is the most frequently found vessel.

With the exclusion of miniature vessels, only very few remnants of bottles are large enough to serve as a guide for the reconstruction of a complete vessel. A number of clearly recognizable shapes are listed, followed by variously shaped necks and bases of bottles of more or less uncertain shape. The uncertainty in classifying specific types with the help of small fragments marks the catalogue listing: each fragment may, or may not, be representative of a specific bottle type; each neck or base could be grouped with one or another type.

Type 1: Bottles with Ovoid, Oval, or Spherical Body

A fairly large vessel often with concave base, ovoid body and tall, usually slightly conical neck is a frequent type at Sardis. Variants include an oval or spherical body and a neck having a funnel-like or cylindrical upper part of greater diameter than the lower. This type was popular in the East in late and post-Roman times.77

77. The full range of bottle shapes in late and post-Roman glass found in Syria (Palestine) is illustrated in Barag, “Glass Vessels.” Oval and ovoid bottles from Jeleme some of which have spiral threads around the upper part of the neck (cf. 607–621) are datable to the 2nd half 4th C., i.e. immediately preceding the Sardis material: Goldstein, pl. 20; P. N. Perrot, “The Excavation of Two Glass Factory Sites in Western Israel,” Bruxelles Congrés, 258:3, fig. 3a. Cf. also Bagatti-Milik, 19, fig. 34:1–5. For Early Byzantine bottles of the types discussed here cf. for example: Barag, “Netiv,” fig. 2/4 (mid-5th-early 7th C.). L. Y. Rahmani, “Mirror-Plaques from a Fifth-Century A.D. Tomb,” IEJ 14 (1964) 52–53, fig. 2:2, pl. 15:B (from Kfar Dikhrin). Delougaz-Haines, pl. 59:2 (from Khirbet el-Kerak, ca. early 5th-early 7th C.). Barag, Shavei Zion, 65ff., nos. 1ff., fig. 16:1–3 (5th–6th C.; with ref. to additional material; here Pl. 25). Fragmentary bottles from Auja Hafir, preserved in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford (cf. Harden, Nessana, 86ff.). Bottles of this general form have also been found in South Russia: from Konstantinovkaja, Kertch (Ashmolean Museum, Oxford). A bottle of allegedly 6th C. date with perhaps spherical body and long neck,
Early Byzantine Glass

Unfortunately the only three fairly complete bottles with ovoid body are without provenance (476–478). However, their fabrics (1 and 2) and the similarity of their necks to securely dated bottle necks make it certain that they belong to the Early Byzantine period. 476 has a slightly conical neck that increases in diameter, funnel-like, at the lip which has a plain edge; the lower portion of the body is missing but the base was no doubt concave. 477 has a straight conical neck with an irregular, infolded rim. The neck of the third example, 478, with a portion of the base preserved, is cylindrical at the bottom and funnel-like at the top, derived from a neck construction in vogue in Syria especially in the fifth and sixth centuries.

The height of the three bottles varies from about 13.5 to 16.5 cm. The necks usually represent about one half of the total height, or an average height of about 6 to 8 cm.

The neck of 479 is identical to that of 476. It is fractured at the upper shoulder, showing the wall curving outward to form the body which most probably was ovoid. It was found inside the large drain along the west side of the west wall of MC which also contained Early Byzantine goblets, cylindrical bottles, windows, threaded necks (cf. 607–621), and cullet (BASOR 177, 23).

Among the conical necks that might be associated with ovoid, ovoid-spherical, or even cylindrical (cf. 488) bottles, two are made in fabric 1. One (480) comes from BE-E while the other (481) was found, together with the remains of goblets, part of a bowl lamp(?), and a pattern-molded bottle as well as other Early Byzantine glass, at PN at a level datable to the fifth century (BASOR 166, 16ff.). Another variation of a vessel neck is represented by the portion of a bottle found at BE-H (482) at a level (*97.40–97.30) that suggests an Early Byzantine date (BASOR 177, 25). Although similar to funnel necks (476) the cylindrical lower part increases in diameter at a lower point. 482 was found with a slender, conical bottle neck (489) and other Early Byzantine glass, including upward-tapering bottle necks, concave bases, ampullae, and plain rims of tapering vessels. A similar bottle neck was found in BS E 16 with a foot possibly belonging to a salver (375).

An almost complete section of the lower half of a bottle with oval, almost spherical body, (483) seems to be dated fairly accurately as it comes from unit BE-C (BASOR 187, 19; referred to as C'). The vessel seems to belong to the period of remodeling of the room early in the fifth century. With this section were found two ring bases (cf. 401–444) and two concave bases, perhaps of cylindrical bottles. Fragments of about eight bottles (484), "perhaps contained in a large jar" (identification tag) came to light with other Early Byzantine material in the northeastern corner of BS E 12 (BASOR 177, 20). The neck sections that were found with them are very similar to those of this group, i.e. they are either conical, or slender with diameter increasing toward the lip, or short and flaring toward the top. These necks range in height from 3.5 (short, flaring necks) to 9 cm.; the bodies of these bottles seem to have had an average height of 6 to 8 cm. Thus ovoid and ovoid-spherical bottles were very similar indeed as far as height and form of base and neck are concerned.

Among the fragments from neighboring areas rich in Early Byzantine glass are parts of the neck and shoulder of a bottle from BS E 16 (485) and the curved section of a probably oval or spherical bottle (486) from BS E 19. The area immediately to the east of BS E 19 also yielded fragments of constricted bottles, base rings of dishes (for example, 464), vessel rims, a beaker (473) and coins, ranging from Constantine I to Justinian (BASOR 174, 47).


having a lower constriction and two applied threads: Crowfoot, Samaria, 418, fig. 99.

For a late stage in the development of this type, with neck tapering upward, cf. Brisch (supra n. 69) 173, fig. 39.

78. For bottles with spherical body and cylindrical neck the upper part of which is likewise cylindrical but of greater diam. cf. Barag, "Glass Vessels"; idem, "Netiv," fig. 2:5, pl. 27:4 (ca. mid-5th–early 7th C.).

79. Bottles of the same type: from Kfar Dikhrin, Rahmani (supra n. 77) 52–53, fig. 2:1, pl. 15:C. Crowfoot, Samaria, fig. 95. A bottle with ovoid body, narrow neck, and funnel mouth: Saldern, Sgg. Henrich, no. 195. For late 4th C. Western examples cf. Isings (supra n. 15) 262:4, fig. 5.
Bottles

H. 14.; diam. 7, of rim 3.4.
Chance find.

P.H. 8.
BE-E 1964 E11-E12.1/N42-N44, inside large drain along wall of MC *96.50-96.00.

BE-E 1964 E11-E14/N32-N36 *97.00-96.50.

PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 490 and 646.

482 Portion of neck with funnel mouth and shoulder on probably spherical or cylindrical body. Fabric 1, thin.
P.H. 9; diam. shoulder ca. 6, of neck 2.

483 PL 26. Part of base and wall of bottle with concave base and squat, almost spherical body. Fabric 1, eggshell; heavy multilayered decomposition.
P.H. 6, est. diam. 8.
BE-C 1966 E31.9-E33.6/N13.09-N15.15 *97.50 down.

484 Fragments of ca. 8 spherical or near spherical bottles, conical necks or cylindrical necks with funnel mouth. Fabrics 1 and 2, eggshell; decolorized.
P.H. bodies ca. 8, necks 3.5-9.
BS E 12, 1964 E74/S0-S1, next to back wall on floor, *96.50-96.25.
BASOR 177, 20.

485 Cylindrical neck (rim missing) spreading to body with rounded shoulder. Clear with green(?) tint; dirty fire scum.
P.H. 7, diam. neck 2.4.

486 Curved section of bottle (?). Greenish with yellow tint; iridescent, corroded.
Max. dim. 7, Th. 0.2.
BS E 19, 1965 E116.5-E121/S1-S3 *97.25-97.00.

P.H. 4; diam. at lower fracture 6.8, at neck fracture 2.7; Th. 0.1–0.2.
PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 237 and 518.

Type 2: Cylindrical Bottles

Only one fairly complete example of a cylindrical bottle with concave base, slightly bulging shoulder and conical neck was found at Sardis (488). However, many of the concave bases and conical necks as well as unknown quantities of small sherds from vessels similar to, or identical with, the type discussed here may be diagnostic of the frequency of this form.80

The estimated height of 488—a small section of the central body is missing, upper and lower portions do not join—is about 20 cm. It was found just south of BS E 12 and according to its excavator, J. S. Crawford, probably belongs to the late sixth or early seventh level.

A few concave bases with a curve toward the lower portion of an apparently cylindrical body could conceivably have belonged to this type, but because their reconstruction is very tentative, they have only been listed in the statistical tables. (Cf. esp. 524; about six come from BS E 13, others were excavated in the area east of Syn Fc, in BS E 12, BE-B, and BE-N).

Est. H. ca. 20; P.H. of upper portion and neck 14.3; H. neck 7.9, of lower portion 2.5; diam. base 7.
BS E 12 E71.50-E72.25/S4.50-S5.50 *96.00.

Type 3: Conical Necks and Type 4: Conical Necks Tapering Upward

Bottle necks which range from conical to cylindrical to upward-tapering and are generally made of fabrics 1 and 281 were no doubt originally from bottles with ovoid, oval, spherical, or cylindrical bodies (476–

80. Fragmentary bottles with slightly conical or cylindrical neck of which at least the upper portion of the body was cylindrical were found in Auja Hafir; they seem to be 5th–7th C. (preserved in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford; cf. also Harden, Nessana, 86ff.). Cylindrical bottles with conical neck: W. Martini, “Samos-Stadt: Römische Thermen,” Aeg 1975 no. 1:42, fig. 31, found with coins of A.D. 600-620 and 650-670. For an earlier phase of this type, having a short neck with a broad, folded rim cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 9, 45 (here PL 25). Pattern-molded, ribbed bottles of cylindrical shape and with funnel mouth were found in Jeleme, 2nd half 4th C.: Goldstein, pls. 21ff.

Those with conical wall tapering downward seem to have been most numerous. Over a hundred were found in the Syn and B area and more came to light in most of the Byzantine Shops, proof that these bottles were very common in Sardis.

A slender bottle neck (489) from BE-H was found with various fragments, including 482 and a similar but more conical neck of thick glass (similar to 515), concave bases of bottles and ampullae, and plain rims, all certainly of Early Byzantine date.

Other conical or almost conical necks were found in places where much Byzantine material came to light: PN, within an Early Byzantine context (490); Pa-S (491); Syn Fc (492 and 493); BE-H (494), many in BS E 13 (495); W of B (496), found together with ring bases, cf. 401-444, plain rims, and necks with folded edges; and BS W 13 (497).

A neck which tapers upward (499)—much rarer than those tapering downward—was also found in BS E 13.

489 Conical neck with thickened rim. Clear, green tint (fabric 2?).
  P.H. 7, diam. 3.
  P.H. 5.5, diam. rim 3.1.
  PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 481 and 464.
  491 Conical neck and part of shoulder. Fabric 1.
  P.H. 7, diam. bottom of neck 2.8.
  Pa-S 1966 E43-E45/N20-N29 *96.50-96.00.
  492 One-half plain rim and slightly conical neck. Fabric 1.
  H. neck 3.8, diam. lower neck 1.6, est. diam. rim 2.8, Th. 0.15.
  Syn Fc 1963 E101-E105/N1.20-N3 *97.50-97.00.
  493 Sections of bottle necks: cylindrical and slightly conical, one with folded rim. Fabric 1.
  Syn Fc 1963 E113-E115/N2-N6 *97.50-96.80.
  494 Part of cylindrical (?) neck and shoulder of bottle. Fabric 2.
  Max. diam. 4.
  BE-H 1964 E10-E15/N75-N78 *97.00-96.50.
  495 Bottle necks.
  BS E 13, 1962 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

  P.H. 8, diam. rim 0.4.
  BS W 13 W54-W57/S2.00/S4.40 *95.50-95.00.

498 Conical neck with sloping shoulder. Fabric 1.
  P.H. 9.0, diam. rim 3.5.
  BS 1969 W56-W58/N3-N7 *96.80.

499 Section of lower neck tapering upward. Fabric 2.
  P.H. 9.2, diam. 3-4.5, Th. 0.15–0.2.
  BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S9 *96.70-96.20.

Type 5: Neck with Bowl-Shaped Orifice

Another type of neck is represented by two portions of upper necks of bottles (500 and 501) which consists of a cylindrical lower part and an upper portion which flares and then turns toward the rim in a bowl-like fashion.82 The first was found in Building B but the exact findspot is unknown; the provenance of the other is unknown. Both may have belonged to cylindrical or globular bottles certainly of Early Byzantine date. A number of cylindrical necks with their upper portions missing—recorded here only statistically—may have belonged to this type.

500 Upper part of cylindrical bottle neck with funnel mouth and folded rim. Fabric 3, badly made.
  Diam. rim 5.

  P.H. 6.5, diam. rim 4.8, diam. neck 2.8.
  Chance find 1961.

Type 6: Wide Funnel Necks

A variant of the foregoing type is a short funnel neck, partly conical (i.e. straight-sided) and partly flaring (i.e. slightly waisted). Again the original bottle shape must remain unknown although it is likely that it was cylindrical.

A few of the necks come from BS. One, 502, was found in BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50). A more conical

82. This neck seems to represent a variant of the funnel-like upper portion of the neck discussed in 476–487. Cf. also, for example, Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 42:20, illustrating a post-Roman bottle with a neck that is a cross between the funnel-shaped and bowl-like upper portion of the necks found in Sardis.
necks (503) from BS E 16, came together with devitrified, cullet-like lumps, tesserae, and a fractured rod or stick (676; see BASOR 174, 45). 505 was found with a ring base of the same fabric (434). A funnel neck from LNH 1 (506), the fabric of which is similar to a beaker lamp of type 4 (290), may be datable to the fifth or sixth century (BASOR 187, 57–58).

502 Pl. 26. Funnel neck flaring to thickened rim and spreading to rounded shoulder (of cylindrical or spherical body). Fabric 1.
P.H. 6, diam. rim 3.5.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

503 Conical neck curving to almost horizontal shoulder (of cylindrical body?). Fabric 1.
BS E 16, 1963, to *96.40. Found with 676.

P.H. 3.5, diam. rim 4.8.
E of Syn 1963 E122.5-E123/N5-N6 *97.30-96.75.

505 Slightly irregular shape. Fabric 3.
E of BS E 19, 1963 E122.5-E123/N5-N6 *97.30-96.75.
Found with 434.

506 Pl. 26. Funnel neck with infolded rim, curving to shoulder. Clear with green tint; bubbly, black spots. Fabric different from other Early Byzantine fabrics, relatively carelessly made.
LNH 1, 1966 E40.40-E44.83/N103.26-N110.25 *96.50-96.30.

507 Neck flaring to slightly in-turned rim. Fabric 2.
Diam. rim 5.

P.H. 6.5; diam. rim 3.1.

Type 7: Globular Bottles with Wide Neck

Bottles with an ovoid almost spherical body and wide, conical neck are represented by an almost completely preserved example and a few fragmentary vessels and large sherds. This shape seems to have also been made with added thread decoration (cf. 622–632).

The complete bottle, 509, comes from a grave (72.2) below the floor of the sunken area in the south aisle of Church E at PN (BASOR 215, 33–37) and should probably be dated to the very late fourth or fifth century (BASOR 215, 33); other sherds from similar vessels found at Sardis as well as comparative material 83 seem to be of later date, i.e. fifth to sixth century. Another, more fragmentary piece, 510, from the latrine, is perhaps datable to the sixth or early seventh century (BASOR 157, 34–35). A very similar bottle section, 511, was found with Early Byzantine material—fragments of ovoid and cylindrical bottles, remains of goblets, window panes etc.—in the drain along the east wall of BE-H (BASOR 177, 23) and is datable to the time after the early fifth century.

Another fragment, 512, excavated in the area east of Syn Fc, is certainly fifth or sixth century (BASOR 177, 19); much glass came to light close by, including fragments of a beaker, of goblets, lamps, ring bases (cf. 401–444), and pattern-molded ware. The section of a large bottle, 513, of the type under discussion has, unfortunately, no provenance.

Two bottle necks, 515 and 516, very similar to those just described, but of relatively thick glass, may have come from identical or at least very similar bottles. This seems to be confirmed by the angle of inclination of the wall of the neck and the estimated diameter of the rims, about 7 cm. Found in 1959 they come from BS and are certainly of Early Byzantine date.

H. 7.7, diam. rim 7.5, body 7.1.
PN/E grave 72.2 (below the floor) under skull *89.50, see BASOR 211, 18; 215, 33–37. (On p. 33 of BASOR 215 the inventory number is incorrectly listed as G72.2:8181).

P.H. 7.3, max. diam. 6.3.
BE-H latrine W51-W52/N4-N11 *97.00-96.00.
AJA 66, 11, no. 14, pls. 7:17, 10:14.

83. A close parallel: Martini (supra n. 80) no. 1:42, fig. 31, found with coins of A.D. 600–620 and 650–670. For a neck which probably formed part of a similar bottle and is datable to the 6th C. or later cf. Harden (supra n. 81) 63, no. 25, fig. 37. For related late and post-Roman bottles with wide, conical neck cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 5, 7, 23. Cf. also Langdon and Harden (supra n. 50) fig. 5, nos. 29ff. (5th–6th C.). An early Islamic example with higher body than the bottle from Sardis, datable perhaps to the 7th or 8th C. cf. C. J. Lamm, “Les verres trouvés à Suse.” Syria 12 (1931) pl. 75:1. For another Islamic example, although with added handle, cf. Saldern, Slg. Henrich, no. 393.
Early Byzantine Glass


512 One half of wide, conical neck curving to shoulder. Fabric 3. P.H. 3.6, diam. lower neck 2.7, Th. 0.2. E of Syn Fc 1964 E128-E130/N4-N6 *97.00-96.75.


514 Section of upper body of bottle. Fabric 3. P.H. 4.2, est. diam. 5.8, Th. 0.15. E of BS E 19, 1963 E122.5-E123/S8.5-S8.6 *97.30-96.75.

515 Upper portions of conical bottle necks. Fabric 1, thick. P.H. up to 4.5, est. diam. ca. 7. BS W 13, 1959 W53-W56/S1.50-S5.25 *98.00-96.75.

516 BS E 5, 1959 E35-E40/S4 *98.50-97.00.

Type 8: Bottles with Constriction below Wide Rim

A single neck fragment has a wide, folded rim with a constriction below; the body may have been spheri- cal or oval-ovoid (517).44 More sherds of this bottle type are no doubt among the finds but could not be identified. The stratification is uncertain; it comes from the late Roman- Early Byzantine bath (BASOR 166, 18) at PN but its general appearance—shape, fabric 1 etc.—is more in keeping with Byzantine than late Roman Imperial glass; and a knobbed goblet stem was found nearby at a slightly lower level. It might bedatable to the fifth century.

517 Pl. 27. Rounded shoulder of spherical(? ) bowl (or globular or cylindrical bottle), infoled rim. Fabric 1. P.H. 5.5, est. diam. rim 8, Th. 0.2. PN 1961 W250/S370 *98.58.

Type 9: Bottles with Bulging Body

This group is represented here by only one example, 518; others may not have been recognized. According to the wall section recorded here the vessel had a body with pronounced bulge and a wide neck, the lower part of which tapers upward. Found in the bath at PN with other Early Byzantine material such as a fragmented, pattern-molded bowl lamp (237) and a conical neck of a bottle (487), it is probably of fifth century date (BASOR 166, 17).

518 Pl. 27. G61.23:3775. Wall fragment. P. dim. 5.5, est. diam. 8, Th. 0.15. PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 237 and 487.

Type 10: Bottles with Inner Constriction at Lower Neck

The shape of these bottles is identical to that of pattern-molded bottles, 643–646: an ovoid body with concave base and neck tapering upward. The most characteristic feature is an inner constriction or dia- phragm at the lower neck at the point where the neck joins the shoulder, preventing quick evaporation of the liquid. This diaphragm, which generally has an opening of about 0.3 to 0.7 cm. in diameter, was fash-ioned before the neck was drawn out and shaped.88

As only the bottle sections with the constriction were recorded a safe reconstruction of the entire ves- sel is most difficult. The most diagnostic section of such a vessel is the thick part of the wall where body, neck, and diaphragm form a unit. As such fragments are easily recognizable, we assume that not many have been left unnoticed. As only relatively few of them were recorded, this type does not appear to have been common at Sardis, perhaps due to the difficulty of manufacture.

The most complete example, 519, has a neck which measures, up to its fracture, 9.5 cm.; entire necks must have extended to 10 to 15 cm. A complete bottle appears to have measured about 20 to 30 cm., equal in height to the pattern-molded examples (cf. 643–646).

All five specimens recorded were found in BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50–51). This shop, rich in glass of all kinds, seems to have been in use particularly in the sixth century (BASOR 174, 45). One fragment, 521, was found together with the portion of a similar, pattern-molded bottle, 643. The last one, 523, was discovered in 1967 when exploring a drain leading from

84. For bottles of this type, already popular in late Roman glass, cf. esp. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pl. 6 et passim.

85. Parallel pieces are difficult to identify both in publications without profile drawings and in museums, where, if one cannot handle the objects in question one cannot tell whether or not they have diaphragms. Constrictions at the lower neck with an inner dia- phragm were common in Near Eastern pear-shaped bottles particularly of the 3rd–5th C.; cf. Clairmont, nos. 487ff., pi. 12; Smith Coll., nos. 312ff. For late and post-Roman material, esp. bottles with narrow, cylindrical neck and constriction cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 41–42.
Among the bases most common in ancient glass are

Type 11: Concave Bases

519  Pb. 14, 27. G62.11:4487. Shoulder and neck of bottle. Rounded shoulder, neck tapering upward, diaphragm at lower neck int.; mended. Fabric 1. P.H. 11, of neck 9.5; diam. neck 3.8–4.5, of opening in diaphragm 0.5; Th. 0.2–0.3. BS E 13 E77/S2.80 *96.60-96.50.

520  One-half of lower neck. Fabric 1. P.H. 5; diam. lower neck 4, of opening in diaphragm 0.5; Th. 0.2–0.4. BS E 13, 1962 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

521  Lower part of neck; fire-softened. Fabric 2. P.H. 6; diam. 4.2, of opening in diaphragm 0.3; Th. 0.2–0.3. BS E 13, 1963.

522  Lower neck and portion of shoulder, similar to 519 but with double-walled diaphragm. Fabric 1; dirty fire scum. P.H. 4. BS E 13, 1962 E76-E77/S2.80, yellow fill, *96.60-96.50.

523  Lower part of neck. Fabric 2; heavily corroded. P.H. 4; diam. 3.6, of opening in diaphragm 0.7; Th. 0.2–0.3. BS E 13, 1967 E75-E78/S0-S2. *95.36.

BS northward under Syn and Pa (BASOR 191, 29). At a certain time, perhaps within the sixth century, BS E 13 may have specialized in selling constricted bottles or even specialized in selling a certain liquid which was available in such bottles.

Vessel bases that show no wheel-engraved lines come from various areas within the Syn and B complex and from HoB. It is conceivable that some of these bases, especially those discovered in upper or unstratified levels at HoB, might be late Roman. 528 is from BS E 12, 529 from Syn Fc (found with a ring base, cf. 401–444, and cullet). A base from the top level of the Lydian Trench at HoB (530), is perhaps datable because it was found with a definitely Byzantine ring base (cf. 401–444) in fabric 3 glass and various rim fragments of typically Early Byzantine ware. Two others, 532 (found with a lamp base of type 3) and 533, come from Pa-W. Discovered with bottle necks with spiral thread (616) at BE-H. 534 seems to have been part of a cylindrical bottle. 535 and 536 were found at HoB and they are either late Roman or Early Byzantine.

A series of relatively flat bases that curve gently upward are reminiscent of bulbous bottles with similar bases (cf. 509–516). They come from the fill under the sidewalk in front of BS E 14 (537), BS E 13 (538), Pa-S (539), the area west of unit L at PN (540), either late Roman or Byzantine; BASOR 174, 20ff., east of Syn (541), B-W N area (542), and Byzantine graves at

86. For an approximately contemporary series of concave bases from Jerash cf. Baur-Kraeling, 527ff., fig. 23. For a series of 8th C. bases cf. Negro Ponzi (supra n. 52) 86, nos. 81ff.

87. Isings, nos. 51, 106.
Early Byzantine Glass

HoB, (543). 541 and 543 were found with ring bases (cf. 401–444, esp. 437) and lamps of type 3. 544 comes from a tank in a late Roman or—more likely—Early Byzantine context in PN.

**Concave Bases**

524  One fourth of base curving to cylindrical(?) lower part of vessel; one faint engraved line 2 cm. above base. Fabric 1. P.H. 2.9, est. diam. 9. BE-B 1966 E16-E18/N8-N9 *97.00-96.50.

525  One half of base. Yellowish-green. P.H. 2, est. diam. 5.5. BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.


527  Part of base. Fabric 1. Est. diam. 5.7. MTE 1964 *112.50.

528  Lower part of probably cylindrical bottle or breaker(?). Fabric 1(?); dirty fire scum. P.H. 2.3, diam. 4.8. BS E 12, 1964 E70-E75/S1-S3 *98.25-98.00.


530  Fabric 2. HoB 1961 E0/E90-S95 *100.40-100.10.

531  Fabric 1. Diam. 5. MTE 1964 N end to *111.00.

532  Fabric 4. Diam. 5. Pa-W 1967 E36.80-E43.80/N95.70-N104 *99.80-96.36.

533  Fabric 1. Diam. 4.5. Pa-W 1964 E33.50-E35/N57-N58.50 *92.82-92.62.


537  Fabric 1. Diam. 6. RTE 1962, fill under sidewalk in front of BS E 13, *93.70.

538  Fabric 2, very thick. Diam. ca. 10, Th. to 0.9. BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S5 *96.70-96.20.

539  Fabric 1. Diam. 6. Pa-S 1965 E80-E82/N20.8-N23.9 *95.45.

540  Pl. 27. Dirty fire scum. Est. diam. 9. PN 1963 W250/S340 *87.50-87.00.

541  Fabric 2; black scum, fire-twisted. Diam. ca. 10. E of Syn 1963 E117-E118.9/S1-N2 *97.50-96.75.

542  Fabric 1. Diam. ca. 7. B-W N Area 1966 W29-W30.5/N89.7-N90.3 *95.80-95.40.


544  Pl. 27. Fabric 4; dark scum. Diam. ca. 5.5. PN 1963, W230-W234/S347-S350, tank b 1–2 *87.65-87.25.

545  Fabric 1. Diam. 8. HoB unit 18 1959 E30/S60 *96.50-96.25.

**Type 12: Thick-Walled Fragments**

Plain and pattern-molded bottles were made in thin or medium thick as well as in very thick glass, the latter generally fabric 1. A few sections from the body of thick-walled vessels should receive particular attention as this heavy glass seems to have been the exception in Sardis. The shape of the original vessels is unknown as the sherds are too small for reconstruction. One fragment comes from east of Syn Fc (546), two
Bottles

others were found at Pa (547 and 548); all are no
doubt of Early Byzantine date.

546  E of Syn 1963 E117-E119/N5-N6.70 *96.75.

547  Pa-S 1966 E40-E43.50/N27-N30 *97.00-96.00.

548  Pa-S 1965 E92-E93/N25 *97.00.

Type 13: Tube-Shaped Miniature Bottles

Perhaps the most inconspicuous vessels found in
Sardis are small, tube-shaped vials and miniature am-
pullae of varying size (cf. 560-571). Tube-shaped
bottles of similar form are rare in late Roman glass.

88  Vessels almost identical in shape to those found in
Sardis continued to be made after the seventh cen-
tury; they were fairly frequent in late and post-medi-
eval periods in the West.

The Sardis excavations yielded no complete speci-
men; in each case the upper section is missing. By
analogy with other vessels, however, the cylindrical
bottles almost certainly had a neck of slightly concave
profile flaring to a plain lip. The lower portion of
such a bottle (549) was among the finds in BS E 13
(BASOR 170, 50). A second piece (550) comes from
the Early Byzantine level of BE-H (BASOR 166,
46ff.). The lower portion of a third bottle (551) from
MTE was unstratified but was found with glass mostly
datable to the Early Byzantine period, including gob-
let feet, ring bases (cf. 401-444), remains of lamps
e tc. A fourth example (552), found at PN, may be
Early or Middle Byzantine (BASOR 177, 3). In addi-
tion, another fragment (553) was found in grave 67.3
at PN and may be either very late Roman Imperial or
Early Byzantine (BASOR 191, 11).

A series of lower bodies of probably tubular bottles
have concave or pushed-up bases; they either con-
beonged to bottles of the type just discussed, or to very
similar vessels. While two (554 and 555) are unstrat-
ified, three others are securely dated within the Early
Byzantine period. 556 comes from Syn (BASOR 174,
30ff.); with it was found part of the bottom of a tur-
quoise blue glass bowl (392). One of the very few pieces
made of blue glass (fabric 6) is 557, found in BS E 13.

558 was excavated in BS E 15 with the base of a bowl
of greenish glass (BASOR 170, 51). The upper neck
and out-turned, folded rim of a small bottle (559) may
have been part of a cylindrical bottle. It comes from W
of B and should be associated with the Early Byzantine
level although a later, Middle Byzantine date is con-
ceivable. Among the fragments found with it are

sections of a salver (381), a cup base (cf. 401–444), and
a bottle (496; BASOR 191, 38).

Bases of Cylindrical Bottles

549  Pl. 27. Cylindrical, pushed-up base. Fabric 1.
P.H. 1.8, diam. 2.7.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

550  Fabric 2(?); heavy scum.
P.H. 2.2, diam. 1.5.
BE-H 1961 E15-E20/N70-N80 *97.50-96.00.

551  Fabric 2(?); heavy scum, dirt.
P.H. 1.4, diam. 2.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

552  Pl. 27. Cylindrical with pushed-up base; mended.
Fabric 2(?); corroded, heavy scum.
P.H. 3, diam. 2.5.

553  Pl. 27. Fabric 2; thick, devitrified, white scum.
P.H. 2.2, Th. 0.5.

Concave Bases

554  Pl. 27. Green, thin; iridescent, brown scum.
P.H. 1, diam. 3.8.
Chance find 1961.

555  Fabric 4; slight weathering.
Diam. 3.5.
AcT 1962 W0/N22 *404.20-403.90.

556  Eggshell; scum, corroded.
Diam. 2.3.
Syn MH 1963 E55-E56/N5-N6.35 *95.00-96.00. Found with
392.

557  Fabric 6 (blue), eggshell.
Est. diam. 2.3.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S8 *96.70-96.20.

558  Fabric 1; thick.
Diam. 2.5.
BS E 15, 1962 E87-E90/S1.5-S3.5 *95.00-94.00, fill under
floor.

88  Cf., for example, the material assembled by Barag, “Glass
Vessels,” passim; Harden, Karanis, no. 696, pl. 19. Tube-shaped
bottles of Sassanian date, i.e. ca. 4th–6th C., were found in Ctesi-
phon (preserved in The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York,
Dept. of Ancient Near Eastern Art).
Early Byzantine Glass

559 Pl. 27. Three fourths of cylindrical neck with folded out-turned rim. Fabric 1.
P.H. 1.5, diam. 3.5.
W of B 1967 trench C W100-W102.70/N12.20-N14.20 *96.80-96.25. Found with 381 and 496.

Type 14: Conical and Spherical Miniature Bottles

The bottle form of this group is a short, conical body having a concave base, a long, tube-like neck, and, generally, a folded rim. The prototype can be found in numerous bottles of Roman date. Among the best preserved examples at Sardis is a bottle from BS W 2 (560) which does not appear to be well stratified but is certainly either very late Roman or Early Byzantine. The lower portion of an identical piece (561) is unquestionably Early Byzantine as it comes from BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50). A third example, 562, with a more bulbous body, comes from BE-A (BASOR 187, 10–12, designated Unit A'). The following examples have smaller, more rudimentary bodies and even longer necks. From BS W 1, 563 is datable to the fifth or sixth century (BASOR 154, 16–18). A well-preserved example, 565, having a bulbous body and a flaring upper neck, comes from the upper level at BE-CC. However, it has all the appearance of Early, rather than Middle, Byzantine glass (BASOR 187, 56–57). Among the other glass finds in this area were fragments of salvers, bowls, cups, and beakers, all datable to a period after the early fifth century.

A series of bottle necks that are likely to come from identical or very similar bottles are so fragmentary that it is difficult to assign them, by their shape alone, to a specific period. A bottle neck (566) from UT could perhaps be associated with fifth century material according to the excavator, G. Metraux, although it is not precisely stratified. However, among the glass finds nearby were sections of vessels with facet-cut decoration and with warts (cf. 62–76 and 81–90) of late Roman date (BASOR 157, 19ff.). 567 was found in LNH 1 with fragmentary beaker lamps and is certainly Byzantine.

Closely related miniature bottles include a short bottle of concave, tube-like shape (568) from BS W 8, certainly Early Byzantine (BASOR 157, 32–33) and a tiny, pear-shaped bottle (569) from the neighboring BS W 9. A small vial with spherical body (570) may belong to the time just before the date assigned to the mosaic floor in BE-B which appears to have been a work of the fourth or fifth century (BASOR 187, 16). A bottle neck with spiral thread (cf. 607–621) was found close by at about the same level and one should link them with the other numerous Early Byzantine finds from this room.

Most interesting is a small vial with flattened, oval body in a tube-shaped bronze casing or wrapper (571). It has been suggested that vessels enclosed in such a metal tube might have been “curse” bottles. Found in Pa-S at the stylobate level, it certainly belongs to the fifth or sixth century (BASOR 191, 28–29).

H. 5.7, diam. base 3.
BS W 2, ca. 2.20–2.30 m. below top of wall, level III.
Hanfmann, JGS 53, n.10.

561 Lower body; pushed-up base curving to pear-shaped or conical body. Fabric 2; eggshell.
Diam. base 3.5.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S9 *96.70-96.20.

H. 6.6, diam. 2.4.
BE-A E12.32/N0.90 *96.80.

H. 6.5, diam. base 1.5.
BS W 1, over level II, floor 2, fill.
Hanfmann, JGS, 53, fig. 3.

H. 7, diam. 1.7.
RTE E20-E22/S6-S8, in fill over sidewalk, *97.25-96.75.

H. 5.7, diam. 2.3.
BE-CC E32.92/N99.90 *98.

566 Neck with infolded rim. Fabric 5.
P.H. 5, diam. rim 1.3.
UT 1959 E90/S210 *123.45-122.75.

Rims of Vessels

567 Pl. 27. Cylindrical neck with infolded rim spreading at bottom to body. Fabric 5.
P.H. 5.7, diam. rim 1.7.
LNH 1 1968 E40.40-E44.83/N103.26-N110.25, SW corner trench. *96.80-96.00.

H. 6.5, diam. 2.
BS W 8 W22-W24/S5.25-S6.25 *97.80-97.00.

H. 4.7, diam. 1.2.
BS W9 W27-W29/S3 *97.75-96.75.

P.H. 3.4, diam. 2.5.
BE-B E17.62/N7.56 *96.52.

571 Pl. 15. G67.6:7444. Bottle found in tube-shaped bronze wrapper; irregular, slightly flattened, oval body tapering to neck with infolded rim. Fabric 2; bubbly.
H. 4.2, diam. 1.4.
Pa-S E38.21/N28.77 *96.41.

RIMS OF VESSELS

A large percentage of the rims excavated within an Early Byzantine context are plain, generally having a slightly thickened (fire polished) edge. The degree of inclination and the estimated diameter of the rim often serves as the only means for a hypothetical reconstruction. For example, a rim of fabric 1 glass with a fairly low degree of inclination and an estimated diameter of over 10 cm. could have belonged to a salver (377–381). An identically formed rim section of which the degree of inclination is fairly steep and the estimated diameter ranges around 6 to 8 cm. may have been part of a goblet. On the other hand, similar rims with larger diameters (8–10 cm.) could also have belonged to bowls (cf. 382 and 383), or other vessels.

In this catalogue those rim sections that could be assigned to a type are included under that category. Those from vessels of unknown form which warrant special attention are grouped together here. However, at least some of them probably formed part of vessels already referred to in previous chapters.

The rim sections represent only a sampling of the total number of vessel rims found which, although left uncatalogued, have been incorporated in the statistics. They also may serve as indication that the variety of forms of the glass discovered in Sardis may have been considerably greater than a cursory survey of the preserved and reconstructed vessels illustrated in the plates would suggest.

Plain Vessel Rims

Most frequent are plain rims of slightly conical vessels or vessel necks. Rim sections of small diameters could be from conical bottle necks (cf. 476–487). Those with estimated diameters of ca. 6 to 8 cm. may have been part of goblets (cf. 300–373) while diameters of over ca. 8 cm. seem to indicate that the rims must have been part of bottles with wide necks or of bowls (cf. 509–516 and 382 and 383).

Of the rim sections with an estimated diameter of about 8 cm. (572 and 573), 572 seems to be a late intrusion in a Hellenistic level (BASOR 170, 10). 573 comes from BE-B and, although apparently from below *96.60, should be datable to the fifth century (BASOR 187, 16, designated Unit B’). The rim section of a vessel with steep walls, 574, perhaps a large bottle, is unquestionably Early Byzantine as it was found in the drain of RTE together with the remains of quantities of goblets, plain and threaded bottles, and windows (BASOR 170, 38). Another fragment with the same profile but of thicker glass, 575, is unstratified but was found with portions of a lamp of type 3 and two slightly concave bases of Early Byzantine bottles (BASOR 177, 14–15).

A number of other rim fragments (576 and 577) were found in BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50) and other areas around Syn. A fragment, 578, with an estimated diameter of 14 cm. was probably part of a bowl; the excavator dates it to the sixth or early seventh century.

The rim of a rather shallow vessel, 579, is unstratified (BASOR 182, 15ff.), but the color of the glass (fabric 3) and the fact that it was found with the base ring of a large dish (470) leaves no doubt that it is post-Roman. The degree of inclination suggests it might have been part of a salver, but as its estimated diameter is only 7 cm. and its thickness considerable, the rim may have formed part of a small, shallow bowl.

582 is from a vessel with slightly convex wall which turns upward at the lip, a bowl or a goblet. It is certainly Byzantine; goblet feet and window fragments were found with it (BASOR 170, 49). The fragment, 581, was part of a vessel which flared slightly at the rim—probably a goblet or a bowl. Its Early Byzantine date is certain as it comes from BS W 4 (BASOR 154,
16–17). 582 has a fairly wide opening above a waisted part that seems to represent a wide neck, and comes from an unstratified level at HoB. However, as the base of a thick cup, 186, and the curved section of a bowl, 201, of typically Roman form were found with it, its Byzantine date is open.

Another vessel type appears to be represented by a rim section with an estimated diameter of only 3 cm. (583). It is conceivable that it was a bottle rim with slightly convex lip. The fragment can probably be associated with the fifth to sixth century at Syn (BASOR 182, 40).

Among the other fragments with slightly convex wall and an edge turning upward or inward are two sherds, one from BS E 17, 584, and the other from BE-S, 585 (cf. 592). Close to the first fragment were found other rim sections, including folded rims and remains of pattern-molded ribbed ware (BASOR 174, 45). The second sherd belongs to the many Early Byzantine glass finds from BE-S where remains of goblets, ring bases (cf. 401–444), lamps of type 3 etc., but also some Roman glass. The rim seems to be post-Roman, however.

A third sherd, 591, of very similar shape and fabric, comes from an unstratified layer at MTE with much predominantly Byzantine material, including the remains of goblets, ring bases (cf. 401–444), lamps of type 3 etc., but also some Roman glass. The rim seems to be post-Roman, however.

572 Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 8.0, Th. 1.5.

573 One half of rim, crudely made, large bubbles. Fabric 1.
P.H. 5.6, est. diam. 7, Th. 0.1.
BE-B 1966 E16.5-E18.5/N4-N8.7 *96.30-96.10.

574 Almost vertical wall. Fabric 2; eggshell.
P.H. 2.5, est. diam. 8, max. dim. 7.
RTE 1962 drain *95.00.

575 Pl. 27. One half of almost vertical rim wall. Fabric 1; thick.
P.H. 5.2, est. diam. 4.5, Th. 0.3.

576 Pl. 27. Two rims, Fabric 1.
Est. diams. 5.5 and 8, Th. 0.2.
BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.

577 Pl. 27. Fabric 1; badly made.
Est. diam. 5, Th. 0.25.
BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.

578 G59.21a:1328. Fabric 1.
Est. diam. ca. 14.
HoB area 11.
AJA 66, 10, no. 11a, pl. 9:11a.

579 Pl. 27. Rim section of shallow vessel. Fabric 3; thick.
P.H. 5.7, est. diam. 7, Th. 0.15–0.2.
HoB 1965 upper mixed fill, *102.40-100.50. Found with 470.

580 Pl. 27. Rim section of slightly convex vessel. Fabric 1; eggshell.
P.H. 5.2, est. diam. 9.
RTW 1962 E30-E35/S34 *96.00.

581 G59.16:1262. Slightly flaring rim section. Fabric 1; eggshell.
Est. diam. ca. 9.
BS W 4 *98.00-97.50.
AJA 66, 11, no. 11b, pl. 9:11b.

582 One half of flaring rim tapering to waisted neck. Fabric 3.
P.H. 3.7, est. diam. 8, diam. at neck 4.
Rims of Vessels


583 Slightly convex rim section Fabric 2. Est. diam. 3, Th. 0.2. Syn 1965 E90-E93/N1.5-N3 *95.60-95.50.

584 Pl. 27. One third of rim of slightly convex upper part of vessel. Fabric 1; eggshell, iridescent, white scaling scum. P.H. 6.5, est. diam. 9. BS E 17, 1963 subfloor *96.40-95.80.

585 Rim section of probably conical vessel with edge turned inward. Fabric 1; heavy scum. Est. diam. 8, Th. 1.5. BE-S 1965 ca. E25.75/N27.50 *96.10.

586 Pl. 27. One fourth of slightly flaring rim, edge turned upward and thickened. Fabric 1, eggshell. P.H. 4.7, est. diam. 7. BS E 1966 E16.5-E18.5/N4-N8.7 *96.30-96.10.

587 Small rim fragment, slightly convex. Fabric 6 (blue). P.H. 1.2, Th. 0.1. Unit W of BS W 13, 1966 W59-W63/S3-S6 down from *97.00-96.50.

588 Small fragment with curvature, perhaps from bottom of bottle. Fabric 6? (deep blue). P.H. 1.5, Th. 0.1-0.2 (at bottom). Syn Fc 1963 E110-E115/N1.5-N6 *97.50-97.25.


590 Pl. 27. One third of short, flaring rim above constricted neck. Probably fabric 1. Max. dim. 7.2, est. diam. 9, Th. 1. BE-B 1966 E16.50-E18.50/N4-N8.70 *96.40-96.10.

591 Pl. 27. Short, curved rim above constricted neck. Fabric 1; corroded, iridescent, brown scum. Max. dim. 5, est. diam. 7, Th. 1. MTE 1964 E60-E65/S149-S153 *110.00-109.50.

Folded Rims of Vessels

Vessels with rims folded inward appear to have been less frequent than those with plain rims. The rim fragment of a bowl or cup with conical wall and a folded rim turned inward (592, cf. 584 and 585) comes from BE-C and may be datable to a period after the early fifth century (BASOR 187, 19–20; designated unit C). In the same room were found other rim fragments and vessel sections probably of bowl lamps of type 2. The most numerous series of folded rims comes from vessels with walls flaring or conical at top, similar to those mentioned above (cf. 581). Of two recorded here, 593 comes from near BS W 2 and is probably of sixth century date; this shop contained much glass, including the remains of goblets and windows (BASOR 154, 18). The other sherd (594) was found in BS E 5 (BASOR 191, 17–18) together with several fragments of glass vessels, including part of a vessel wall with an applied, straight thread. The material found there is probably contemporary with that of the neighboring shops and thus datable to the fifth or sixth century.

Vessel rims with estimated diameters of ca. 6 or 7 cm. seem to have been part of small bowls or bottles with conical and funnel necks. Several are recorded (595–597) from BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50). Coins found at the same level of RT as 598 suggest it is probably of a date in the early seventh century (BASOR 166, 43–44).

Of rims with slightly flaring walls and estimated diameters of 14 to 18 cm., 602 comes from BS E 17 and was found with a handle of a bowl lamp (264) and the remains of goblets, pattern-molded vessels etc. 600 and 601 are unstratified as they come from AcT where they may be associated with the first Byzantine occupation in the sixth century (BASOR 170, 31–32).

The rim of a bowl with vertical, straight walls at the upper section (603) was excavated in BS E 16, an area rich in Byzantine glass, namely the remains of lamps, salvers, bottles, and cups as well as cullet and tesserae (BASOR 174, 45). Probably from a bottle or vase, 604 is from the upper level of the Lydian Trench at HoB and seems to be Early Byzantine rather than Roman Imperial, judging by its color (fabric 1) and its—admittedly hypothetical—shape, namely a globular vessel with wide neck (BASOR 166, 5ff.). A deformed conical neck (605) comes from Syn Porch where numerous fragments of Early Byzantine glass came to light: parts of beakers, lamps, goblets, cups etc.

Finally, the folded rim of a shallow vessel, probably a plate or salver (606) with an estimated diameter of 11 cm., was found at LNH; it may be contemporary with the destruction of the Middle Byzantine furnace. This rim is curious in that it is pinched at regular intervals to form air traps. It is possible that this rim is of a period succeeding the Early Byzantine occupation level, Islamic or Middle Byzantine.

592 Conical wall with folded rim turned inward. Decolorized, black scum. P.H. 5, est. diam. 7, Th. 0.1-0.2. BE-C 1966 E18-E19/N11.7-N12.5 to *96.15.
**Early Byzantine Glass**

**593** G58.95:1048. Portion of flaring wall and folded rim with wall fractured at lower end where it turns toward the vertical. Fabric 2, thick.
Est. diam. 11.5, Th. to 0.45.
S of BS W 2 *96.50.
_AJA_ 66, 11, no. 17, pl. 10:17.

**594** _Pl. 27_. One sixth of vessel (bowl?) with slightly flaring wall, folded rim. Probably fabric 1.
P.H. 4.5, est. diam. 9, Th. 0.1.
BS E 5, 1967 E34-E36/S3-S5 *97.20-97.00.

**595** _Pl. 27_. Conical wall with one third of heavy, folded rim. Fabric 1, thick.
P.H. 4, est. diam. 7, Th. to 0.3.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E80/S0-S3.5 to *96.50 floor.

**596** Same as 595, three fourths preserved. Dirty fire scum.
Est. diam. 6, Th. 0.35.
BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40-96.10.

**597** One half section of rim similar to 595. Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 7.5, Th. 0.85.
BS E 13, 1962 E75-E76/S2-S9 *97.60-96.20.

**598** Small section of folded rim with conical or flaring wall. Fabric 3.
Max. dim. 3.5, est. diam. 12.
RT 1961 E2-E9/S28-S31 *97.30-96.50.

**599** Similar to 594. Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 14.
_AcT_ 1962 E6-E10/N19-N20, level I b, unit 6, near E-W wall, *403.00-402.40.

**600** Similar to 599. Eggshell.
Est. diam. 14.
_AcT_ 1962 E6-E9/N17-N18 *402.50-401.00.

**601** Identical portions of rims in fabric 2.
Est. diam. 18.
Same provenance as 600.

**602** Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 18.
BS E 17, 1963 E97-E101/S1-S4, clearing window *96.60-95.90.

**603** _Pl. 27_. One fifth of folded rim with vertical wall. Possibly a large, deep bowl. Fabric 2.
Max. dim. 6.5, est. diam. 20, Th. to 0.1.
BS E 16, 1963 E92-E96/S0-S2 *97.50-97.25.

**604** _Pl. 27_. One fourth of conical neck flaring at bottom to shoulder(?) of vessel. Neck double-walled because upper portion was infolded, air trap at rim. Fabric 1, thick.
P.H. 6.3, est. diam. 12, Th. 0.2-0.4.
_HoB_ 1961 E5/S95-S100 to *100.40.

**605** Conical (deformed) neck with folded rim. Fabric 2; fire-twisted.
P.H. 5, est. diam. 8-10, Th. to 0.25.
_Syn Porch_ 1963 E117-E119/N5-N6.70 *96.75.

**606** _Pl. 27_. One fifth of rim, fractured at wall, pinched at regular intervals to form air traps. Probably yellowish; brilliant iridescence.
P.L. 5, est. diam. 11, W. 0.5, Th. to 0.1.
_LNH_ 1, 1968 E44.83-E46/N116.50-N121.01 *97.00-96.30.

**VESSELS WITH THREAD DECORATION**

**Threaded Necks**

Of the numerous fragments decorated with applied threads, the most common type is a bottle with applied spiral thread wound around its conical neck. Some of the neck sections are complete down to the point where the neck curves to the shoulder; however, none of the body sections of such a bottle could be identified.

The neck generally has a plain rim and seems to have measured about 6 to 9 cm. to the shoulder; the diameter of the rim varies from 2.2 to 3.0 cm. A thin spiral thread is applied to the upper neck. Judging by parallel examples found at other sites, the body of this bottle type was oval or ovoid, i.e. wider at the shoulder, with a gentle curve toward the base which certainly was concave, very similar to plain bottles (476-487). A large number of concave bases of which some are catalogued (cf. 524-545) may have belonged to bottles with threaded necks. Accordingly, the bottles must have reached a height of ca. 12 to 18 cm. The fabric, often difficult to identify because of heavy weathering or exposure to fire, appears to have been predominantly greenish (fabric 2).

The bottle derives from late Roman Imperial bottles which often have either conical necks or cylindrical necks with a wider, funnel-shaped orifice. Close parallels to the Sardis bottles are afforded by vessels found at Khirbet el-Kerak, datable to the early fifth to early seventh century,90 Jerash,91 and other late and post-Roman sites.92

90. Delougaz-Haines, pl. 59:1, 3.
91. Baur-Kraeling, 533ff., fig. 31 (decorated mostly with threads of blue glass).
92. Cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pls. 5, 11 (here _Pl. 25_), 14, 27, 29, 42ff (Pl. 43, here _Pl. 25_). Other sites where bottles of this type were found include Beth Shan (ca. 4th C.; FitzGerald, 42, pl. 39); Jeleme (2nd half 4th C.; Perrot [supra n. 77] 258.3, fig. 3a; Goldstein, pl.
Almost all necks recorded come from safely dated levels of the Early Byzantine period; they are usually intermixed with other, typically Early Byzantine glass datable to the early fifth to early seventh century. Within this period the basic form does not seem to have been subject to change. Two examples (607 and 608) belong to levels of the early fifth to early seventh century. A few others (609–611) were found above the mosaic floor at Pa-W and appear to be of the fifth or sixth century (BASOR 191, 33); other finds in this area include goblet stems, a salver foot, the base of a cylindrical (?) bottle similar to 528 as well as plain bottle necks.

While a find from HoB, 612, is not stratified (BASOR 182, 15–16), another neck, 613, was found among the fallen columns between BE-H and MC (BASOR 177, 21–23). As it was found above the opus sectile floor in MC it may be dated after the fifth century. A third find, 614, from W of B certainly belongs to the period before the destruction in A.D. 616.

Other bottle necks include three from BE-S (615; BASOR 182, 30–31) and BE-H (616), datable to the time after the renovation in the late fifth century (BASOR 177, 21–23); with the latter came portions of pattern-molded ware. A multitude of vessel fragments belong to the same period, including many necks (617); they were found in the debris of Pa-W (BASOR 162, 40–42) among which are included the remains of goblets, plain vessel rims, and concave bases of bottles; some of the latter perhaps were parts of bottles with threaded necks. Similar material, including a neck (618), was also found at MRd (BASOR 166, 40). 619 comes from BE-B where quantities of Early Byzantine glass were found; although part of a slightly lower level (*96.40), it certainly must belong to the other, post-Roman glass. Finally a neck from PN (620) no doubt belongs to the period of the Early Byzantine burials (BASOR 191, 11).

607 G58.83:1009.
HoB surface.
Hanffmann, JGS, 53.

608 G58.68:865.
BS W 3 level II, fill below floor 1.
Hanffmann, JGS, 53.

Pa-W 1967 E36.80-E43.80/N95.70-N104 *99.86-98.36.

610 H. ca. 8.
Pa-W 1967 E35.85/N95.70 *97.65.

611 H. 8.5.
Pa-W 1967 E36.80-E43.80/N95.70-N104 *99.86-98.36.

P.H. 9.1, H. neck 8.

613 H. of neck 6.
BE-H 1964 E10-E14/N68-N71 *97.00-96.11.

614 H. of neck 7.
W of B 1967 W107/N23.50 *96.76.

615 BE-S 1965 E18-E20/N35-N37 *96.30.

616 Two necks.
H. 6 and 7.
BE-H 1964 E11-E12/N42-N44 *96.50-96.00.

617 Many fragments of necks.
Pa-W 1960 E33-E35/N38-N42 *97.00-96.25.


619 BE-B 1966 E22.30/N9-N9.5 *96.40.


621 Group of conical and almost cylindrical bottle necks (diam. 3–6). Mostly clear; dark scum. Fragments from a globular bottle with conical neck; white spiral thread decoration (P.H. 7, diam. 2.3). Found with 508, the rim of a lamp of type 1 and goblet feet.

Wide-Necked and Related Vessels

A bottle type identical or very similar to bulbous, wide-necked vessels (cf. 509–516) but having added thread decoration is represented by a few fragments. The diameter of the upper neck varies between 3 and 6 cm.; in comparison 509 has a rim with a diameter of 7.5 cm. The neck sections recorded here could, however, also have formed part of lamps with three handles of a type found at Saqqara which likewise

20); Shavei Zion (5th–6th C.; Barag, Shavei Zion, 65ff., nos. 4ff., fig. 16, here Pl. 25); Kharjih (6th C.; cf. Harden, ArchJ, fig. 1:1, J). For an example of a spherical, pattern-molded, ribbed bottle of the 5th or 6th C., having a cylindrical neck with a narrow lower and wider upper portion with spiral thread cf. Victoria and Albert Museum, London, inv. no. C 12-1939. For Islamic examples of similar shape cf. Hama (probably 9th C.; Riis [supra n. 52] 60, figs. 173–174.)
Early Byzantine Glass

The identification of 622 as a lamp is supported by the fact that it was found with a handle (263) of a type characteristic for bowl lamps. They were found in BS E 16 and are unquestionably of the fifth to sixth century (BASOR 174, 45); among the glass from this shop are the remains of lamps, bottles, vials, salvers as well as tesserae. The exact findspot of an almost identical neck section (623) is unknown but Early Byzantine glass was found inside the large drain along the east wall of BE-H at N42, where the piece appears to have been found. Moreover, a section of a vase-like bottle, probably of very similar shape to the original vessel of which 623 was part, appears to have been discovered in its immediate neighborhood. Various broken goblets, cylindrical bottles, ampullae, concave vessel bases, and windows were part of the finds from this location, all probably dating from the fifth century (BASOR 177, 23ff).

Neck section 624 differs from the foregoing examples in that the thread decoration was more carefully applied and the body wall appears to have spread at a wider angle. It comes from an area at PN that contained Lydian structures (BASOR 177, 4, 6). Although not well stratified, its shape and fabric determine that it is certainly Early Byzantine. Sections of two other necks (625) are safely datable to the fifth or sixth century as they were excavated in BE-B where Early Byzantine glass was plentiful (BASOR 187, 15-16).

The following fragments are too small to contribute to the reconstruction of the original vessels. The first (626) has no provenance but appears to be Early Byzantine. The second (627) comes from the upper fill of Syn MH and probably belongs to the Early Byzantine period (BASOR 174, 30ff.). This piece only shows the sections of two threads; they either were part of a more widely spaced spiral thread, or they represent two (or perhaps more) single threads wound around the vessel's body. 628 has a single thread; it comes from BS E 1 where coins ranging from Arcadius and Honorius (A.D. 395-408) to Phocas (602-610) and remains of salvers, lamps, bottles, and window panes were found (BASOR 191, 17).

Another small vessel fragment, 629, with two threads laid crosswise one on top of the other, is from BS E 13 (BASOR 170, 50). Two applied threads running parallel to each other appear on a tiny fragment, 630, that comes from the area east of Syn Fc and is datable to the fifth or sixth century (BASOR 174, 47).

A small fragment found in 1959, 631 has an applied spiral thread of red glass and it appears to have been part of an Early Byzantine (or Islamic?) vessel.

Finally, a vessel fragment with a portion of a thread that may have been applied in a zigzag fashion (632) was found in BS E 12, a room rich in glass finds of Early Byzantine date (BASOR 177, 19-20).

622 Pl. 27. Portion of relatively wide, conical neck curving to shoulder; narrow spiral thread. Fabric 1. P.H. 3.5, diam. at upper fracture 4, Th. 0.15. BS E 16, 1963 E92-E96/S0-S2 *97.25-96.50. Found with 263.

623 Similar to 622. P.H. 2.4, diam. at upper fracture 3.2. BE-H 1964 niche on E wall E12.60/N42.5 *97.60, floor level.

624 Pl. 27. G64.9:6558. Conical mouth curving to body tapering upwards; fine spiral thread around mouth (now mostly lost). Fabric 2. P.H. 3.2, diam. rim 3.7. PN W240-W247/S352-S353 *88.20-87.65.

625 Portions of 2 necks; conical with spiral thread. Fabric 2. P.H. 3.5 and 4, est. diam. rim 6, Th. 1. BE-B 1966 E24-E25.5/N4-N6 *96.80-96.40.

626 Probably portion of shoulder; fine spiral thread. Fabric 2; dirty fire scum. P.H. 5.5, Th. 0.1. Chance find.

627 Slightly curved section of bottle(?) body; two applied spiral(?) threads. Fabric 2. Max. dim. 2.5, Th. 0.15-0.25. Syn MH 1963 E60-E80/N5-N10, upper fill *98.50-97.75.

628 Slightly curved portion of body (of bottle?); applied thread. Fabric 1; iridescent, dirty fire scum. P.H. 6, Th. 0.1. BS E 1, 1967 E6-E8/S0-S3 *97.05.

629 Pl. 27. Slightly curved section of vessel; two applied threads crossing one another. Probably fabric 1; dirty fire scum. Max. dim. 5, Th. 0.15-0.2. BS E 13, 1962 E76-E79/S2.5-S3.5 *96.40.

630 Small, slightly curved portion of vessel; two applied threads. Fabric 2; heavily corroded. Max. dim. 2.5, Th. 0.1. E of Syn Fc 1963 E116.8-E118/N4-N6 *97.25-96.25.

93. Crowfoot-Harden, 205, pl. 30:46, citing J. E. Quibell, Excavations at Saqarra: 1905-1906 I (Cairo 1907) 30, pl. 34 ("late levels; Byzantine").
Thread Decoration

631  Pl. 15. Portion of neck(?) of bottle(?); applied red spiral thread. Fabric 2?
Max. dim. 1.5.

632  Applied blue thread of which an L-shaped section is preserved. Fabric 2.
Max. dim. 4.
BS E 12, 1964 E73-E74/S1-S2.5 *97.50-96.50.

Vessels with a Heavy Thread below Rim

A series of rim fragments of relatively thick glass have a heavy, single thread applied below the edge. The vessel walls show different angles of inclination, from about 45° to 75°. With the exception of one rim, their estimated diameters are 8 to 9 cm. It is as yet not possible to reconstruct the original vessel or vessels to which the rims belonged. We may assume, however, that all come from vessels of similar proportions and shape, perhaps from bottles with globular body, cylindrical neck, and flaring rim. Although most of the rims are unstratified they seem to be datable to the Early Byzantine period although some might be of late Roman Imperial date.

The only securely dated piece (633) comes from BS E 19; it belongs to the few glass finds from this unit which include the remains of a goblet (type 1d) and four beaker lamps of type 4 (BASOR 174, 46). The other rim sections either come from upper, not safely datable levels at HoB (634–636), or their origin is unknown (637–639). Of these pieces, only one (636) has a smaller diameter and, therefore, might conceivably have belonged to the upper part of a more narrow bottle neck; with it were found other vessel fragments, the date of which cannot be determined as they are nondescript.

Other rim fragments of unknown provenance, including a series of specimens left uncatalogued, appear to be closely related to 633–639. 639 shows the remains of what seems to have been a striated handle. Should this be correct one might perhaps associate rims of this particular type with late Roman Imperial bottles (177–184). The whole group listed in this chapter might, therefore, be dated to the late fourth or fifth century; an almost imperceptible change of style defies a clear distinction between late fourth and early fifth century glass.

634  Pl. 27. One fifth of rim, flaring wall. Fabric 1.
Est. diam. 9, max. dim. 4, Th. to 0.4.
HoB 1962 E0/S115 to *102.00.

635  One sixth of rim, conical wall. Fabric 2; black scum.
Est. diam. 8, P.H. 3.8, Th. 0.25.
HoB 1961 no grid to *102.00.

636  One half of rim, flaring wall. Fabric 2; black scum, iridescent.
Est. diam. 5.8, max. dim. 5.5, Th. 0.2.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S150-S155 *110.00-109.50.

637  One fifth of rim, flaring wall. Below heavy thread a lighter thread; object well made. Fabric 3; black scum.
Est. diam. 8, P.H. 3.3, Th. 0.3.
Chance find 1961.

638  One half of rim; at int. a tooled groove along where outer thread is applied; object well made. Fabric 2.
Est. diam. 8, P.H. 3.3, Th. 0.3.
Chance find 1961.

639  Pl. 27. Almost whole rim with section of upper neck, wall conical to flaring; remains of upper handle(?) with faint striations. Fabric 1.
Diam. 8.
Chance find 1961.

Vessels with Inlaid Thread Decoration

Only two fragments show thread decoration flush with the surface. Because of the rarity of this type in Sardis they could very well be imports. They belong to the relatively numerous group of vessels with sunken, often combed thread decoration that are associated with Islamic glassmaking. There is no doubt, however, that at least one of the pieces is of Early Byzantine date, indicating that thread decoration marvered into the surface must have been made in the Near East prior to the Islamic period.

The dated sherd, 640, is very small; the shape of the original vessel cannot be determined. Judging by

94. For bottles and jugs with heavy thread below rim cf. Isings, nos. 102, 120ff. For a bottle of the shape described cf. Barag, “Glass Vessels,” pl. 9. For similar bottle necks with applied thread cf. the finds from Jeleme, 2nd half 4th C: Goldstein, pl. 13. Identical neck fragments from Italy with heavy thread below the rim cf. Fingerlein et al., fig. 13:1.
95. Cf. for example, Lamm, Mittelalterl. Gläser, pls. 29–32; the objects listed in this volume are mostly of 9th–11th C. date. Riis (supra n. 52) 62ff.
96. Cf. a series of late and post-Roman vessels with combed thread decoration that is marvered into the surface: Saldern et al., Slg. Oppenländer, no. 388 (listing related pieces).
the level of BE-N where it was found it dates from a period prior to the destruction of Sardis in A.D. 616 (BASOR 187, 57); among the other glass finds from this unit are fragments of vessels of typically Early Byzantine form as well as tesserae.

The other fragment (641), of blue glass with white threads, is also too small to allow a reconstruction. It comes from an area occupied by a “Roman funerary precinct” the graves of which seem to date from the fourth or fifth century (BASOR 191, 10ff.). However, the object might also be an Early Byzantine intrusion.

640 Pl. 15. Rim fragment. Greenish; yellow threads marvered into the surface (spiral pattern?). Max. dim. 1, Th. 0.1.
BE-N 1966 E25-E28/N92-N98 *96.60.

641 Pl. 15. Body fragment. Blue; white threads marvered into the surface in spiral pattern. Corroded, decolorized. Max. dim. 3, Th. 0.25.

642 Pl. 15. G59.49a:1885. Apparently bottom section of greenish vessel with inlaid red stripes. Fabric 2?
2.4 by 1.6.
BSH, test pit in middle of the hall, N16-N19.0/W6.50-W10.50 *96.60-92.60.

VESSELS WITH MOLD-BLOWN RIBBED DECORATION

Mold-blown—more correctly pattern-molded—glass is represented in Sardis by ware with the most simple and most common motif, namely ribbing. This pattern was achieved by inflating a paraison in a ribbed mold and, after removal, expanding it so that the gather could be twisted to create spiral ribs. Mold-blown and pattern-molded glass with ribbing is frequent in late Roman and Islamic times. Recent finds of Sassanian glass contemporary with late Roman material also include ribbed vessels although they are different in appearance.

In Sardis, pattern molding occurs predominantly on two vessel forms: bowl lamps (237–273) and, more frequently, bottles with or without constriction (476–487, 519–523). Most of the sherds listed below seem to represent ovoid bottles with necks that may have tapered upward (647–649); a few conical necks (650 and 651) could also have belonged to this series.

One fragment (653) was part of a bottle with bulbous body and wide neck while another (652) represents a cylindrical bottle. The variety of vessel shapes with mold-blown decoration must have been greater, however, than these and a few other sherds seem to indicate (654–656).

Bottles with Inner Constriction

Bottles with inner constriction having a diaphragm at the lower neck were made in plain (cf. 519–523) and ribbed ware. The largest preserved ribbed fragment (643) is 8 cm. high; this height seems to represent approximately one third of the body and one third or one half of the neck. Thus the height of the complete bottle was about 20 cm., a size in keeping with that of the plain bottles with constriction. The body was ovoid rather than oval (the diameter of the shoulder is larger than that of the central body); the base of such a bottle was no doubt concave while the neck either had a plain or folded lip. The ribbed pattern is most strongly pronounced at the shoulder (where the gather was not expanded), showing the actual relief of the ribs in the mold. The ridge sometimes visible on the top of the shoulder was caused by the insertion of the gather into the mold.

643 was found at the west side of BS E 13 which was particularly rich in glass and contained almost all vessel types found in Sardis, including remains of plain bottles with constriction (519–523, esp. 521). A similar fragment (644) comes from an area at HoB where Early Byzantine material, including goblets, was discovered (BASOR 157, 26; AJA 66, 11, n.35). A smaller fragment (645) is from the area east of Syn Fc (BASOR 174, 45) where the only section of a plain bottle with constriction not found in BS E 13 was discovered.

The last of the neck-and-shoulder sections was excavated in the bath at PN (646) together with sherds of a knobbed goblet, a bowl lamp of type 2, and plain bottles (481 and 490); according to the numerous coins found in the bath, the fragments seem to belong to a period after the rebuilding of the establishment, perhaps under Theodosius II (A.D. 408–450), i.e. the fifth century or slightly later.

98. Lamm, Mittelalterl. Gläser, pl. 8. For an 11th–12th C. cf. Davidson, Corinth XII no. 812, fig. 18.
Mold-Blown Ribbed Decoration

643 Pls. 15, 28. Rounded shoulder, neck tapering upward; at int. of lower neck a constriction with diaphragm; mended. Body at shoulder vertically ribbed, with pronounced grooves at shoulder; ribs on body running to upper 1. Fabric 2, thick. P.H. 8, diam. lower neck 3.5, Th. 0.2. BS E 13, 1963.

644 G59.5:1189. Two shoulder fragments. Similar to 643. HoB unit 11, fill *100.00-99.80. AJA 66, 1, n.35, pi. 7:19.

645 G63.13:5309. Fabric 2; black scum. Similar to 643. Max. dim. 4.5. Syn Porch E117/N0-S0 *98.00-97.75.

646 G61.21:3773. Pinched(?) depressions around shoulder from which begin ribs that are almost unrecognizable; cylindrical lower neck. Pale olive (fabric 3?). P.H. 10, est. diam. bottle ca. 14, diam. neck 3.2. PN W265/S360 *88.45-88.33. Found with 481 and 490.

Bottles with Ovoid Body

A group of bottles with ovoid body and probably conical neck must have been identical or very similar to plain bottles with ovoid body (476–487). Although only one complete body was found (647)—various other sherds were too small to allow a reconstruction—a number of conical necks seem to have belonged to this vessel type. According to the evidence of the preserved body and the necks, such a bottle may have measured about 12 to 15 cm.; it had a concave base, an ovoid body and a conical neck with plain rim. The fabrics most frequently used were fabric 1 and a light yellowish green glass. The complete body, unfortunately without neck (647), comes from an Early Byzantine level of the sixth or early seventh century (BASOR 157, 35–38; AJA 66, 11, no. 15). A bottle neck (648) which no doubt belonged to a vessel such as 647 was part of a large group of glass fragments, of which two thirds represent windows and one third vessels of typically Early Byzantine style, found in a drain at BS E 13. As the diameter of the rim is particularly large, ca. 7 cm., the original vessel must have been larger than 647. A similar neck section (649) was excavated together with a series of about 75 Early Byzantine vessel fragments, nondescript in appearance, all made in fabric 1; they were found in Syn Porch where many coins from Constantine I to Justinian came to light (BASOR 174, 47). In addition, this room contained quantities of fragments of goblets, lamps etc. as well as pieces of cullet and glass cake.

Another neck (650) is datable within fairly narrow limits: it comes from the hemispherical recess at the southwest corner of Pa where a gold tremissis of Maurice (A.D. 582–602) and nine coins of Constans II (641–651) were discovered (Sardis M1 [1971] no. 547 and p. 155, Hoard II).

A neck with an exceptionally large diameter is listed here although it may have been part of another bottle type (651); found with a footed bowl (382), its provenance is unknown.


650 Pl. 28. One half of rim and upper, conical neck; ribs running almost horizontally to upper 1. Fabric 1, eggshell. P.H. 2.5, est. diam. 9. Pa 1966 E33.60-E37.25/N16.5-N19 to *96.80.

651 Group of fragments of conical necks, some joining; ribs running to upper 1. Fabric 1, eggshell. Est. diam. 10. BS 1963, exact findspot unknown.

Cylindrical Bottles

Only one fragment (652) appears to belong to a cylindrical bottle, but one or more of the ribbed sherds too small to allow reconstruction may have been part of such a bottle. 652, which may be assigned to the first phase of Byzantine occupation at AcT, was associated with coins of Maurice (A.D. 582–602) although a later dating is possible (BASOR 170, 31–33; Sardis M1 [1971] no. 651).

652 Lower portion with flat base; pattern-molded with ribs to upper r. Fabric 1. P.H. 3.6, diam. 4.5, Th. 0.2. AcT 1962 E10-E16/N17-N20, level 1b. unit 7, *403.57-403.37.
Globular Bottles with Wide Neck

A bottle with wide neck and perhaps an oval body is apparently represented by 653; its reconstruction is practically impossible as neither the upper neck nor the lower body are preserved. However, the original piece may have been similar to plain bottles with wide neck (cf. 509–516). The fragment comes from BE-E where window fragments of fabric 1 and a marble head reworked in the fifth or sixth century were found, suggesting its Early Byzantine date (BASOR 177, 25; Sardis R2 [1978] no. 94 with earlier references).

653 Pl. 15. Small fragment of (upper part?) of vessel slightly tapering upwards, with rim (?) bent outward; ribs run vertically. Fabric 1. Max. dim. 3.8, Th. 0.1.
BE 1964 E11-E13/N27-N32 *97.00-96.50.

Miscellaneous Vessels

The following fragments were part of vessels the exact shape of which must remain unknown until more complete parallel pieces are excavated. The fragment of a ribbed vessel (654) is certainly of Early Byzantine date (BASOR 154, 16–18); it may represent the type of wide-necked bottle referred to in the foregoing (cf. 653). The pattern-molded, ribbed, Middle Byzantine vessels found in Corinth to which this piece has been compared were certainly made the same way and the vessel shapes may have been very similar.

While a series of pattern-molded fragments from two bottles (?) and one bowl (655), found at BSH inside the south apse, may belong to the late Roman or Early Byzantine periods (BASOR 154, 13–16), another group of fragments (656) from the northeast corner of BE-S probably belongs to the early fifth century (BASOR 162, 40–43). The latter group was found with a multitude of typically Early Byzantine vessel fragments: threaded bottle necks (cf. 607–621), lamp handles, goblet stems, concave bases of vessels, and plain vessel rims. There is a strong probability that at least some of the fragments (656) come from cylindrical bottles (cf. 652).

654 G58.47:612. ‘Short neck going without break into vertically fluted (ribbed) body’ (recorder). Fabric 1.
BS W 1. *97.30-96.60.
Hahnmann, JGS, 53.

655 G58.74:879. Two joined fragments of glass bowl with horizontal ribs. Silvery gray green patina (according to excavation records).

656 Vessel fragments; pattern-molded ribs. Fabric 1?
BE-S 1960 E33-E35/N38-N42 *97.00-96.25.

MILLEFIORI GLASS

At Sardis, finds of millefiori glass are relatively rare. While millefiori and mosaic glass was comparatively frequent in late Hellenistic and early Roman times, its manufacture became the exception rather than the rule in the late and post-Roman period. It was not before the ninth century that Islamic glassmakers rejuvenated this technique to make vessels as well as small panels for wall decoration. The Sardis finds include ten fragments, eight of which were part of flat plaques and one or two belonged to vessels. They all seem to be imports; if they had been made locally, more objects in this technique would have been found. The plaques may have been used as wall decoration embedded in plaster.

The glass is green with white circular canes, perhaps an indication that all of the pieces have a common provenance; three fragments of plaques have yellow canes (664–666). As this color combination and a pattern of circular canes are not only frequent in Roman Imperial glass but also occur, in slightly different form, in ninth century Islamic glass, there seems to be no way to date the Sardis finds on stylistic or technical grounds alone. According to the archaeo-

101. Davidson, Corinth XII nos. 780–784.
102. Cf. ibid., esp. no. 795.
103. For Hellenistic millefiori glass cf. A. Oliver, Jr., "Millefiori Glass in Classical Antiquity," JGS 10 (1968) 48–69. For early Roman millefiori vessels and plaques cf., for example, Saldern et al., Sig. Oppenländer, nos. 309ff. (with ref. to earlier literature).
104. Millefiori glass from Ctesiphon (ca, 5th–6th C.?): Clairmont (supra n. 59) 144, no. 5; a chunk of millefiori glass in red, turquoise-blue, white, yellow, and blue, perhaps datable to the same time, in the Metropolitan Museum, inv. no. 32.150.55. For Islamic millefiori glass, including vessels and tiles, which comes mainly from Samarra (9th C.) cf. Lamm, Samarra, 108–110, pls. 9, 12; Islamische Kunst, exhibition catalogue Museum für Islamische Kunst, Berlin (1967) no. 51, color pl. 1; cf. also the millefiori glass from Samarra in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London (inv. no. C.742-8-1922) and variously shaped inlays of clear glass. For Islamic millefiori glass without provenance cf. Smith Coll., nos. 481ff.; Clairmont (supra n. 59) 144–145, no. 6.
logical data, most of the pieces appear to belong to the Early Byzantine period. If this proves to be correct, one is led to conclude that somewhere within the Byzantine realm one or more workshops continued to make millefiori glass in the Roman tradition, serving as a link between late Roman and early Islamic manufacture of this material. With the exception of 663–666, the finds come from the area of the Gymnasium.

A piece (657) found at the wall behind the apse of the Synagogue at the northeast corner of BE-B, may be datable to very late Roman Imperial times or to a period after A.D. 400. From the same location comes an unstratified plaque section (658). A section of a similar plaque (659), from a dump at BE-B, is also unstratified. Of approximately equal size and thickness is a fragment from an area east of Syn (660). Other sections of the same millefiori glass were excavated in BS E 18 (661; the shop is dated to the sixth century), together with pieces of blue tesserae or cullet (711), and east of Syn Fc (662) where much Early Byzantine glass came to light.

Fragment 663 perhaps formed part of the rim of a hemispherical bowl, a form particularly popular in late Hellenistic and early Roman times. Although not stratified, this fragment appears to be later: the green color and the circular canes link it with millefiori glass of Early Byzantine date found in Sardis. Three additional fragments of plaques (664–666) from the Synagogue could be either Roman or Early Byzantine.

657 Pl. 16. Part of plaque (wall decoration?). Green with white circular canes. Max. dim. 2.5, Th. 1. Syn 1966 E31.50/N8.00, on wall behind apse.


659 Underside of plaque has irregular surface and looks as if lumps were added. Probably green with white circular canes; dirty, decolorized. Max. dim. 4, Th. 0.9. BE-B 1966, in dump ca. E30/N1 (probably from Syn).

660 Irregular section of plaque. Green with white circular canes. 2.3 by 2.5, Th. 1.1. E of Syn 1968 E117.5-E120/N23-N27 *97.50-97.12.

661 Small fractured section of a plaque. Similar to 658 and 659. Max. dim. 2.5, Th. 1. BS E 18, 1965 E105-E109/S0-S5 *97.50-97.00.

662 Pl. 16. G63.11:5302. Underside of rim(?) section of plaque, very irregular and lumpy (probably used as wall decoration). Green with irregular white circular canes; decolorized; mended. 9.5 by 4.5, Th. 0.6–0.9. E of Syn E117-E121/N2-N4 *96.75-96.25.

663 Pl. 16. Small rim section and another fragment of shallow (or almost hemispherical?) bowl. Green with white circular canes. Max. dim. 2.5, Th. 0.3. HoB 1962 W23/S93, brown earth.

664 Pl. 28. Probably part of inlay plaque. Pattern on back side irregular and “squashed.” Blue with yellow (?) circular canes; corroded. Max. dim. 6.3, Th. 0.8–0.9. Syn 1965, with fallen skoultosis fragments, unstratified.


666 Pl. 28. Portion of plaque, perhaps from same piece as 665. Max. dim. 3.7, Th. 0.13.

STAMPS

Eight glass stamps with Christian and Jewish symbols were either used as merchandise marks, weights, tokens, tickets, amulets, or they were attached to vessels (cf. 673).105 They are circular, having an average diameter of 2 to 3 cm., and show on their obverse a symbol—for example a cross or a menorah, often combined with letters—stamped onto the surface while the glass was still hot.

Stamps dating from the Early Byzantine period have been found predominantly in Egypt, Syria, Crete, and Cyprus while finds from Asia Minor seem to have been the exception.106 Most of them are issues of the reigns of Justin I (A.D. 518–527) and Justinian (527–565), a period to which the stamps found in Sardis can also be assigned.107 Whether or not they

105. For their use cf. Harden, Karanis, 297ff.
are of local origin cannot be decided at present. The glass, of various shades—particularly aquamarine and pale green—could very well be linked to the fabrics manufactured in Sardis, namely fabrics 1 and 2.

All of the finds come from the Gymnasium area, particularly from RT, Fc, and Pa. At least four of them are Christian while at least one (674) is Jewish.

The first (667) probably has the chi-rho sign; it comes from east of Fc where coins of the late fourth to sixth century as well as much Byzantine glass and tesserae were found. Another stamp (668) shows a cross and the letters NPEA; it comes from BS E 14 where it was found together with two other stamps (669 and 670; BASOR 170, 50). One of these pieces has a cross-like symbol with its bars terminating in double lines and V-shaped motifs; the design on the other is unidentifiable.

A stamp with cross and letters (671) comes from RTW where it was found with fragments of Byzantine window panes. A stamp from Pa-W no doubt belongs to a fifth to sixth century level (672); it bears a monogram in the form of a large N, each bar terminating in letters. A stamp with a C and an inverted V, found at Syn Fc (673) is exceptional in that it is attached to the rim of a conical bowl or a bottle with conical neck. Stratigraphic evidence suggests a date of perhaps the late fourth or fifth century. The last stamp listed here (674) shows a menorah and the letters CN. It comes from Pa-S; window glass of Early Byzantine date was found in its immediate vicinity.

E of Syn E119.5-E121/N3.5-N4.5 *97.00-96.75.

BS E 14, E83/S2 *97.10. Found with 670.
Philippe, fig. 15.

Diam. 2.5.
BS E 14 E84/S2.30 *97.50-97.10.

Diam. 1.8.
BS E 14 E83/S2 *97.10. Found with stamp 668.

RODS

A few pins or rods were found in Early Byzantine levels. They may have been used as stirring rods (cf. 224–228). One rod (675), discovered together with a metal rod, comes from Syn Fc at a level after the reconstruction of about A.D. 400. 676, found with a conical bottle neck (503), was among the finds from BS E 16 where other Early Byzantine material came to light. The third rod (677) is equally well dated as it was found in BS E 13.

P.L. 7.7, diam. 0.8.
Syn Fc 1963 E105-E110/N1.20-N3.00 *97.50-97.00.

676 Pl. 16. Portion of slightly tapering rod with rounded end. Heavy silver iridescence.
P.H. 5.2.
BS E 16, 1963 to *96.40. Found with 503.

Diam. 1.8.
RTW E32/S29 *96.00-95.50.

672 Pl. 28. G67.2:7287. Circular with small section of vessel rim. Design: monogram with large N incorporating A (and O, Y?). Greenish?
Diam. 1.5.
Pa-W E33.80-E36.80/N92-N95.70 *97.70-97.50.

673 Pl. 28. G67.4:7315. One-quarter section of rim and upper part of conical neck (of bowl?). Circular stamp attached having bulgy edge. Design: monogram-like C with inverted V. Green tint, eggshell.
Est. diam. 6, max. dim. 3.5, diam. stamp 1.6.
Syn Fc E113-E114.55/N4-N10 *96.45-96.00.

Diam. 1.7.
Pa-S E73.14/N27.75 *96.56.
BASOR 191, 28, fig. 20.

108. For a similar stamp cf. Schlumberger (supra n. 106) no. 15.
109. For other stamps with large N cf. ibid., no. 34; M. Jungfleisch, “Les déniers et estampilles byzantins en verre de la Collection Froehner,” BIE 14 (1932) 235, 244, 246.
Great quantities of window glass were found in a given pane is glossy.

Apart from weathering, either side of 0.15 or 0.4 to 0.5 cm. thick, also of fabrics 1 and 3, are 0.35 cm. occurs very rarely. Panes clear glass do not necessarily exclude an Early Byzantine dating.

One of the few fragments of clear glass found in BS E 12, which may suggest that finds of (681) was found in BS W 14 together with remains of Byzantine vessels.

The glass appears to have been manufactured in the same workshops since identical fabrics—particularly fabric 1—were used both for flat and hollow wares. Two thirds to four fifths of the total number of finds are of aquamarine (fabric 1) glass; the balance comes in various shades of green, most of which is not unlike the bottle olive glass (fabric 3). One of the few fragments of clear glass (680) was found in BS E 12, which may suggest that finds of clear glass do not necessarily exclude an Early Byzantine dating.

The fragments have an average thickness of 0.2 cm.; a thickness of 0.35 cm. occurs very rarely. Panes 0.15 or 0.4 to 0.5 cm. thick, also of fabrics 1 and 3, are the exception. Apart from weathering, either side of a given pane is glossy.

The flat glass was made by blowing a cylinder, cutting it open and placing it on a flat surface. A number of fragments are preserved that show a smoothly rounded rim, either straight or slightly curved, which is evidence of this type of manufacture. After cooling, the entire piece was used as a window pane, or it was cut into smaller sections. Most panes seem to belong to what D. B. Harden called the “double-glossy” type; however, some fragments, for example from BS E 12 are of the “glossy-mat” type. The largest section from a window pane found in Sardis has a length of 32 cm. (681). Thus, panes as large as 30 by 40 cm. must have been made. The windows in BS were about 83 to 102 cm. in width while one grill measured 72.5 by 47.5 cm. It is as yet impossible to say whether fragments with slightly curving rims are indicative of arched windows. We are inclined to believe that those with curved edges—only very few of which have been recorded—were used as large circular or irregularly shaped panes.

“Bull’s eye” panes, made by the crown glass method—rotating the pontil until the gather flattens out and then removing it from the rod, leaving a pontil mark at the center—have not been found in an Early Byzantine context in Sardis.

Great quantities of window glass were found in Sardis. In fact, the total amount of sherds surpasses, in sheer weight, the total amount of fragments of glass vessels. Window glass sherds probably represent thousands of panes. Most of them come from the Byzantine Shops and the areas adjacent to the Synagogue and the Gymnasium.

The dating of practically all of the panes recorded is identical to that of the glass vessels, i.e. they were made between the early fifth and the early seventh centuries. The glass appears to have been manufactured in the same workshops since identical fabrics—particularly fabric 1—were used both for flat and hollow wares. Two thirds to four fifths of the total number of finds are of aquamarine (fabric 1) glass; the balance comes in various shades of green, most of which is not unlike the bottle olive glass (fabric 3). One of the few fragments of clear glass (680) was found in BS E 12, which may suggest that finds of clear glass do not necessarily exclude an Early Byzantine dating.

The fragments have an average thickness of 0.2 cm.; a thickness of 0.35 cm. occurs very rarely. Panes 0.15 or 0.4 to 0.5 cm. thick, also of fabrics 1 and 3, are the exception. Apart from weathering, either side of a given pane is glossy.

The flat glass was made by blowing a cylinder, cutting it open and placing it on a flat surface. A number of fragments are preserved that show a smoothly rounded rim, either straight or slightly curved, which is evidence of this type of manufacture. After cooling, the entire piece was used as a window pane, or it was cut into smaller sections. Most panes seem to belong to what D. B. Harden called the “double-glossy” type; however, some fragments, for example from BS E 12 are of the “glossy-mat” type. The largest section from a window pane found in Sardis has a length of 32 cm. (681). Thus, panes as large as 30 by 40 cm. must have been made. The windows in BS were about 83 to 102 cm. in width while one grill measured 72.5 by 47.5 cm. It is as yet impossible to say whether fragments with slightly curving rims are indicative of arched windows. We are inclined to believe that those with curved edges—only very few of which have been recorded—were used as large circular or irregularly shaped panes.

“Bull’s eye” panes, made by the crown glass method—rotating the pontil until the gather flattens out and then removing it from the rod, leaving a pontil mark at the center—have not been found in an Early Byzantine context in Sardis.
The panes were installed in windows with the help of lead strips having an average thickness of 0.3 cm. and bent twice at right angles to form a Z-like profile. The two terminal sections of such a strip have a length of about 2.2 to 2.5 cm. while the middle section is about 1.5 cm. long. Strips of this type appear to have been fastened by plaster to the window casing, and the glass panes were pushed against the strips and held in place by some kind of mechanical device (or plaster). Among the well-preserved strip sections is one from BS E 6 (Pl. 16.), datable before the early seventh century; other lumps of lead, perhaps molten strips, were found at the same location and in other shops (E 7 etc). Leading also comes from in front of BS E 8. It is difficult to explain why so much window glass was found in and near the Byzantine Shops; the number of windows in the shops themselves cannot account for the large quantity of panes. On the other hand, if the panes found were part of the windows of the Synagogue, an equal number of sherds should have been found around the entire structure. Thus, it seems likely that at least a portion of the fragments of flat glass from the Byzantine shops belonged to the stock of these establishments.

A listing of sample pieces should suffice to demonstrate the full range of characteristics of the window glass under discussion — sections with edges, color, size etc. Numerous fragments came from BS E 12 at a level of *98.25–98.00 where they were found together with goblet stems, bottle necks with spiral thread (cf. 607–621), remains of lamps, etc. About fifty pounds of fragments were collected in 1967 in BS E 6 at *97.48 to *96.90 (BASOR 191, 18, 20) where coins of Arcadius and Honorius (A.D. 395–408) and Heraclius (A.D. 610–616) came to light; a series of lead sections were excavated at the same spot.

680 Clear fragment with green tint. Th. 0.3. BS E 12, 1964 E70-E75/S1-S3 *98.25-98.00.
681 BS E 12, 1964 E70-E75/S1-S3 *98.25-98.00. G58.17A,B:469; G58.18:470. Fragments. Fabric 1 and green.
Max. dim. 32.
BS W 1, W3.25/S0-S3, level II.
Hanffmann, JGS, 52, fig. 1, left.

Sample of Window Fragment Findspots

A large proportion of all the fragments found come from these locations. A selection of fragments is illustrated on Pl. 16.

682 BE-A 1967 E6-E10/N0-N2 *97.35.

TESSERAE

Mosaic cubes or tesserae of glass were found in great quantities together with other Early Byzantine material. They were used as wall decoration. Accord-
Tesserae

Although archaeological evidence they do not appear to have been employed to cover a whole wall but rather seem to have served as decorative friezes, bands, and dividing lines within a large frescoed section (cf. BE-N; BASOR 187, 56). Tesserae found in Sardis that cannot be closely associated with Early Byzantine material may be of late Roman date; at least one, with gold foil, appears to date before A.D. 400.

The tesserae, knocked off with a hammer from glass “cakes,” were made in various colors. The majority is of a light, translucent green glass; blue, manufactured at least in three different shades, appears to have been less frequent. In addition, there is opaque black, purple, milky purple, dark red, three tints of yellow, transparent yellowish-green as well as almost clear glass. The clear material, generally slightly tinted green or yellow, was used almost exclusively for sandwiched gold leaf tesserae. The cubes vary in size from ca. 1.0 by 0.4 by 0.6 cm. to 1.0 by 1.2 by 1.1 cm. with median dimensions of 1.0 by 0.7 by 0.8 cm. While the majority of the tesserae were found as single cubes, divorced from their original context, a number came to light as fragmentary panel sections still set in their bedding. The glass tesserae, occasionally combined with stone cubes, were stuck side by side into the wet mortar which has an average depth of between 2.2 and 4.0 cm. The surface of the tesserae is about 0.8 cm. above the bedding (cf. BASOR 206, 20).

It has not yet been possible to reconstruct a complete design or pattern. Apparently, in most cases the cubes were assembled into geometric designs. L. J. Majewski recognized in at least one section, 700, part of a face (nose), proof that glass and stone tesserae were combined to form figurative scenes; this section has been assigned to the fifth century. Tesserae found in 1971 show that portions of the upper wall of the Synagogue were decorated with mosaics in arabesque patterns and with mosaic inscriptions (BASOR 206, 20).

The tesserae are generally found with the remains of Early Byzantine glass vessels of the types discussed in the foregoing sections. Particularly rich finds come from the Gymnasium (LNH, BE-H, etc.) but also from HoB, PN, and CG. They are relatively rare in the Byzantine Shops.

The abundance of finds suggests that the tesserae must have been made locally. However, as practically no remains of colored glass vessels came to light, one is led to assume that either there were special workshops producing colored glass for tesserae, or, less likely, one or more of the establishments were involved in two separate operations: one for hollow and flat glass, the other (with imported cullet?) for tesserae.

One of the major finds comes from LNH (700). It includes about sixteen fragmentary panel sections, each showing about twenty polychrome tesserae of glass and, occasionally, of stone. Remains of a series of lamps of type 4 were discovered at the same spot. As mentioned before, this group is datable to the fifth century (L. J. Majewski). A similar find comes from BE-N (701); according to the level (*98.15) it is apparently datable to a period close to the destruction of the building in A.D. 616 (BASOR 187, 56).

Another group find stems from the center of Syn (702); these cubes represent at least a dozen shades of color and seem to be of the late fourth or fifth century (BASOR 206, 20, 37ff.).

Among the other finds is a group of tesserae still in their bedding, found in BE-H (703). Another large group of cubes was found in BE-A (704); although not associated with a clearly defined level, they no doubt belong to the Early Byzantine period. From BE-H come many tesserae found in the fill covering a floor at *96.00 (705); they, too, are most likely of Byzantine date. A group, including tesserae in transparent clear glass with yellow tint, was discovered at BE-B (706) at a relatively high level; however, this area has no doubt been disturbed since the cubes should be datable to a period prior to A.D. 616.

Tesserae in clear glass with sandwiched gold leaf, very common in mosaics covering the walls of early Christian and Byzantine churches in Rome, Ravenna, and Constantinople, are quite rare in Sardis.119 They were made by facing the cube with gold leaf and, as a protective coat, fusing a thin layer of clear glass (0.1 cm. thick) to the top. As the manufacture of this type

118. Many post-Roman sites in the East have revealed glass tesserae which often seem not to have been included in the publications of the finds: see Harden, ArchJ, 83. For general remarks see Philippe, 23ff. Tesserae identical to those found in Sardis come from Jerash (5th–6th C.; Baur-Kraelig, 518), Bethany (5th C.; Saller, Bethany, 42–43, 326–327), Mount Nebo (5th C.; Saller, Moses, 211ff.), and other places.

119. An ingot or cake with sandwiched gold foil used to make mosaic cubes was found in Israel: Goldstein (supra n. 49) 129 (“late Roman/Early Byzantine”).
of tesserae is not difficult, their infrequent occurrence in Sardis may indicate that they were imported or they might be reused late Roman Imperial mosaics. One of them (707) comes from BE-B where it was found together with typically Early Byzantine glass; coins from this level date from the fourth to sixth century.

Fragmentary cubes of yellowish glass as well as with gold foil were discovered east of Syn Fc (708), a location rich in finds of Early Byzantine glass vessels and cullet.

Another gold foil cube comes from HoB (709); its level (*100.90–100.70) indicates that it may be of Roman (second to third century) date. Among the glass objects found in the same year in its immediate neighborhood, although at a higher level (*102.00), are sections of an early Roman pillar-molded bowl (33), a wart-like attachment of another bowl (90), and a bottle neck with spiral thread which appears to be Early Byzantine (612).

Three small tessera-like objects of blue glass, either cullet or used in mosaics, come from BS E 18 (711) where they were found with a section of a millefiori glass plaque (661). The material found in this shop is datable to the mid-sixth century.

700  *Pl. 17.* Group of tesserae in plaster bedding. Ca. 16 fragmentary sections, each with ca. 20 tesserae. Glass and stone mixed. Colors of glass: yellow, green (in at least 2 shades), blue, blackish, purple, red. One cube is clear with sandwiched gold foil. Many of the cubes have porous surfaces (caused by burning; yellow turning black). According to L. J. Majewski part of a face (nose) is recognizable in the design.

Tesserae: 0.6–1; Th. of bedding ca. 3–4 (2 kinds of bedding).

701  Group of tesserae in plaster bedding. Glass: blue, yellow, black(?).

Tesserae: 0.6–1.
BE-N 1966 E32.7/N93.2 *98.15.
*BASOR* 187, 56.

702  Group of tesserae in plaster bedding. Glass: black(?), dark red, 3 shades of blue, 3 shades of green, 3 shades of yellow, greenish and yellowish, clear. Stone tesserae in white, pink, and gray marble, black basalt, yellow and brown calcareous stone: mother-of-pearl tesserae.

Glass: 0.3–1; Th. bedding 3.
Syn MH 1971 E62.3–E63.2/N12.5–N13, in depression filled with brick and rubble.
*BASOR* 206, 20.

703  Group of tesserae in plaster bedding. Mainly blue and green cubes.

Tesserae: 0.6–1; Th. bedding 2.2, tesserae extend 0.8 above bedding.

704  Group of tesserae of various colors.
BE-A 1966 E7.50–E10.50/N0.90–N9.90.

705  Group of tesserae. Translucent and opaque blue and green, mixed with stone tesserae.
BE-H 1964 E5–E10/N64–N66 to *96.00.
*BASOR* 177, 23.

706  Group of tesserae. Various colors, including transparent yellowish.

707  *Pl. 17.* Greenish–olive covered with layer of yellowish glass; sandwiched gold leaf. 1.1 by 0.9 by 0.8, Th. upper layer 0.1.

708  Fragments of tesserae. Yellowish; gold foil.
E of Syn Fc 1963 E119.2/N2–N5 *97.75.

709  Clear; gold leaf applied on one surface, without protective layer (lost?).
0.8 by 1.2 by 0.9.
HoB 1965 W35–W37.50/S113–S118 to *100.90–100.70.

710  Bottle green (fabric 4?), bubbly. Covered with clear layer with green tint; sandwiched gold leaf (covering layer has come off).
0.6 by 1 by 0.6.
Chance find 1964.

711  Three objects (cullet or used as tesserae). Blue, irregular.

**CULLET**

Cullet, or raw glass, is unassuming in appearance, but from a technological point of view there are few finds of more interest than cullet and wasters—drippings etc.—which are proof of the actual manufacture of glass at a site under investigation.120

---

120. For comparable cullet from Aphrodisias, ca. 5th–7th C. cf. R. H. Brill, "The Scientific Investigation of Ancient Glass," *London Congress, 51*, fig. 2; from Corinth, late 5th–6th C. cf. Wiseman (supra n. 7) 105–106. For reports on factory sites, cullet, drippings etc. cf., for example, Tabaczynska (supra n. 118) 238.1–3; idem,
Cullet is a generic term for all kinds of glass fragments and unshaped lumps of glass left as residue in the melting pots. The cullet is broken off the interior of pots no longer usable and employed again as raw material to facilitate the melting process of a new batch of glass. Finds of cullet alone are, admittedly, no proof that glass was actually manufactured at a given location. Throughout the history of glass, cullet has been a fairly common merchandise that was shipped to localities not equipped to produce half-melted glass or regular cullet in sufficient quantities for their own production.\(^{121}\)

In Early Byzantine Sardis, the numerous fragments of glass vessels and windows homogeneous in style and appearance as well as the discovery of the remains of crucibles and drippings, together with the finds of cullet, should be considered proof of the existence of glassmaking facilities in Sardis proper.

Many pieces of irregularly shaped lumps of cullet were found. They are of dark green (fabric 2?) and aquamarine fabric 1) glass; the former, because of the density of the material, is black in appearance. The lumps are small in size. They are frequently partly coated with a ceramic-like material which appears to come from the melting pots or crucibles.

For obvious reasons only a relatively small number of pieces have been recorded in this catalogue as a listing of all cullet excavated in Sardis would have been redundant. The majority of the finds come from the areas of the Synagogue, the Byzantine Shops, and BE. It is somewhat curious to note that much of this material was found near the remains of glass vessels; one would expect this to be the exception and not the rule. According to archaeological evidence, one might speculate that the manufacturing facilities were located not far from the Syn and B complex. Some of the cullet (712 and 713) was found in the debris of BE-H and at BE-S (BASOR 177, 23–25). Although certainly Byzantine, the pieces cannot be dated more precisely; in BE-S were also found sections of goblets and bowl lamps of type 2.

More cullet came to light E of Syn Fc (714; BASOR 174, 43–44), in BE-C (715) and in BE-B (716). The latter unit also contained fragments of vessels and windows (BASOR 187, 18). All finds in this group should be dated to the early fifth century. Lumps of cullet also come from BS (717), probably from E 4 to E 8 where every type of Early Byzantine glass was found (BASOR 191, 16ff.). Among the cullet less securely dated is a pumice-like lump from PN (718) that was found together with window glass and, according to G. M. A. Hanffmann, seems late or post-Roman (BASOR 177, 6). A lump from HoB (719) is unstratified.

Cullet with ceramic matter adhering to it and fragments of melting pots or crucibles coated with a heavy glaze or a thick layer of glass should be taken as incontrovertible proof of glass manufacture in Sardis. All such objects found are recorded in the catalogue. Most of the cullet mentioned in the following undoubtedly stems from broken crucibles which, after having been in use for some time, disintegrated or were coated with such a heavy layer of glass that melting became difficult. Almost all of the objects listed here are of dark green glass appearing black in reflected light (fabrics 2 or 4). 721 was discovered in BS E 4, which contained cullet without ceramic material adhering to it as well as many vessel fragments (BASOR 191, 17).

At least one section of a melting pot has been identified (722); its inner, concave surface is lined with yellowish green, bubbly glass. It was discovered in BE-B just above the floor of the rebuilding period in the early fifth century (BASOR 187, 15–16). Tesserae and vessel fragments were found in the immediate neighborhood.

It is difficult to say whether the ceramic sections (723 and 724) with vitrified interior, which were found at MTE, were part of pots or whether they are the remains of glazed bricks that vitrified when they were exposed to intense fire (as suggested by L. J. Majewski). Unfortunately, they come from an unstratified dump that largely contained material from the late Hellenistic to the late Roman periods (BASOR 187, 14–15).

A series of vitrified lumps of clay (725), or rather glass mixed with a ceramic material and showing signs of exposure to great heat, are from BE-C. According to G. M. A. Hanffmann they were dumped, perhaps in the sixth century, to the level of the floor; at any rate they are definitely after the time of the remodeling early in the fifth century (BASOR 187, 19–20). Finally, a section, probably of a melting pot (726),

---


121. For the shipping of raw materials cf. also Perrot (supra n. 77) 258.3.
Early Byzantine Glass

comes from the level of the "Hellenistic Steps" (which are beneath BS E 14 to E 16) but somewhat east of them, under BS E 17. The piece seems to antedate the Byzantine period (BASOR 174, 47–50) and does not resemble any of the pieces previously discussed.

A few objects not unlike the Middle Byzantine cullet, 781–788, came to light at levels that are clearly Early Byzantine. As they were all found in or near Syn—the majority come from localities close to BS—one might be tempted to identify them as trade items in the Byzantine Shops. Glass melted into conveniently shaped ingots could have been easily transported to smaller workshops outside of Sardis having more primitive facilities.

Sections of flat cakes of bluish glass (729 and 730) —not necessarily disc-shaped—come from Syn Porch (BASOR 174, 47) which contained the remains of goblets, lamps (type 3), and cullet; these fragments are datable to the fourth to sixth century on the evidence of hundreds of coins found in the Syn Porch area (BASOR 170, 38ff.; 174, 47). The cakes might have been made at a factory that produced, among other fabrics, the aquamarine fabric. A section of another cake (731) of blue glass comes from Syn and most likely belongs to a period after A.D. 400; wasters were found close by (735; BASOR 174, 30ff.).

Finally, a section of a cake of fabric 1 glass (732) was found at Syn Fc where glass fragments of goblets and bowl lamps of type 2 also came to light. These sherds are Early Byzantine. The disruption characteristic, particularly within the southeastern part, of Syn Fc makes a more precise dating impossible.

Very few drippings—droplets fallen to the floor during manufacture—were found. One (733), is unstratified. A few others (734) belong to a group of wasters, including cullet, from the Byzantine period of BS W 13 (BASOR 157, 54).

Among the other finds there are a few irregularly shaped pieces that might be wasters or, less likely, sections of broken vessels badly misformed during a subsequent conflagration. All three (735–737) were found at Syn (BASOR 174, 30ff.; 177, 19). While the stratification of 735 and 736 is not precise enough to allow reliable dating, 737 belongs to the pavement underneath the fallen blocks which formed part of the fortification probably erected in the sixth century.

Pieces of Cullet (and/or Glass Fragments from Crucibles)

Most appear black (probably green or aquamarine); some are porous and coated with ceramic-like material.

Glass or Glaze

Most of the pieces come from crucibles. They are, in part, of a ceramic material coated with glass or layers of glaze in varying thickness. Most are green, appearing black.

Pieces of Cullet (and/or Glass Fragments from Crucibles)

Most appear black (probably green or aquamarine); some are porous and coated with ceramic-like material.

713 BE-S 1964 E20-E26/N34-N40 *99.50.
714 Pl. 17. E of Syn Fc 1963 E115-E118/N3-N5 *98.00.96.75.
717 BS E 5, 1967 E35-E38/S0-S4 *98.23-97.50.
718 Lumps of cullet(?). Greenish-black; porous, pumice-like; irregular. PN 1964 W237-W247/S347-S354 *88.60-88.00.
719 HoB 1968 W35-W42/S90-S110 to *100.30.

Glass or Glaze

Most of the pieces come from crucibles. They are, in part, of a ceramic material coated with glass or layers of glaze in varying thickness. Most are green, appearing black.

721 BS E 4, 1967 E29-E33/S1-S4 *98.05.
722 Pls. 17, 28. Section of crucible. Yellowish-green, bubbly glass attached to ceramic body that has a smooth, hard layer followed by a porous, disintegrating layer. The int., glass-coated surface slightly concave (curvature of int. of crucible).

Max. dim. 5.5; Th. glass layer 0.2, of hard ceramic body 0.3, of outer ceramic body ca. 0.5.
723 Many sections of crucible(?). Ext. slightly convex and vitrified (covered with glassy layer). Object may be the portion of a burned brick, as suggested by L. J. Majewski. MTE 1964 E65-E70/S155-S160 *109.00-108.50.
724 Curved section of crucible(?); convex ext. glazed in brownish-green with lumpy surface. Int. appears to have layer of metal (bronze?).
Max. dim. 9; est. diam. ext. 10; Th. 2, of “bronze” layer 0.2.

Cullet

725 Many lumps (see Pl. 17 for one example) of pumice-like, disintegrating ceramic material, perhaps from crucibles or kilns/furnaces (for firing ceramics or glass). They show vitrification and devitrified glass respectively. Glass appears black but is actually bluish-green (fabric 1?); it is often not homogeneous, having distinct sections in blue and in green.
Some lumps 10 by 8 by 8.
BE-C 1966 E24.50/N12.50 *96.80.

726 Flat layer of ceramic material (from crucible?) with irregularly shaped glass (cullet) attached to it. Blue.
3.7 by 1.3.
BS E 17, 1963 E101-E103.5/S0-S2.30 *93.85-93.30.

727 Ceramic element (from crucible?); curved; glazed in reddish and green.
MTE 1964 E60-E65/S148-S152 *112.00-110.00.

728 Similar to 727; partly glazed; glass is light green.
Max. dim. 5 by 3.1, Th. of glassy layer up to 0.5.

Sections of Glass Cakes

Flat; curved rims do not necessarily indicate that the cakes were disc-shaped. The thickness tapers to smooth rim.

729 Pl. 17. Blue changing to turquoise-blue; weathered scum.
Max. dim. 6.5, Th. 0.7.

730 Similar to 729.
Th. 1.
Syn Porch 1963 E118-E120/N2-N3 *98.00-97.50.

731 Blue.
Max. dim. 3.7, Th. 0.6.
Syn MH 1963 E75-E80/N16-N18 *97.50-96.75.

732 Lump of flat, irregularly shaped glass, aquamarine (fabric 1?).
Max. dim. 3.2, Th. 0.5–0.8.
Syn Fc 1963 E110-E115/N1.50-N6.00 *97.50-97.25.

Drippings and Wasters

733 Pl. 17. Tear-shaped dripping. Appears black (greenish?); decolorized, scum.
P.H. 2.3, diam. 1.1.
MTW 1967 W20-W35/S140-S145 to *102.00.

734 Group of wasters: drippings, cullet.
BS W 13, 1959 W55-W57/S1.70-S4.40 *98.00-97.00.

735 Waster? Fabric 1; decolorized, white scum. Irregular.
P.H. 4.
Syn MH 1963 E75-E80/N5-N18.5 *98.00-97.50.

736 Similar to 735.
Max. dim. 2.3.
Syn 1963, S wall, E70-E75/S1-S11 *98.00.

737 Waster(?); irregular (might also be the remains of a melted object). Probably green (appears black); dirt, dull.
Max. dim. 3.
SE of Syn 1964 E125-E127/S10-S12 *97.00-96.50.
Remains of many glass bracelets were found in Middle Byzantine contexts while fragments of vessels datable to the same period are almost totally absent. All of the objects listed in this chapter belong to the late tenth to the thirteenth to fourteenth centuries. Unfortunately the stratigraphy is, in most cases, not clear, and that makes it almost impossible to distinguish between early and late examples within this period.

In addition to bracelets, the finds include window glass, cullet and cakes as well as a few fragments of Islamic vessels. The bracelets and perhaps the window panes were made locally while the vessels appear to be imports. The group of windows should be associated with Church E which is datable to the thirteenth century.¹

BRACELETS

While the major portion of the Early Byzantine material comes from the general area of B and Syn, the bracelets listed here were found almost exclusively at PN and AÇ; others come from CG and stray finds are from the upper levels at AT, L, HoB, B, and Syn.

The bracelets seem to have been made locally. This appears to be confirmed by the discovery of glass cakes (781–788) which could have served as the raw material for the bracelets. The workshops were certainly very small in comparison to the factory (or factories) of the fifth to early seventh centuries.

The diameters of the bracelets vary from ca. 6 to 9 cm. and most of them average about 8 cm. The thicknesses range from ca. 0.4 to 1.0 cm. They can be divided into two groups: decorated and undecorated bracelets. Within the series of decorated bracelets, four types can be distinguished.²

Decorated Bracelets

Type 1: Coil Twisted

The bracelet is twisted to form a spirally fluted coil. The basic color is usually dark green, rarely light milky yellow. The thin threads following the spiral

2. Glass bracelets made in the ancient Near East are well represented in many collections; however, they are in most cases unpublished or their provenances are unknown. Exact parallels to those found in Sardis are not illustrated in archaeological reports, thus making it difficult to cite similar or identical pieces datable to the same period. For general remarks on glass bracelets and rings in pre-Roman and Roman times cf. Kisa, *Glass* I 138ff.; Vessberg, *Gypos*, 213, mentions undecorated bracelets of the same period. For a survey of bracelets from Western sites cf. T. E. Haevernick, *Die Glasmärzinge und Ringperlen der Mittel und Spätlatènezeit auf dem europäischen Festland* (Bonn 1960); idem, “Antike Glasmärzinge und ihre Herstellung,” *Glastechnische Berichte* 25 (1952) 212–215.

Bracelets

twist are either a combination of milky green and red, or single strands in yellow-green or red are used.3

738  G60.33:2758. Dark green with white-green and red threads.
Est. diam. 9, W. 0.5.
AJA 66, 12, no. 21, pl. 7:20.

739  Identical to 738.
BE 1961 E18-E30/N85-N100, highway rubble, *101.00-100.00, unstratified.

740  Dark green with red.
Max. dim. 2.5.
AcT 1962 E4/N25 *404.80, unstratified.

741  Dark green or black with yellow-green.
Max. dim. 3.8.

742  G60.5:2428. Milky yellow with red.
Est. diam. 8.

743  G58.1:9.
Trench S ca. W177.4-W179.4/S1281.5-S1283.5, unstratified, probably surface (cf. Sardis R1 [1975] 104–107).
Hanfmann, JGS, 54.

744  G58.3:225.
CG, near surface, unstratified.
Hanfmann, JGS, 54.

745  G58.54B:683.
L room B, surface to *99.00, fill. Found with Byzantine pottery.
Hanfmann, JGS, 54; Sardis R1 (1975) 111.

746  G58.64A:850.
L, unstratified.
Hanfmann, JGS, 54.

747  G58.65A:851.
L. Found with objects of Middle Byzantine occupation, including a 12th C. coin.
Hanfmann, JGS, 54.

748  G58.93A, B:1040. Twists in red-white and black(?)–red.
L room E *100.50–100.51, unstratified.
Hanfmann, JGS, 54.

749  Black(?) and white(?) coil.
CG 1969 W13.9/N28.3 *101.70.

750  Pl. 18. Creamy yellow, red and black coil.
CG 1969 E12.8/N59.35 *100.16.

Type 2: Bracelets with Two Exterior Threads

The bracelet is flat in cross section. On the exterior there is a double strip of contrasting color. The base color is either dark green or black, the “black” generally being very dark green. The decorative double strip consists of inlaid threads, apparently almost always in red and yellow-green.

751  Pl. 18. Dark green; 2 yellow-green stripes.
W. 0.5.
PN 1965 W285-W290/S320-S325 *88.70–88.20. Perhaps of the level of the early Islamic village, 14th C.

752  Dark (green?); a narrow red and a narrow yellow-green stripe.
Max. dim. 3.7, W. 0.7.
PN 1967 W265-W280/S320-S340 *88.60–88.20. Top level above late Roman level; Middle Byzantine intrusion(?), cf. BASOR 191, 9ff.

753  Appears black; grooved to form 2 distinct strands; on one strand a red, in the other a yellow-green stripe.
Max. dim. 5.3, est. diam. 8, W. 0.7.

754  G60.6:2429. Black; central groove bordered by 2 red stripes.
Est. diam. 8, W. 0.9.

755  G60.45:2918. Black; one yellow-green and one wider red stripe.
Est. diam. 8.5, W. 1.0
AcT trench C, 1–4/B–E, fill below high wall, ca. *400.35, unstratified.

756  G58.64C:850. Blackish green with red stripe.
L, SW part of room A, unstratified.
Hanfmann, JGS, 53.

Type 3: Bracelets with Inlaid Rope

The bracelet is flat with an inlaid rope consisting of two spirally twisted strands of green and yellow or white and brown. The base color is black-amber (or very dark green?).

3. Coil bracelets of the 10th to mid-12th C. from Corinth: Davidson, Corinth XII, nos. 214ff. A section of a coil bracelet with yellow thread, Riis (supra n. 2) 68, fig. 210.
Middle Byzantine Glass

757 Pl. 18. Black(?) with central green and yellow rope. Max. dim. 3.8, W. 0.4. PN 1967, exact findspot unknown.

758 Blackish amber with rope in white and brown. Est. diam. 6, W. 0.5. PN/E 1973 W203-W208/S357-S368, earth ramp, top soil, *92.00-91.00, unstratified.

759 Pl. 18. G73.3:8239. Pale yellow inner band with applied white and yellow(?) coil on top. PN/E W203-W208/S357-S368, top soil, *92.00-91.00.

Type 4: Bracelets with Inlaid Rectangles.

The bracelet is flat and generally has rectangular patches of inlaid glass in contrasting colors. The base color is black(?); the two most complete samples found in this group (760 and 761) have inlays in a combination of white, green, and yellow glass.

760 Pl. 18. Patch in white, green, and yellow. Max. dim. 1.7, W. 0.7. PN 1965 W275-W280/S325-S330 *88.20-87.70. Perhaps of the level of the early Islamic village, 14th C., cf. BASOR 182, 25.

761 Pl. 18. Similar to 760. Interconnected patches in white, green, and yellow. Max. dim. 3.5, W. 0.6. PN 1967 W270-W280/S336-S340, S end, to *88.20. Top level above late Roman level, Middle Byzantine intrusion(?), cf. BASOR 191, 9ff.


Undecorated Bracelets

The bracelets are either flat or round in cross section. They are made of black, olive-green, and blue glass. Their diameters vary from 7 to 8 cm.

Black Bracelet Sections

763 G61.31:4124. Complete bracelet (the only complete one found at Sardis). Diam. 7.3, W. ca. 0.6. AcT W15-W17/N26, N of curving wall, ca. 0.80–1.00 below ground level, unstratified.

Olive Green Bracelet Sections


769 PN 1967 W274-W277.5/S330-S335 *88.35-88.10. For dating cf. 761.


771 PN 1965 W275-W280/S325-S330 *88.70-88.20. For dating cf. 751.

772 PN 1965 W285-W290/S320-S325 *88.50. For dating cf. 751.

773 G60.24:2729. Est. diam. 7.5, W. 0.7. CG W12-W18/80-S5 *102.50-101.00. Industrial level, apparently late 10th-early 11th C. Found with coin of “Anonymous Class A” (972–1028), Sardis M1 1971 no. 1157.


Blue Bracelet Sections

776 Many sections. CG 1959, MAW *99.00-98.20; MAE *99.50-98.00. Found with tesserae, cf. BASOR 157, 40. 10th C. and/or later.
Subjects were found save for a few stray vessel fragments.

Raw material in the form of glass to facilitate the making of objects in Sardis. As no other glass objects were found save for a few stray vessel fragments (cf. 789–796), the cakes appear to have been used exclusively for making bracelets. The cakes consist of flat pieces of glass in brick-red, green, and purple; the latter two colors appear black in reflected light. The thickness varies from 0.5 to 1.3 cm., with a median thickness of 0.9 to 1.1 cm. Not a single complete specimen is recorded. In a few cases, however, the sections preserved show portions of curving rims that serve as an indication that at least some of the cakes were circular with diameters seemingly up to 11 cm. (784). Other rim sections are straight (781) or show an approximately right angle (782) which may mean that cakes were also made in roughly rectangular shape.

Most of the glass cake sections were found in the “industrial” areas at PN; others come from B and CG. 781, from PN, is dated by coins of Bayazid ben Murad (terminus ante quem of A.D. 1362) to a time before the mid-fourteenth century (cf. BASOR 174, 14, 20ff.). A series of about ten cake sections comes from an adjacent area at PN (782–783). They also seem to be datable by coins to the fourteenth century (BASOR 170, 15). No closely dated material came with 784, a piece that apparently was part of a circular cake (BASOR 174, 24). The brick-red of this section matches some of the red thread decoration of the bracelets (cf., 752–756). 786 and 787 were excavated in the B area. The former, apparently datable to a time after the collapse of the vaults at BE-N (BASOR 187, 57–58), is very likely mid-Byzantine; the date of the latter, on the other hand, probably also a portion of a circular cake, cannot be ascertained stratigraphically. The last section listed here, 788, from CG, probably comes from the industrial level that can be dated by coins to the late tenth to early eleventh century (BASOR 162, 43ff.; Sardis M1 [1971] “Anonymous Class A,” 128–130).

The cake sections seem to date to the late tenth to the first half of the fourteenth century. As the bracelets also belong to this period, we can be fairly certain

4. In Sardis glass cakes were more common in Middle, than in Early Byzantine times (cf. 729–732). Early (perhaps the earliest) examples of glass cakes were found in Nimrud; they consist of sealing wax red glass probably datable to the 2nd C. B.C.: A. von Saldern, “Glass from Nimrud,” in M. E. L. Mallowan, Nimrud and Its Remains (London 1966) II 633 and n.21 (the disc-like cake has a diam. of ca. 16 cm. and is ca. 3.7 cm. Th.). For later glass cakes as a commodity for glassmaking and enamel cf. particularly R. J. Charleston, “Eighteenth Century Ingots of Glass,” Washington Congress, 211–212; idem, “Glass 'Cakes' as Raw Material and Articles of Commerce,” JGS 5 (1963) 54–67. Cf. also P. N. Perrot, “The Excavation of Two Glass Factory Sites in Western Israel,” Bruxelles Congrès, 258.3.
that industrial activities at PN and other locations included the melting of (imported?) glass cakes to make simple bracelets.

**Sections of Cakes and/or Cullet**

Predominantly green glass appearing black.

781 Rim section: the rim appears to be straight. Max. dim. 3.7, Th. 0.9–1.2. PN 1963 W223-W229/S345-S355, N of SE wall of house with mosaics, *90.00-89.70. BASOR 174, 14.

782 Pl. 18. Sections of cakes, including rim sections, and one corner rim section of angular cake. Surface irregular due to bubbles. Rim straight and curved. Max. dim. 8, Th. 0.8–1.2. PN 1962 W225/S370 *91.30.

783 Pl. 18. Three fragments from cakes, including one rim section. Brick-red with dark striations. Th. 0.2–0.6. PN 1962 W225/S370 *91.30. BASOR 170, 15.

784 Cake may have been circular. Brick-red with dark striations (green?). Max. dim. 5.7, est. diam. 11, Th. 0.5–0.8. PN 1963 W236/S340 *89.20-88.95.

785 Rim section. Probably purple, appears black. Max. dim. 5.5, est. diam. cake 8, Th. 0.8–1.3. PN 1963 W236/S340, N of long Islamic wall, *89.20-88.45.

786 Rim section. Green, translucent, bubbly. Max. dim. 4, est. diam. cake 8, Th. 1.0–1.3. BE-N 1966 E16.80-E18/N92-N97 *97.50-96.80.

787 Section of circular cake. Green appearing black. Est. diam. ca. 8. B 1959, E side, ca. 2.00 E of wall, 15.00 N of ramp, ca. *98.00.

788 Green? Very thick. CG 1960, corner HM and NS, floor *98.67.

**VESSELS**

The few vessels which belong to the period treated here seem to be the remains of decorated vessels that found their way to Sardis from regions most probably under Islamic rule.

The small neck fragment of a bottle with marveled and combed thread decoration flush with the surface (789) belongs to a well-known group of Islamic origin. Vessels of this type are usually made of deep purple or dark blue glass covered with white thread decoration combed to form spirals or feather patterns. They are generally dated in the tenth to twelfth century. Unfortunately, the Sardis fragment is unstratified. A conical bottle neck with a heavy, applied spiral thread was certainly part of a globular bottle perhaps of the eleventh or twelfth century, parallels for which are usually found in the Eastern Mediterranean (790). This piece is also unstratified. The unstratified fragment of a vessel, perhaps a bowl, with applied threads tooled to form a chain-like design 791, could be possibly of late Roman Imperial date. However, it seems more likely to be contemporary with the Middle Byzantine period in Sardis because it is related to Islamic vessels of the same date.

The ring base of a large vase (792) of unknown type was found together with a coin of Michael VII (1071–1078). A handle with a rudimentary thumb rest (793) from the floor of the depressed area of Church E at PN may be an intrusion (*88.90) of the period when the church was turned into a workshop after the Islamic conquest (BASOR 174, 19). The next sherd (794) seems to have been part of a mold-blown Islamic vessel, probably a bottle, of a type popular in the Near East in the tenth to twelfth century. An unstratified fragment of opaque red glass (795), the color of which resembles the red found in the Middle Byzantine bracelets (738–779), could be of this period or of later date. The last piece, which could have been the section of a vessel in the shape of an animal, is also unstratified (796); objects of this type are generally dated in the centuries around the turn of the first millennium.

7. Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 34; *Smith Coll.*, no. 324.
AcT trench E, 5–7/A–B, fill around wall, ca. *406.00, un-stratified.
AJA 66, 12, no. 22, pl. 7:21.

790 Pls. 18, 28. G60.38:2763. Section of bottle neck tapering upwards; heavy applied spiral thread. Pale yellow-green; well-preserved.
P.H. 8.8.
AcT trench A, 12–13/A–B, ca. *404.00.
AJA 66, 12, no. 23, pl. 10:23.

AJA 66, 11, no. 19, pl. 10:19.

Diam. 7.1.

793 Two-stranded handle (of bowl?) with rudimentary thumb rest. Green; well-preserved.
H. 3.8.

794 Pl. 18. G60.48:2985. Small vessel fragment with curvature; pattern of mold-blown honeycomb decoration.
Olive green tint; frosted.
Max. dim. 4, Th. 0.15.
HoB E5/S100 surface to *101.00.

795 G60.43:2855. Small vessel fragment. Opaque red-veined glass; well-preserved.
Max. dim. 1.8, Th. 0.1.
AcT trench E, 7–9/E–H, fill.

796 G60.39:2764. Lower section of vessel, perhaps an animal; 2 rudimentary feet(?) are preserved. Underside is pushed up slightly (“kick”). Purple.
P.L. 5.4, P.H. 1.9.
AcT trench E, 1–3/A–C, fill, *107.00-106.00.
While the other material presented in this survey is grouped first by chronology and then by typology, ring stones and beads have to follow a different system. Practically all ring (or game?) stones catalogued here are indistinguishable from each other and many of them cannot be dated by means of stratigraphy. The beads are likewise catalogued in consecutive order instead of integrating them in the chapters on pre-Roman, Roman, Early, or Middle Byzantine glass. The problems of typology, chronology, and development of a multitude of types and their variants still await systematic investigation. Although many studies on beads have been published, it is still too early to make definite statements as to the dating and provenance of a given bead when found in a stratigraphically uncertain context. Also, undecorated beads of ordinary shape found in levels not safely dated can almost never be associated with a specific and well-known group since such beads were made for centuries without any change.

RING STONES AND ONE RING

A relatively large number of ring and/or game stones were found in Sardis (797–819). They generally have the shape of the segment of a sphere; two-fifths of a sphere seems to be the form most often used. The diameter ranges from 0.5 to 1.5 cm., with a median diameter of 1.1 to 1.3 cm. They were probably either set in rings of metal or glass, or they were used as game stones. The fabrics most frequently used are 1 and 3; stones of clear glass with a slight green tint, of amber, blue or black glass are rare. The majority of the objects of this type seems to be of Early Byzantine date; some of those unstratified or associated with Roman (and even pre-Roman levels) may also conceivably be later.

One ring (820) is either Early Byzantine or Middle Byzantine (Islamic) as the level it comes from lies on top of the Hellenistic-Roman levels (cf. the ring 231 which is certainly Roman).

Hemispherical or Flattened with Rounded Top

Mostly clear to greenish; dulled, and corroded. Diam. ca. 1.3 unless listed otherwise.

Roman or Earlier

797 G60.41:2840. Oblong, flat. Pale purple. 0.9 by 0.5.

1. Ring and game stones are particularly common in Roman times; they often have a device or a scene engraved on the flat underside, similar to gems. They were made in various colors, predominately blue, greenish, clear, and yellowish. For general remarks on rings and ring stones cf. particularly Kisa, *Glas* I 141–142. Cf. Harden, *Karanis*, 284; Vessberg, *Cyprus*, 213, fig. 51:22 (Roman). Cf. also F. Fremersdorf, *Antikes, islamisches und mittelalterliches Glas in den Vatikanischen Sammlungen Roms* (Vatican City 1975) nos. 328ff., 324ff., who identifies all of them as game stones.

Beads

HoB E15/S100 *98.00. Post-Kimmerian, "not later than 500 B.C." (G. Swift).


799 Black scum. Diam. 0.9. PN 1965 W298-W301/S325-S330 *86.00-85.75.

800 Elongated; blue. 1.2 by 1. HoB W20/S105 *100.60-100.20. Probably Roman.

801 HoB 1963 W38/S110-S115 *100.00. Roman?

802 HoB 1964 W12-W25/S117-S120 to *100.60-100.50. Probably Roman.

Early Byzantine

803 BS E 15, 1963 E90/S2.50 *93.00.


805 Silver iridescence. Diam. 1. Pa-W 1967 E33.80-E43.80/N95.70-N101.26 *96.83.

806 Blue. Diam. 1. Syn Fc 1967 E103.05-E106.55/N5.95-N9.05 *95.30-95.10. After A.D. 400.


808 G60.44:2858. Irregular, blackish. N of B E33-E35/N125 ca. *96.00-95.00, N of rubble wall. Byzantine?


810 Ring stone or inlay. Rectangular, flat base. Green; frosted, iridescent. L. 1.5, H. 0.5. E of Syn 1966 E121.62/N1.95, on mosaic. After A.D. 400, on top of mosaic floor of 2nd half 4th C.


L. 1.5, W. 1. Syn Fc E106.95/N12.45 *96.07.

Additional Ring Stones

In type this group is similar or identical to the foregoing, but not safely datable through archaeological context. Most are of fabrics 1 and 3.

812 HoB 1962 W20/S90 *100.20-99.50, unstratified.

813 G67.1:7273. Aquamarine. Diam. 1.1. PN W268/S328.5 *88.50. Late Roman?

814 Diam. 1.2. HoB 1961 W15/S95-S105 to *102.30, unstratified.

815 Diam. 1.2. HoB 1968 W35-W42/S100-S110 to *100.20, apparently unstratified.

816 Diam. 1.4. MTE 1964 E45-E50/S125-S130 *101.00-100.60, unstratified.


819 G64.4:6131. Glass? Greenish(?), smooth black surface. Diam. 1.5. MTE E64-E70/S150-S160 *109.00, unstratified.

Ring


BEADS

The glass beads found in Sardis include decorated and undecorated examples, and date from the time between the late second millennium B.C. and the Early Byzantine period. Unfortunately there is as yet no general study of the history and typology of beads which would aid in the classification of the objects from Sardis. T. E. Haevernick has been working on
the history of ancient glass beads for a long time and according to her such an all-inclusive survey would be practically impossible to compile. In a given period there may be as many as 20,000 beads with the same provenance but of numerous different types. To complicate matters even further, it appears that many types and their variants were not only made over an extended period of time, but were also frequently exported. We have therefore chosen not to cite parallel examples from other sites or from museum collections because such an enumeration would be of no help in placing the beads from Sardis in their proper contexts.

**Decorated Beads**

Eye beads are among the earliest glass objects from Sardis. Fortunately most of them are fairly well stratified. The earliest is a large, fragmentary, three-cornered bead (821) which comes from a level at HoB associated with the ninth to eighth century B.C. At this level were also found sherds of Greek Geometric pottery and their Lydian imitations (BASOR 186, 34). This dating is confirmed by T. E. Haevernick who puts this group in the eighth or seventh century. 822 represents the color scheme of a typical eye bead frequently found in Egypt and elsewhere in the Near East: yellow base color with blue and white eyes. It was discovered in a level of HoB that follows the Ionian invasion of 499 B.C. but antedates the Hellenistic-Roman level; it is, therefore, datable to the fifth or fourth century (BASOR 166, 8).

Two beads (823) may be of the same period or slightly later; the *terminus ante quem* is the destruction of this unit of PN by Antiochus III in 213 B.C. (BASOR 177, 6ff.). Another bead (824) from building C at HoB was found at a level with coins of Alexander the Great and Antiochus III (222–187) (BASOR 170, 10). One black bead with white dots (825) was part of the Hellenistic-Roman fill on top of the Lydian levels at HoB (BASOR 162, 12). Another, with discs and yellow wavy lines (826) is most probably Hellenistic.

Beads with wavy or straight thread patterns include divergent types. All examples of the first series have a decorative motif in common: inlaid threads arranged either in a wavy or zigzag pattern. Six or seven of them are of pre-Roman date. The first (827) with wavy lines in yellow on black, is definitely of the period of about 700 B.C. or slightly earlier as it comes from level III at PC. An almost identical bead (828) is unstratified. The same color combination is used in a biconical bead (829) found at HoB below the burnt floor that is associated with the Kimmerian raid of the first half of the seventh century B.C. (BASOR 170, 6).

Another small bead with wavy yellow lines, found nearby, seems to be of the same date (830). Typologically very close to 829 is a fragmentary bead (831) which was found just below the Hellenistic-Roman fill at HoB (BASOR 166, 5ff.). There is no doubt that it is Lydian although it is uncertain whether it is as old as the beads just mentioned.

A different type, 832, is elongated and has three straight lines. According to the level, it appears to belong to the Persian period, that is the fifth or fourth century (BASOR 177, 4).

A third type is represented by an unstratified, biconical bead with a multicolored zigzag pattern (833); its date is uncertain as it comes from ActT.

Five beads with inlaid lines are datable to post-Roman times. The first, 834, shows two wavy, intersecting lines and, coming from Syn Fc, appears to be Early Byzantine—late fourth century or later. The same period is represented by a tear-shaped bead with a white inlaid wreath (835); coins of Valens (A.D. 364–365), Honorius (395–423), Arcadius and Honorius (395–408), and Theodosius II (408–450) as well as Tiberius II (578–582) were found nearby in about the same level (BASOR 166, 16ff.).

A bead with three white lines, 836, seems to be later in date as it was found in a level on top of the Byzantine graves at PN, thus dating it possibly in the Middle Byzantine period (BASOR 170, 16). A similar bead, 837, lacks an excavation record.

Although found in a pre-Roman level (BASOR 191, 10ff.), 838 may be an intrusion of a much later date. White with blue ovals and a polished, shiny surface, it has every appearance of modern manufacture.

Two so-called melon beads with vertically incised lines came to light. According to the level, one (839) seems to belong to the Persian period, that is the late sixth to fourth century (BASOR 166, 19ff.). Although unstratified, 840 may be datable to the same time.

---

HoB W1/S102 *96.00.

822 G61.13:3411. Yellow with 4 pairs of blue and white eyes; decolorized, frosted.
Diam. 1.2.
HoB W5/S95 *99.70.

823 G64.5:6388. Two slightly flat eye beads with 2 larger single, and 2 pairs of eyes. Probably blue, each eye in white with blue(?) pupil and another shade of blue(?) for center.
Beads

Heavily corroded and decolorized, fragile.
Diam. 1.3.
PN W300/S335, near unit 25, ca. *88.00-86.40.

Diam. 1.
HoB building C W25/S85 *99.80.

825 G60.52:3034. Flattened; black, 3 white eye-dots; decolorized, corroded.
Diam. 1, H. 0.7.
HoB E23/S88 *100.20.

826 G61.17:3638. Discs and wavy lines in yellow; decolorized, black scum, fragmentary.
Diam. 1.5.
PN W250/S380 *89.00-88.70.

827 Pl. 19. G60.4:2422. Spherical; dark green(?), appears black; wavy line framed by 2 plain lines in yellow.
Diam. 1.1.
PC zone 2, level III, *88.40. Found with fragments of pithoi with incised geometric pattern and "arrow" design (P60.152:2442; P60.153:2443).
AJA 66, 6, no. 1, pl. 5:1.

Diam. 1.2.
HoB, from fill, ca. *97.50-96.00.

829 Pl. 19. G62.10:4402. Biconical, fragmentary. Appears black; in brilliant yellow: 2 wavy lines framed by straight lines, the central running along the greatest circumference.
Diam. 2.5.
HoB E5/S90 *97.50, lower than burnt floor.

Diam. 1.
HoB E5/S95, N edge, *96.30.

831 G61.29:3992. Biconical, fragmentary. Black or deep green, 2 yellow wavy lines flanking straight line in yellow; dull.
L 1.7.
HoB E10/S90 *99.40.

832 Pl. 19. Elongated. Appears black, 3 white lines; shiny, polished surface.
L 0.7.

833 Pl. 19. G61.16:3618. Slender, biconical; zigzag pattern in red, yellow (and blue?); decolorized.

Undecorated Beads

A small number of undecorated beads of shapes other than the ordinary sphere or tube were found. A fragmentary, biconical bead (841) from HoB comes from the floor level that is apparently contemporary with the Ionian invasion of 499 B.C. (BASOR 166, 8ff.). A flattened, biconical bead (842), found a year later not far from the spot where 841 came to light, can be associated with Hellenistic coins of the late fourth to third century (Alexander, Antiochus III; BASOR 170, 10). Much later is a faceted bead (843); the level in which it was found contained many coins of the second and third century A.D. (BASOR 182, 16).

The last two beads of this series are late Roman or Early Byzantine. One shows a band of notches (844);
stratigraphic evidence indicates that it may be of the fourth or fifth century (*BASOR* 162, 40ff.). The other (845) is of biconical shape and, therefore, similar to those of pre-Roman times (cf. 841 and 842); it was found in a pit underneath the floor of BS E 14 and thus must be earlier than the finds from this shop which are datable to the fifth and sixth century.

About two dozen beads of spherical or oval shape as well as a group of tubular beads were found in Sardis. According to stratigraphic evidence these very ordinary objects appear to have been made without any visible change throughout the first millennia B.C. and A.D. In the following, a sample list of more or less securely dated beads is given.

A spherical bead of yellow tinted glass (846) is probably the earliest piece of glass found in Sardis. It was discovered above the floor of the “Lower Burning Level” at HoB and may, therefore, be datable to the late second millennium B.C. (*BASOR* 162, 16). An apparently greenish spherical bead from HoB (847) must be dated to pre-Kimmerian times, namely the late eighth or early seventh century (*BASOR* 182, 9). Slightly later is a flattened bead of bottle green glass (848; *BASOR* 186, 32).

According to the excavator, A. Ramage, the section of an aquamarine colored bead (849) should be datable to the sixth century B.C. The stratigraphy of a ring-like bead (850) seems to place it in the late seventh or sixth century B.C. (*BASOR* 182, 11ff.). Slightly later, namely of the late sixth or fifth century, is a flat yellowish bead from PN (851; *BASOR* 191, 10ff.). A similar one, though spherical (852), is perhaps datable to a time prior to the Ionian destruction (*BASOR* 166, 8), while another (853) seems to postdate this invasion of 499 B.C. but is earlier than the Hellenistic period (*BASOR* 166, 8). The stratigraphy of 854 is less clear although it seems to be Persian or Hellenistic (*BASOR* 182, 11ff.). An elongated bead (855) of turquoise blue glass, found at HoB, belongs to the same period as 853.

Less well dated is a greenish spherical bead (856) which, according to the late G. F. Swift III, can be associated with all types of pottery but is most probably not later than the third century B.C. Another spherical bead (857) was part of the Hellenistic-Roman fill at HoB (*BASOR* 162, 12).

Seven tubular beads (858) were found in a Hellenistic grave of the third or second century at Haci Oğlan (*BASOR* 166, 30). Undoubtedly of Roman date is another tubular bead (859); coins of the second and third century A.D. were found in the same level. A group of additional undecorated beads of pre-Roman and Roman dates is listed under 860–866.

Early Byzantine beads, in shape and size similar to the earlier specimens, are rare in Sardis. Those that were recorded are listed under 867–874.

### Pre-Roman and Roman


**844** G60.47:2948. Flattened, tooled to form vertical notches. Turquoise-blue; white scum. Diam. 1.5. LNH 1, E33-E35/N115-N125 *97.00-95.00.


**849** One-half of flattened bead. Aquamarine; heavily corroded. Diam. 1.3. PN 1968 W266.40/S322.70, below lower water channel, ca. *86.00.


**851** Flattened. Yellow tint; corroded. Diam. 0.8. PN 1967 W266.8-W267.3/S322.9-S323.9 *86.40-85.80.
Beads


856 Almost spherical. Greenish; dull. Diam. 1.3. HoB 1968 W22-W26/S120-S124 *100.50-100.30.


858 G61.3:3161. Seven tubular beads. Decolorized, they now appear white, greenish, or black. Average L. 0.6. Haci Oğlan, tomb 61.3, inside pelvic bone, 0.13 from S inside, 0.44 from upper edge, 0.33 from E side.


Early Byzantine


868 Oval. L. 0.5. RT 1962 E28/S29 *96.75.


871 Pl. 19. Same as 870. These pieces do not seem to be drippings from glass manufacture but deformed objects, possibly beads. Diam. 1. BS E 13, 1962 E70-E73/S4 *96.70-96.20.

872 Two fragments of possibly spherical bead. Turquoise-blue. RT 1961 E0-E5/S7-S10, pavement surface, *97.60.


## Pieces from the excavations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Number</th>
<th>Catalogue Number</th>
<th>Plate Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G58.1:9</td>
<td>743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.3:225</td>
<td>744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.14:420</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.15:466</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.17A, B:469</td>
<td>681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.18:470</td>
<td>681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.22:408</td>
<td>402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.23:409</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.27:387b</td>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.34:528</td>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.38:538</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.39:547</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.41:553</td>
<td>774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.44:586</td>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.45:587</td>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.46:611</td>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.47:612</td>
<td>654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.54A:683</td>
<td>775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.54B:683</td>
<td>745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.60:796</td>
<td>563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.64A:850</td>
<td>746</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.64C:850</td>
<td>756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.65A:851</td>
<td>747</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.68:865</td>
<td>608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.71:866</td>
<td>438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.74:879</td>
<td>655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.77:922</td>
<td>439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.83:1009</td>
<td>607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.84:1010</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.88:1026</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.93A, B:1040</td>
<td>748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.93C-E:1040</td>
<td>778</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Number</th>
<th>Catalogue Number</th>
<th>Plate Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G58.95:1048</td>
<td>593</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.5:1189</td>
<td>644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.16:1262</td>
<td>581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.21a:1328</td>
<td>578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.22:1340</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>13, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.25:1341a</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.28:1374</td>
<td>568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.29B:1382</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.30:1390</td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.32a:1636</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.33a(y):1640</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.35a:1658</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.37:1456</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.38a:1678</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>18, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.39:1472</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.40:1480</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.42:1483</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>13, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.42a:1769</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.44a:1810</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.45a:1843</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.46:1530</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.46A:1850</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.46B:1850</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.47a:1851</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.48a:1855</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>7, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.49a:1885</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.53:1620</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.57:2001</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.58:1804a</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>12, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.61:2122</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.62:2150</td>
<td>497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.66:2189</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.67:2193</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory Number</td>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
<td>Plate Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.68:2194</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.71:2217</td>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G59.72:2218</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>15, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.1:2242</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>7, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.3:2393</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.4:2422</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.5:2428</td>
<td>742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.6:2429</td>
<td>754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.7:2633</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.9:2714</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.10:2715</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.11:2616</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.12:2717</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.14:2719</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.19:2724</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.20:2725</td>
<td>857</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.23:2728</td>
<td>867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.24:2729</td>
<td>773</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.25:2730</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.26:2731</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.27:2732</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.31:2736</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>6, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.32:2742</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.33:2758</td>
<td>738</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.36:2761</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.37:2762</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.38:2763</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>18, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.39:2764</td>
<td>796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.41:2840</td>
<td>797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.42:2850</td>
<td>862</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.43:2855</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.44:2858</td>
<td>808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.45:2918</td>
<td>755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.47:2948</td>
<td>844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.48:2985</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.50:3002</td>
<td>846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.51:3015</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G60.52:3034</td>
<td>825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.1:3148</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.2:3160</td>
<td>835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.3:3161</td>
<td>858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.7:3181</td>
<td>232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.8:3196</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.9:3268</td>
<td>422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.10:3297</td>
<td>798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.12:3408</td>
<td>853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.13:3411</td>
<td>822</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.14:3412</td>
<td>696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.16:3618</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.17:3638</td>
<td>826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.18:3770</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>11, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.19:3771</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.20:3772</td>
<td>490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.21:3773</td>
<td>646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G61.22:3774</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory Number</td>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
<td>Plate Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.1:6975</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.2:6995</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.3:7012</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.4:7019</td>
<td>658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.5:7037</td>
<td>767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.6:7064</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.7:7072</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.8:7088</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.9:7140</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.10:7261</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G66.11:7263</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>11, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.1:7273</td>
<td>813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.2:7287</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.3:7314</td>
<td>811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.4:7315</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.5:7401</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.6:7444</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.7:7470</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.8:7488</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.9:7489</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>5, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.10:7490</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G67.11:7520</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>5, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G68.1:7613</td>
<td>860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G68.2:7686</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G68.3:7736</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>14, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G72.1:8181</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>14, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.1A:8233</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.1B, C:8233</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.1D, E:8233</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>11, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.1F:8233</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.2:8234</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.3:8239</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.7:8264</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.8:8267</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J64.1:6108</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal58.1:986</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-excavated pieces from the area of Sardis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.3</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.5</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.6</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.7</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.8</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.9</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.10</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.11</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.12</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.13</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.14</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.15</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.16</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.17</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.18</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.19</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.21</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.22</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.23</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.24</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.25</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.26</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.27</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.28</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.29</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.30</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.31</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.32</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.33</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.34</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.35</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx68.17.36</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoEx71.19</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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References to catalogue entries are in bold type.

Achaemenid: cut bowls, 5; vessels, 6
Air traps, 3, 21
Alabastra, 6, 1, 3
Alexandria, 7, 16
“Alexandrian manner,” 7
Alps, 1
Amphorae, pointed, 33 n.115
Amphoriskoi, 6, 2, 3, 105
Ampullae, 111
Amulets, 89
Anatolia, 25
Animal vessels, 102, 102 n.10, 796
Aquileia, 19
Arches, pointed: friezes of, 16, 69
Art market, 13, 17; Eastern, 10, 11 n.10
Ashdod, 7 n.11
Asia Minor, 1, 5-7, 9, 13, 17, 25, 89
Baghdad, Iraq Museum, 20 n. 58
Bands
applied to rims, 21
curving, 16
of elevations, almost square, 19
inner, 759
of notches, 107
of warts, pinched, 91
Base
constrictions, 126, 130
fragments: of bottles, 19; of cups, 23
rings, 10, 23, 25; definition of, 2; pronounced and ground, 10
Bases
of beakers, 22, 69
of bottles, with mold-blown rosette, 87
of bowls, 22
concave, 44, 57, 79, 91
definition of, 2
flat, 24, 811
ring, 102, 89, 792
Bead-like object, 855
Beakers, 4, 13, 15 n.17, 15, 15 n.27, 16,
16 n.38, 19, 21, 22, 68, 69, 46, 48, 49,
51, 60, 65, 473
bases of, 22, 69
Bégram variety, 15
bell-shaped, 22, 68, 472
bowl-shaped, 29
conical, 15, 15 n.17, 15, 15 n.27, 22
conical, 69
dating of, 15 n.26
with decoration, inlaid, 14
facet-cut, 15 n.26; mold-blown imitations of, 15 n.26
faceted: and grooved, 19 n.17; conical, 13 n.23
footed, 21 n.61
forms of, 22 n.67
grooved, 13 n.24
with groove, double, 15 n.26
plain, 68
Sassanian, Early, 68
size of, 15 n.26
straight-walled, almost, 10 n.3
Bed, 60
Bégram: beakers, 15; jugs, 15 n.29; vessels, facet-cut, 15 n.27
Bin Tepe, 6
Black Sea, 5
Blown glass, 5, 7, 9, 9 n.1, 11
Blowpipe, 6 n.6
Bottles, 1, 4, 13, 15 n.28, 19 n.54,
20 n.59, 53
with bases, concave, 57, 91
bell-shaped, 3, 32
conical, 25
with curvature, 59
cylindrical, 3, 18
with diaphragms, inner, 19
egg-shaped, 3
fragments of, 89
gilt decoration on, 24 n.85
globular, 3, 13, 18, 20, 102
with honeycomb patterns, 102 n.9
with mouth, funnel, 91
with neck: concave, 789; conical, 102
n; flaring upward, 85; fragments of, 102;
long, 2; short, 15 n.28, 19, 91;
short, cylindrical, 13; tube-shaped,
constricted, 15 n.27
ordinary, 3; dating of, 9; from graves, 2
oval, 3
pear-shaped, 18, 18 n.48, 19, 19 n.55
with rim, infolded, 91
shapes of, 3
shoulders of, 58, 91; combed, 789
small, 13
spherical, 3, 15, 15 n.28, 19 n.54; almost,
91; dating of, 15 n.28
from Syria-Mesopotamia, 20
“tear,” 23
wall fragments of, 62; curved, 73, 77
with warts, 19, 20, 91
Bowls, 1, 3, 4, 13, 15 n.27, 16, 16 n.38,
17, 18, 19, 15–22, 51, 53, 60
bases, 22; concave, 57
bell-shaped, 45
bouls, 19 n.57
with constrictions, rim, 13 n.23; dating
of, 16
with curvature, 59
cut, 5, 7, 21 n.61
decorated, 7
with decoration, inlaid, 14
deep, 10, 11, 13 n.23, 20, 21, 6, 49, 52
with elevations, band of almost square,
18, 19
faceted, 17 n.45
footed, 61
forms, 17, 20, 21
fragments of, 11, 66; bottom, 10, 17;
curved, 66, 82; rim, 16, 54, 69, 72,
76; shoulder, 68; wall, 62, 65, 67, 71;
wall, curved, 63, 70, 73, 80
globular, 15, 16 n.38
with grooves, 13 n.17; cut, 15
with handle, two-stranded, with thumb-
rest, 793
Hellenistic, 1, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12; late, 11;
centers for manufacture of, 7; plain,
6 n.11; pre-; 5; “winged,” 19
hemispherical, 18 n.48, 7; almost, 8, 79;
from Cologne, 17; with facet pattern,
16; fragments of, rim, 56; with
groove below rim, 17 n.44; probably,
12; with rim, slightly flaring, 20;
roughly, 11; shoulders of, 79; from
Susa, 19 n.57
millefiori, 11 n.12
pillar-molded, 7, 7 n.15, 9, 11, 19;
colors of, 11; dating of, 11; literature
on, 11 n.10; method of manufacture,
11 n.10, 12; variety, common, 12
plain, 7, 7 n.15; Hellenistic, 6 n.11
ribbed, 7 n.15, 11, 12
Roman Imperial, early, 9
Sassanian, 19
segmental, 11, 16 n.38, 9, 10; shallow,
12
shallow, 11, 13, 14, 24; with rim,
curved, 16; with rim, out-turned, 19
shoulders of, 789
with sides: convex, slightly, 10 n.3;
curved or slanted straight, 16
spherical, 19
from Syria-Mesopotamia, 20
thread-decorated, 13 n.14
with threads, applied, 102
from Trier, 16 n.43
types, 17
undecorated, 7, 13 n.14
undersides of, 75
with walls, straight, 61; with plain rim,
74
with warts, applied, 19
wide, 56
wide-rimmed, 2; hemispherical, almost,
15 n.27
Bracelets, 1, 101
decorated, 98 n.2; coil twisted, 98;
colors of, 98–100; with rectangles, in-
laid, 100; with rope, inlaid, 99; with
threads, external, 99; types of, at
Sardis, 98
from Corinth, 98 n.2, 99 n.3
fragments of, 779
grooved, 753, 754
manufacture of, at Sardis, 2
Middle Byzantine, 98; colors of, 100;
dating of, 98, 101
Near Eastern, 98 n.2
twisted, 778
undecorated, 98, 98 n.2, 763
Breast, female, 7 n.12
Bubbles, 782, 791
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>116</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game pieces, 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Gans Collection, 19 n.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemstones, 32 n.111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Globular,” definition of, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goblets, 4; feet of, 3; fragments of, 89; stemmed; 2; stems of, 3, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold glass, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold mounting, 11 n.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grave precinct, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves, 9, 23, 233; bottles from, 2; Byzantine, at PN, 106; Hellenistic, 108; Roman, 23, 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece, 6, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek islands, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooves, 10, 14, 6, 47, 60, 68–72, 74 on conical beakers, 15 n.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broad, 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentric, 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cut, 6 n.11, 9, 13, 15, 16, 17; broad, 14; ext., 15, 14, 20, 32, 48, 57; ext., broad, 50, 51; ext., shallow, 46; ext., wide, 56; faint, 49; int., 12, 7–10, 12, 13, 32, 34, 35, 37, 40; int., narrow, 15; int., single, 28; int., wide, 15; narrow, 21; wide, 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deep, 17 n.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double, 15 n.26, 16, 17, 22, 70, 73 on encircling body, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ext., 58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>faint, 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horizontal, 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int., 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on lamps, 13 n.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lathe-cut, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>narrow, 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pairs of, 28–30, 38, 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stepped, 55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vessels with, 10, 10 n.3; dating of, 15; tints and shades, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wide, 54; shallow, 49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground glass, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gygean Lake, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hac Oğlan, 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haevernick, T. E., 105, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handle-like sherd, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handles: angled with thumb rest, 31; cut, 11 n.6; double, 18; of skyphoi, 11; with thumb rest, 31, 102, 26; two-stranded, 793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harden, Donald B., 2, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard-Cornell Expedition, see Sardis Expedition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellenistic: glass, 6, 6 n.11; period, at Sardis, 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heraclius, coins of, 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexagons, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History, of glass, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow glassware, 1, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeycomb decoration, see Patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports, 2, 9, 11, 17; Islamic glass, 98; Roman glass, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial: activities, at PN, 102; areas, at PN, 101; level, at CG, 773</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlaid decoration, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass patches, 100, 101, 760–762 ropes, 99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strips, 9, 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>threads, 99, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wreaths, 106, 835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlay, 14, 15, 100, 810, 865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionian: cups, 6; destruction of Sardis, 106–108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, 20 n.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq, 20 n.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iridescence, 3, 810; brilliant, 62, 857; heavy, 46; silver, 805, 847, 874; slight, 240. See also Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass faceted fragments, 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass: cut; 16; early; 2; imported; 2 vessels, 98, 102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>village, early, 751, 760; wall, 785</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy, 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 23, 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izmir, Archaeological Museum, 11 n.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerash, 47, 92 n.112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerusalem, Israel Museum, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jugs, 15, 15 n.27, 16; pear-shaped, from Béqram, 15 n.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin I, 47, 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justinian, 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karanis, 2, 8, 16, 17, 24; C-period houses at, 17 n.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kibbutz Hagoshirim, 6 n.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kick, 126, 132; definition of, 2; high, 120; pushed up slightly, 796; slight, 119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimmerians, 106, 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchenware, 1, 2, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knidos, 11 n.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamps, 1, 4, 24; bowl, 45, 46; fragments of, 89; with grooves, 13 n.17; mold-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molded, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathe, 9; -cut rings, 6; marks, on cups, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo I, coins of, 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines on beads, 832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on bowls, 19, 63, 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cut, 52, 64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drawn, 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engraved, 46, 50, 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inlaid, on beads, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surrounding painted circles, 779 plain, 827</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scratched, 78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shallow: engraved diagonal, 77; pair of, 59; pattern of, 18; inside vertical indentation, 837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>straight, 829, 831</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vertically incised, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vertical pairs of, 77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wavy, 826, 827, 829–831, 834; intersecting, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zigzag, 836</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lips, 16 n.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, British Museum, 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, Victoria and Albert Museum, 16, 19 n.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Lower Burning Level,” at HoB, 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury glass, 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycurgus Cup, 16, 16 n.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lydian: cemetery, of Bin Tepe, 6; imitations of Greek pottery, 106; Zeus, 32, 216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture: of glass, 1–3, 16; of patella cups, 11; of pillar-molded bowls, 11 n.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing, see also Factories centers, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facilities: at Sardis, 2; along Syrian coast, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methods: core-forming, 5, 5 n.3; of pillar-molded bowls, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Marble Monument,” 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masada, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean, 1, 3, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean, Eastern, 7, 9, 11, 15, 102; core-formed glass in, 5 n.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medusa, 32; 215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesopotamia, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesopotamian core glass, 5 n.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millefiori glass, 7, 10, 11; bowls, 11 n.12; patella cups, 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minusio in the Ticino, 23 n.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitten, D. G., 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molded glass, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molding, on vessels, 2, 4, 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molds, 19; double, 7, 9; positive-negative, 7, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaics, see Tesserae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muralt in the Ticino, 33 n.112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near East, 1, 2, 17, 98 n.2, 102, 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Eastern glass, 5 n.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necks, bottle, see Bottle, necks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimrud, 101 n.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Nip’t diamond waives,” 9, 20, 21 n.61, 93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notches: band of, 107; tooled, 844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppenländer Collection, 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovals, 106, 67; cut, 19; diagonally arranged, 838; inscribed, 69; narrow, 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay: glass, colored, 14 n.25; work, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyrhynchos, 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting, on glass vessels, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine, 2, 11, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panes, see Window</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paredes, 11 n.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

Patches, inlaid, of glass, see Inlaid
Pattern molding, 4, 46
Patterns
cut, 9, 16, 17; see also Cuts
decorative, 102 n.5
diaper, faceted, 15
facet, 15 n.28, 16
cacet-cut, 15 n.27
feather, 102
honeycomb on bottles, 102 n.9; mold-blown, 794; pinched, 76
narrow, 62, 66
scale, 1
of shallow lines, 18
spiral, 102
thread, 6, 92
zigzag, 106, 833
Pendants, 33
Pergamon, 17 n.44
Persian period, at Sardis, 106
Phoenicia, 5
Pillar-molded bowls, see Bowls, pillar-molded
Fins, 9, 33
Pithoi with incised design, 827
Fitting, 63
Plain bowls, see Bowls, plain
Plates, early Roman Imperial, 9
Polishing, fire, 3
Pompeii, 10 n.2
Pomil marks, 780; rough, 144, 147, 151, 152
Pots, 2
Pottery: Byzantine, 745, 775; Greek Geometric, 106
Profiles, 13; of rim sections, 15
Prunts, applied, of colored glass, 13 n.17
"Pseudo-crypt," at PN/E, 793
Pupils, on eye beads, 823
Purple glass, 32, 54, 76, 213, 214, 785, 796, 797; streaked, 30
Raw materials, 1, 98, 101
Recording of glass at Sardis, 1, 4
Rectangles, cut, 19
Reticuli vessels, 10
Rhineländ, 23
Rhodes, 5
Rhyton, 15 n.27
Ribbon glass, 13
Ribs, 11, 12, 21, 27–34, 36–43
Ridges, 15 n.27; cut, 22
Rims, 17, 17 n.44, 55
conical, 13
constriction, 13 n.23, 16
curved: of cakes, 101; fragments of, 52, 54
cut lines at, 64
fire polished, 3
fragments of, 9, 10, 13–17, 6–10, 12–14, 19, 21, 22, 28, 29, 34, 37–39, 47, 49, 56, 60, 61, 69, 76, 780, 782, 783, 785, 786
ground, 34, 55
out-curving, 43
out-spayed, 7; short, 12
out-turned, 4, 79
plain, 74, 762
rounded, 72
and shoulder, 32
sprung, 50, 51
straight, 12, 101, 781; and curved, 782
straight outward and inward, 64
turned outward and upward, 25
and wall, 45
wide, 15 n.28
Ring bases, 102, 89, 792
Ring foot, 791
Rings, 9, 98 n.2, 104, 104 n.1, 104 n.2, 820; concentric, 821; cut, 6, 7, 12;
lathe-cut, 6; metal, 104
Rods, 1, 9, 33, 90, 91; spiral, 33
Ropes, 757, 758; inlaid, 99
Rosettes: cut leaf, 6; mold-blown, 87
Russia, South, 1, 6
Salvers, 60, 61
Sandcore vessels, 1
Sardis, 1, 4, 7, 11, 13
earthquake at, 21
fall of, 2
glass production in, 2–4, 9
Hellenistic period at, 108
importance of glass finds at, 2
Ionian invasion of, 106–108
Islamic vessels at, 102
Kimmerian raid on, 106
luxury glass at, 15
Persian period at, 106
recording of glass at, 1, 4
wealthy clientele in, 9
Sardis Expedition, 1
Sassanian: beakers, 13 n.17; bowls, 19, 20;
Empire, glass workshops in, 1; glass-making, 20
Scale patterns, 1
Scratches, 63
Scum, 3
black, 799, 826; fire, 243
brown, 240; heavy, 31
dark, 110, 845
dirty, 116; fire, 77
enamel-like, 12, 70
flaking, 47
rough, 143, 144, 156
silver, 25; and brown, 39, 46
silvery iridescent, 68
white, 184, 842, 844, 850; heavy, 242
silver, heavy, 185
Sealing wax red glass, 101 n.4
Shapes, 2, 7, 102 n.5
Shoulders, cut, 19
Shoulder: fragments, 41–43, 68; friezes, of "wings," 6; zones, polished, 12
Siverskaia, 11 n.6
Skyphoi, 11
with circular base rings, 30 n.104
with cut handles, 11 n.6
with decoration, 16 n.38
early, with gold mounting, 11 n.6
fragments of, wall, 67
from Paredes, 11 n.7
prototypes, 11
Smith Collection, Ray W., 19 n.54
Southeast Europe, 7, 7 n.11
Spain, 1, 6
Spatalae, 33
Springs, 102
Stamps, 1, 9, 32, 32 n.111, 33, 89, 90
Stems, goblet, 13; kinds of, 3; multi-
knobbed, 46; short, 3
Strands: color, on twisted bracelets, 778;
distinct, 753; single, 99; spirally
twisted, 99
Stratigraphic dating, 5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15–17,
19–21, 24, 31, 34, 98, 101, 102,
104–106, 108
Stray finds, 2, 98
Streaks, purple, 30
Striations on glass cakes, 783, 784
Stripes, 751–756
Strips of colored glass, 15; double, 99; in-
laid, 9, 60; set-in, 14
Susa, 19 n.57, 20
Switzerland, 9
Syria, 1, 10, 13, 21, 33, 89; glass from, 20
Syrian: blue green glass, 2; coast, glass
manufacturing facilities on, 5; core
glass, 5 n.3; glassmakers, 2; -Mesopo-
tamian koine, East, 17
Syrian-northern Mesopotamian region, 19
Syrian-Palestine region, 11
Syrian-Palestinian coast, 7; glass factories
on, 17
Syria-Palestine, 9
Tableware, 1, 2
Teheran, Archaeological Museum, 19
n.57
Tel Anafa, 6 n.11
Tel Aviv, Museum Haaretz, 11 n.11, 15
n.26, 15 n.27
Terminology for ancient glass, 2
Terra Sigillata, 9, 21, 28
Tesserae, 2, 92–94, 776; gold leaf, 780
Thorns, pulled-out, 19
Thread decoration, 4; applied and tooled,
791; colors of, 6; combed, 102; mar-
ered, 102; vessels with, 9
Threading, elaborate, 2
Thread patterns, 6, 92
Threads, 12, 738
applied, 102, 104; spiral, 102, 102 n.6,
103, 790
decorative, 101
double, 20
ext., 99
inlaid, 99, 106
around lip, 6
spiral, 13, 44; marved-in, 12; sunken, 789
sunken, 44
thin, 98, 99
zigzag, 2
Thumb rests, 11 n.7; handles with, 102, 26, 793; horizontal, 11
Tints in ancient glass, 3
Transparent glass, 10
Trier, 16 n.43
Tumulus, 6
Turkey, 5, 23, 25
Twists, 748; spiral, 99
Types for bowls, 17
Unguentaria, 23, 25; candlestick, 25 n.91; piriform, 110
Urns, 13
Utensils, glass, 2
Valentinian I, coins of, 28
Vases, ring bases of, 102, 792
Walls
conical vessel, 3
convex, 15, 17, 69; curved, 3
fragments of, 16–18, 27, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 40, 57–59; curved, 15, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 46, 50, 63, 70, 71, 73, 77, 78, 80; curved, concave, 5; curved, slightly, 13 n.23, 22, 26, 53, 62, 65; with cut facets, 67; dichroic glass, 16 round, 11
straight, 11, 13, 61
straight-sided, 3
tapering, 13, 19
vessel, kinds of, 3
Warts, 9, 19, 19 n.54, 19 n.57, 20, 20 n.58, 33, 81, 82
Washington, D. C., Smithsonian Institution, 17 n.44
Weathering: of ancient glass, 3; of Early Byzantine glass, 36; heavy, 779
Wheel-cut designs, 15
Window: glass, 2, 9, 91, 92; Middle Byzantine glass, 98; panes, 1, 2, 4, 35; fragments of panes, 780, 793
Windows, 2, 101
“Wings,” 6
Workshops, glass, 1, 2, 5, 7, 16, 98, 101, 102; at Sardis, 2
Wreaths, inlaid, 106, 835

“Zarte Rippenschalen,” 9, 12, 13, 19, 67
Zeus, 32; Lydian, 216
Zigzag: lines, 836; patterns, 3, 106, 833; threads, 2
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Plan I. Map of Major Glass Sites in Europe and Asia Minor. Those marked with a • have yielded primarily Early Byzantine finds.
Plan II. Site plan with excavations and ruins of Sardis.
Plan III. Map of the Sardis Region.
Plan IV. Plan of the Bath-Gymnasium complex (B), the House of Bronzes (HoB), and terrace trenches (UT, MTE, MTW).
Plan V. Late Roman and Byzantine Levels of sector Pactolus North (PN).


Patella cup, possibly Syrian-Palestinian Coast, late 1st C. B.C.–1st A.D. The Corning Museum of Glass (74.1.20).
Skyphos, Near East (Alexandria?) or Italy, late Hellenistic or Early Imperial. Museum of Fine Arts, Boston (50.2285, Bequest of Charles B. Hoyt).


Zarte Rippenschalen, Eastern Mediterranean or Italy, A.D. 60–100. Oppenlander Collection, Waiblingen (22603, 22613, 2687).

Bowl and beaker with grooves, Eastern Mediterranean, late 1st–early 2nd C. A.D. Oppenländer Collection, Waiblingen (2698 and 2315).


Bowl with warts, Rhineland, 3rd C. A.D. Rheinisches Landesmuseum, Bonn (6662).
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Jug with strap handles, ca. 2nd C. A.D.
Römisch-Germanisches Museum, Cologne (435).
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Fragment of window leading from BS E 6.

680–699 Selection of window pane fragments.
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Fragment of window leading from BS E 6.

680–699 Selection of window pane fragments.
PLATE 17 Early Byzantine

Portion of 700 set in plaster.

707

722 Crucible coated with glass: cross section.

714

722 Int. glass residue.

725

722 Clay ext.
817 (lower 1.) and sample ring stones.
Isings, p. 34, form 16.

Isings, p. 44, form 29.
Isings, p. 59, form 43.
Loeschcke, Sgl. Niessen, pl. 23, no. 274.

Harden, Karanis, pl. 14, nos. 221 and 304.
PLATE 23  
Early Byzantine

Barag, Shavei Zion, fig. 16, nos. 13–25.

Baur-Kraeling, fig. 20.

Baur-Kraeling, fig. 22, no. 380.

Crowfoot-Harden, pl. 29.
Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 43.

Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 11.

Barag, "Glass Vessels," pl. 45.

Barag, Shavei Zion, fig. 16, nos. 1–12.